arXiv:1303.2192v1 [math-ph] 9 Mar 2013

Multisymplectic Maxwell Theory

D. Vey[1]

Abstract. *This note provides a detailed treatment of the Multisymplectic Maxwell theory through the general setting developed by F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher [23] [25] [26]. In particular we explore the DeDonder-Weyl theory, the question of algebraic and dynamical observable forms, the copolarization process related to the good search of canonical forms and finally, we give some indications for higher Lepage-Dedecker correspondence, as well as the underlying Grassmanian viewpoint for those Lepagean theories via the two dimensional case.*

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Multisymplectic DeDonder-Weyl-Maxwell theory **4**
- 2.1 **Multisymplectic DeDonder-Weyl-Maxwell theory** 4
- 2.2 **Hamilton-Maxwell equation in the DeDonder-Weyl framework** 7
- 2.3 **Maxwell theory as an n-phase space** 9

3 Algebraic Observables and observable functionals **10**
- 3.1 **Some algebraic observable $(n-1)$-forms** 10
- 3.2 **Poisson Bracket for algebraic $(n-1)$-forms** 12
- 3.3 **All algebraic $(n-1)$-forms** 13
- 3.4 **Dynamical observable $(n-1)$-forms** 20
- 3.5 **Algebraic observable $(n-1)$-forms in the pre-multisymplectic case** 21
- 3.6 **Observable functionals** 23

4 Dynamical equations and canonical variables **29**
- 4.1 **Graded structures and Grassman variables** 29
- 4.2 **Dynamical equations** 31
- 4.3 **Copolarization and observables $(p-1)$-forms** 32
- 4.4 **Copolarization and canonical variables** 34

5 Lepage-Dedecker for two dimensional Maxwell theory **40**
- 5.1 **Lepage-Dedecker correspondence** 40
- 5.2 **Calculation of the Hamiltonian** 42
- 5.3 **Equations of movement** 44
- 5.4 **Grassmanian viewpoint and pseudofibers** 45

6 Conclusion **48**

---

[1] email : mayaloop@gmail.com

1 Introduction

This note is dedicated to the application of Multisymplectic Geometry **(MG)** to field theory, in particular to the Maxwell theory [40]. We apply the formalism developed by F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher [20] [22] [23] [24] [25] [26] to the Maxwell variational problem. As an introduction we briefly make some remarks about **(MG)**, the derivation of the Euler-Lagrange equations or Maxwell equations and finally, we draw the outline of the paper.

Multisymplectic Geometry. Within the context of covariant canonical quantization Multisymplectic Geometry **(MG)** is a generalization of symplectic geometry for field theory. It allows us to construct a general framework for the calculus of variations with several variables. Historically **(MG)** was developed in three distinct steps. Its origins are connected with the names of C. Carathéodory [4] (1929) and H. Weyl [48] (1935) on one hand and T. De Donder [8] [9] (1935) on the other. We make this distinction since the motivations involved were different : Carathéodory and later Weyl, were involved with the generalization of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation to several variables and the line of development stemming from their work is concerned with the solution of variational problems in the setting of the action functional. On the other hand, E. Cartan [5] (1922) recognized the crucial importance of developing an *invariant language* for differential geometry not dependent on local coordinates. T. DeDonder carried this development further. The two approaches merged in the so-called DeDonder-Weyl **(DDW)** theory based on the multisymplectic manifold $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}}$. The second step arose with the work of T. Lepage and P. Dedecker. As was first noticed by T. Lepage [38] [39], the DeDonder-Weyl setting is a special case of the more general multisymplectic theory that we refer as the Lepage-Dedecker **(LD)** theory. The geometrical tools permitting a fully general treatment were provided by P. Dedecker [6] [7]. The next step was taken by the Warsaw school in the seventies which further developed the geometric setting. W. Tulczyjew [42] [43], J. Kijowski [33] [34], K. Gawedski [17] and W. Szczyrba [36] [37] all formulated important steps. We find already in their work the notion of *algebraic form*, and in the work of J. Kijowski [33] a corresponding formulation of the notion of *dynamical observable* emerges. We emphasize, for the full geometrical multisymplectic approach, two fundamental points : the *generalized Legendre correspondence* - introduced by T. Lepage and P. Dedecker - and the issue of *observable* and *Poisson structure*, two cornerstones within the *universal* Hamiltonian formalism developed by F. Hélein, [20] [22] and F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher [23] [24] [25] [26]. For an introductive synthesis of the principal motives and results presented in their work see D. Vey [45] [46]. Hence, for field theory, we are led to think of variational problems as n-dimensional submanifolds $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ embedded in a $(n+k)$-dimensional manifold $\mathfrak{Z}$. One observes the key role of the *Grassmannian bundle* as the analogue of the tangent bundle for variational problems for field theory.

Maxwell Theory. Let us recall the expression of the Euler-Lagrange equations for the Maxwell theory. We are first interested with the *vacuum* Maxwell action, given by :

$$(\mathfrak{i}) \quad \mathcal{L}^{\circ}_{\text{Maxwell}} = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{F}\wedge\star\mathbf{F}, \qquad (\mathfrak{ii}) \quad \mathcal{L}^{\circ}_{\text{Maxwell}}[x,A,\mathrm{d}A] = -\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}\sqrt{-g}\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}. \tag{1.1}$$

The Lagrangian density is $L(A) = -1/4\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}\sqrt{-g}$. We denote $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathcal{X}}(g)$ a Riemannian volume form such that $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathcal{X}}(g) = \sqrt{-g}\mathrm{d}^4x$. In the case where $\mathcal{X}$ is the Minkowski space-time we obtain then $\sqrt{-g} = 1$ and then $L(A) = -1/4\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}$. We have Maxwell vacuum equations :

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{F} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{d}\star\mathbf{F} = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

Considering the current of matter $\mathbf{J}^{\mu}(x)$ over $\mathcal{X}$ the Lagrangian is written :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maxwell}}[x,A,\mathrm{d}A] = \mathcal{L}^{\circ}_{\text{Maxwell}}[x,A,\mathrm{d}A] - \mathbf{J}^{\mu}(x)A_{\mu}, \tag{1.3}$$

and the Euler-Lagrange equations are written :

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{F} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{d}\star\mathbf{F} = \star\mathbf{J}. \tag{1.4}$$

The curvature in components is : $\mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\nu$, with $\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. Thus, we write the Hodge star $\star\mathbf{F}$ in components :

$$\begin{aligned}\star\mathbf{F} &= \star\Big(\frac{1}{2!}\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\nu\Big) = \frac{1}{2!}\big(\star\mathbf{F}\big)_{\rho\sigma}\mathrm{d}x^\rho \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\sigma, \qquad \text{with} \qquad \big(\star\mathbf{F}\big)_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2!}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma}\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \\ &= \frac{1}{2!}\frac{1}{2!}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma}\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\rho \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma}\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\rho \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{4}g_{\alpha\mu}g_{\beta\nu}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\mathrm{d}x^\rho \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

We see the equivalence between (1.1)($\mathfrak{i}$) and (1.1)($\mathfrak{ii}$).

⌜ **Proof** Here we make a straightforward computation which involves the Hodge duality.

$$\mathbf{F}\wedge\star\mathbf{F} = \Big[\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}_{\lambda\varsigma}\mathrm{d}x^\lambda\wedge\mathrm{d}x^\varsigma\Big]\wedge\Big[\frac{\sqrt{-g}}{4}g_{\alpha\mu}g_{\beta\nu}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\mathrm{d}x^\rho\wedge\mathrm{d}x^\sigma\Big] = \Big[\frac{1}{8}\mathbf{F}_{\lambda\varsigma}\sqrt{g}g_{\alpha\mu}g_{\beta\nu}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\Big]\mathrm{d}x^\lambda\wedge\mathrm{d}x^\varsigma\wedge\mathrm{d}x^\rho\wedge\mathrm{d}x^\sigma$$

Since $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathcal{X}}(g) = \sqrt{g}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} = \frac{1}{4!}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\lambda\varsigma\rho\sigma}\mathrm{d}x^\lambda \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\varsigma \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\rho \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\sigma$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}\wedge\star\mathbf{F} &= \Big[\frac{1}{8}\mathbf{F}_{\lambda\varsigma}\sqrt{g}g_{\alpha\mu}g_{\beta\nu}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\Big]\mathrm{d}x^\lambda \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\varsigma \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\rho \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\sigma = \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{F}_{\lambda\varsigma}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\lambda\varsigma\rho\sigma}\sqrt{g}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\delta_\alpha^{[\lambda}\delta_\beta^{\varsigma]}\mathbf{F}_{\lambda\varsigma}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\sqrt{g}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\Big[\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta} - \mathbf{F}_{\beta\alpha}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\Big]\sqrt{g}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\sqrt{g}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\lambda\varsigma\rho\sigma} = -2!2!\delta_\alpha^{[\lambda}\delta_\beta^{\varsigma]}$ ⌟.

The Euler-Lagrange equations for the Maxwell theory are written (1.5)

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\nu}\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maxwell}}}_{[\mathbf{I}]} = \underbrace{\partial_\mu\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)}\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maxwell}}\Big)}_{[\mathbf{II}]}. \tag{1.5}$$

We recover the Maxwell's equations :

$$\mathbf{J}^\nu(x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}(x). \tag{1.6}$$

⌜ **Proof** We compute [**I**] and [**II**]. The first term is : $[\mathbf{I}] = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\nu} = \mathbf{J}^\nu(x)$ The second leads to the following calculation :

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbf{II}] &= \partial_\mu\Big(-\frac{1}{4}\frac{(\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta})}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)}\Big) = -\frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu\Big(\frac{\partial\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)}\Big) = -\frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu\Big(\frac{\partial\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial(g^{\alpha\sigma}g^{\beta\rho}\mathbf{F}_{\sigma\rho})}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)}\Big) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu\Big(\frac{\partial\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathbf{F}^{\sigma\rho}\frac{\partial(\mathbf{F}_{\sigma\rho})}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)}\Big) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\Big(\frac{\partial\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\Big) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\Big(\big(\frac{\partial(\partial_\alpha A_\beta)}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)} - \frac{\partial(\partial_\beta A_\alpha)}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)}\big)\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\Big) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\Big(\big(\delta_\alpha^\mu\delta_\beta^\nu - \delta_\alpha^\nu\delta_\beta^\mu\big)\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\Big) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu(\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} - \mathbf{F}^{\nu\mu}) = -\partial_\mu\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain Maxwell's equations (1.6) ⌟

Outline We are first interested in the treatment of the DeDonder-Weyl setting for the Maxwell theory in section (2). We focus in particular to the Dirac constraint set and obtain the multisymplectic Hamilton equations in such a context. In section (3), we investigate observables and canonical variables for $4D$ Maxwell theory in the **(DDW)** setting. First we gives some simple example of algebraic 3-forms both position and momenta on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}}$. Then we describe generalized position and momenta observable 3-forms in the pre-multisymplectic case, where the pre-multisymplectic manifold is $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}_{\text{Maxwell}} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}$. In this case, the point of interest is that any algebraic 3-form is a dynamical observable form. In section (5) we describe the Lepage-Dedecker correspondence for Maxwell theory in the two dimensional case with a focus on the Grassmanian viewpoint.

2 Multisymplectic DeDonder-Weyl-Maxwell theory

We describe the geometrical setting and the notations for the four dimensional case. We consider $\mathcal{X}$ to be the *spacetime* manifold with $dim(\mathcal{X}) = n = 4$. Let $A \in T^\star\mathcal{X}$, be the potential 1-form. The space of interested is $\mathfrak{Z} = T^\star\mathcal{X}$. As noticed in [24] [25], the more naive approach is to work in a *local trivialization* of a bundle over $\mathcal{X}$, since a connection is not a section of a bundle. This is the chosen path here. A point (x, A) in $\mathfrak{Z}$ is in the *position* configuration space. Any choice (x, A) is equivalent to the data of an n-dimensional submanifold in $\mathfrak{Z} = T^\star\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{X}$ described as a section of the fiber bundle over $\mathcal{X}$. Let us consider the map $\mathfrak{z}_A : \mathcal{X} \to \mathfrak{Z} = T^\star\mathcal{X}$ described by (2.1),

$$\mathfrak{z}_A : \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{X} & \to & \mathfrak{Z} = T^\star\mathcal{X} \\ x & \mapsto & A(x) = A_\mu(x)\mathrm{d}x^\mu. \end{array} \right. \tag{2.1}$$

which is simply some section of the related bundle. We associate with A, the bundle $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^A = A^\star T\mathfrak{Z} \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}} T^\star\mathcal{X}$. The useful quantities to describe $\mathrm{d}A$ the differential of the map A as sections of the bundle $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^A$ over $\mathcal{X}$. We denote the exterior covariant derivative on the 1-form A by $\mathrm{d}^{\mathbf{D}}A$:

$$\mathrm{d}^{\mathbf{D}}A \quad = \quad \left[\mathrm{d}^{\mathbf{D}}A\right]_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\nu \qquad \text{with} \qquad \left[\mathrm{d}^{\mathbf{D}}A\right]_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]} \tag{2.2}$$

We denote $v_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu$ so that $\mathrm{d}^{\mathbf{D}}A = v_{[\mu\nu]}$. The space of interest, the analogue for tangent space is $\Lambda^n T_{(x,e,\omega)}\mathfrak{Z}$ the fiber bundle of n-vector field of $\mathfrak{Z}$ over $\mathcal{X}$. For any $(x^\mu, A_\nu) \in \mathfrak{Z}$, the fiber $\Lambda^n T_{(x,A)}(T^\star\mathcal{X}) = \Lambda^n T_{(x,A)}\mathfrak{Z}$ can be identified with $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}} = A^\star T\mathfrak{Z} \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}} T^\star\mathcal{X}$ via the diffeomorphism :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}} \cong A^\star T\mathfrak{Z} \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}} T^\star\mathcal{X} & \to & \Lambda^n T_{(x,A)}(T^\star\mathcal{X}) \\ \displaystyle\sum_{\mu,\nu} \left[\mathrm{d}^{\mathbf{D}}A\right]_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu \otimes \mathrm{d}x^\nu & \mapsto & z = z_1 \wedge ... \wedge z_n, \end{array} \right. \tag{2.3}$$

where $\forall 1 \leq \eta \leq n \;\; z_\eta = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + \sum_{1\leq\beta\leq n} v_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\beta}$. In order to fix ideas we stress that we have local coordinates $(x^\mu, A_\mu,)$ for the configuration bundle $\mathfrak{Z}$. The data of the local coordinates $(x^\mu, A_\mu, v_{\mu\nu})$ - or equivalentely $(x^\mu, A_\mu, z_{\mu\nu})$- can be thought as coordinates on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ or $\Lambda^n T_{(x,e,\omega)}(\mathfrak{Z})$ We identify $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}} \cong \Lambda^n T_{(x,e,\omega)}(\mathfrak{Z})$.

In this section we first expose the setting of the **(DDW)**-Maxwell theory. First we exhibit in (2.1) the Dirac primary constraint set and the related Maxwell multisymplectic manifold $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}$, see (2.10). Then we derive the generalized Hamilton equations in the multisymplectic (2.2) and in the pre-multisymplectic (2.3) settings. In the latter, we observe the connection with the covariant phase space.

2.1 Multisymplectic DeDonder-Weyl-Maxwell theory

Generalized Legendre correspondence. the generalized Legendre correspondence is constructed on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} = \Lambda^n T^\star\mathfrak{Z}$. For all $(q, p) \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ we introduce the local coordinates on the bundle $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$. Let us denote $(q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}})_{1\leq\boldsymbol{\mu}\leq 2n}$ the local coordinates on $\mathfrak{Z} = T^\star\mathcal{X}$ and $p_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1\cdots\boldsymbol{\mu}_n}$ the local coordinates on $\Lambda^n T_q^\star\mathfrak{Z}$ in the basis $(\mathrm{d}q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_n})_{1<\boldsymbol{\mu}_1<\cdots<\boldsymbol{\mu}_n<2n}$, completely antisymmetric in $(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \cdots \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)$. The canonical Poincaré-Cartan n-form is written in local coordinates (here $n = k = 4$) :

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \sum_{1<\boldsymbol{\mu}_1<\cdots<\boldsymbol{\mu}_n<2n} p_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1\cdots\boldsymbol{\mu}_n}\mathrm{d}q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1} \wedge ... \wedge \mathrm{d}q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_n}.$$

We consider the **DeDonder-Weyl submanifold** $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$:

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}} = \Big\{ (x, A, p)/x \in \mathcal{X}, A \in T^\star\mathcal{X}, p \in \Lambda^n T^\star(T^\star\mathcal{X}) \quad \text{such that} \quad \partial_{A_\mu} \wedge \partial_{A_\nu} \lrcorner\, p = 0 \Big\}.$$

We restrict and adapt our notations to the case $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$. All the components $p_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \cdots \boldsymbol{\mu}_n}$ are taken equal to zero, excepted for $p_{1\dots n} = \mathfrak{e}$ and for the multimomenta $p_{1\dots(\nu-1)(A_\mu)(\nu+1)\dots n}$ denoted $\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}$. We define a Legendre correspondence[2] :

$$\Lambda^n T(T^\star\mathcal{X}) \times \mathbb{R} = \Lambda^n T\mathfrak{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \boxdot \Lambda^n T^\star(T^\star\mathcal{X}) = \Lambda^n T^\star\mathfrak{Z} : (q, v, w) \boxdot (q, p),$$

which is generated by the function $\mathcal{W} : \Lambda^n T\mathfrak{Z} \times \Lambda^n T^\star\mathfrak{Z} \to \mathbb{R}(q, v, p) \mapsto \langle p, v\rangle - L(q, v)$.

Maxwell multisymplectic manifold $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}$. Let us describe the general construction for the De Donder-Weyl multisymplectic manifold. We consider $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{DDW}}_{(q,p)}$, the Poincaré-Cartan n-form :

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{DDW}}_{(q,p)} := \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu. \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} = \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^n$ is a volume n-form defined on $\mathcal{X}$ and we also denote $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\beta := \partial_\beta \lrcorner\, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$. Due to the Legendre correspondence construction, the equivalence relation between (q, v) and (q, p) is written :

$$(q, v) \boxdot (q, p) \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \frac{\partial\langle p, v\rangle}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial L(q, v)}{\partial v}. \tag{2.5}$$

The term $\langle p, v\rangle$ is understood as the following expression $\langle p, v\rangle = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{DDW}}_p(\mathcal{Z})$. With $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_1 \wedge \mathcal{Z}_2 \wedge \mathcal{Z}_3 \wedge \mathcal{Z}_4$ and where $\forall \alpha$ $\mathcal{Z}_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu}$. We gives the straightforward calculation with $\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\mu} = \partial_\alpha A_\mu$:

$$\langle p, v\rangle = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{DDW}}_p(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(\mathcal{Z}) + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu(\mathcal{Z}).$$

We have the expression $\langle p, v\rangle = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{DDW}}_p(\mathcal{Z})$:

$$\langle p, v\rangle = \mathfrak{e} + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \mathcal{Z}_{\nu\mu} = \mathfrak{e} + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \partial_\nu A_\mu. \tag{2.6}$$

⌜ **Proof** : We denote

$$\mathcal{Z}_\nu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \sum_{1\le\mu\le n} \mathcal{Z}_{\nu\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} = \sum_{1\le\boldsymbol{\mu}\le 2n} \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}},$$

We have $q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = x^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = x^\nu$ if $1 \le \boldsymbol{\mu} = \nu \le n$ and $q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}-n} = A_\mu$ if $1 \le \boldsymbol{\mu} - n = \mu \le n$. The bold index $1 \le \boldsymbol{\mu} \le 2n$ is a multi-index such that $\mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\nu = \delta^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\nu$ for $1 \le \boldsymbol{\mu} \le n$ and $\mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_\nu = \mathcal{Z}_{\nu\mu}$ for $n+1 \le \boldsymbol{\mu} \le 2n$.

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_1 \wedge \mathcal{Z}_2 \wedge \mathcal{Z}_3 \wedge \mathcal{Z}_4 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 < \cdots < \boldsymbol{\mu}_4} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \cdots \boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_{1\cdots 4} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 < \cdots < \boldsymbol{\mu}_4} \begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1}_1 & \cdots & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1}_4 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_1 & \cdots & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_4 \end{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}}$$

We expand the expression :

$$\mathcal{Z} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{1234}_{1234} \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \partial_4 + \underbrace{\sum_{n<\boldsymbol{\mu}_4} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{123\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_{1234} \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}}}_{[\mathbf{I}]} + \underbrace{\sum_{n<\boldsymbol{\mu}_4} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{124\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_{1234} \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 \wedge \partial_4 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}}}_{[\mathbf{II}]}$$

$$+ \underbrace{\sum_{3<\boldsymbol{\mu}_4} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{134\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_{1234} \partial_1 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \partial_4 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}}}_{[\mathbf{III}]} + \underbrace{\sum_{3<\boldsymbol{\mu}_4} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{234\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_{1234} \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \partial_4 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}}}_{[\mathbf{IV}]}$$

---

[2] We generally describe a Legendre correspondence by the symbol $\boxdot$. Hence, the analytical duality described by the Legendre correspondence is written $(q, v)\boxdot(q, p)$.

Now we detail the different terms involved : $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{1234}_{1234} = 1$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{123\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_{1234} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_1 & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_2 & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_3 & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_4 \end{vmatrix} = \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_4 \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{124\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_{1234} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_1 & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_2 & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_3 & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_4 \end{vmatrix} = -\mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_3$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{134\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_{1234} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_1 & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_2 & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_3 & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_4 \end{vmatrix} = \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_2 \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{234\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_{1234} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_1 & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_2 & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_3 & \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_4 \end{vmatrix} = -\mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_1$$

Therefore we obtain :

$$[\mathbf{I}] = \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_4 \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}} \qquad [\mathbf{II}] = -\mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_3 \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 \wedge \partial_4 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}} \qquad [\mathbf{III}] = \mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_2 \partial_1 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \partial_4 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}}$$

$[\mathbf{IV}] = -\mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}_1 \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \partial_4 \wedge \dfrac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}}$. Then we obtain the expression for $\mathcal{Z}$:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \partial_4 + \mathcal{Z}_{4\mu} \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} - \mathcal{Z}_{3\mu} \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 \wedge \partial_4 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \mathcal{Z}_{2\mu} \partial_1 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \partial_4 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} - \mathcal{Z}_{1\mu} \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \partial_4 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu}$$

Since, $\langle p, v\rangle = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathrm{DDW}}_p(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(\mathcal{Z}) + \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu(\mathcal{Z})$, we expand it[3] as

$$\langle p, v\rangle = \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(\mathcal{Z}) + \Pi^{A_\mu 1} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_1(\mathcal{Z}) + \Pi^{A_\mu 2} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2(\mathcal{Z}) + \Pi^{A_\mu 3} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_3(\mathcal{Z}) + \Pi^{A_\mu 4} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_4(\mathcal{Z})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p, v\rangle &= \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(\partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \partial_4) \\ &\quad + \Pi^{A_\mu 1} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_1(-\mathcal{Z}_{1\mu} \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \partial_4 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_4}}) + \Pi^{A_\mu 2} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2(\mathcal{Z}_{2\mu} \partial_1 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \partial_4 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu}) \\ &\quad + \Pi^{A_\mu 3} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_3(-\mathcal{Z}_{3\mu} \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 \wedge \partial_4 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4}}) + \Pi^{A_\mu 4} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_4(\mathcal{Z}_{4\mu} \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu}) \\ \langle p, v\rangle &= \mathfrak{e} + \Pi^{A_\mu 1} \mathcal{Z}_{1\mu} + \Pi^{A_\mu 2} \mathcal{Z}_{2\mu} + \Pi^{A_\mu 3} \mathcal{Z}_{3\mu} + \Pi^{A_\mu 4} \mathcal{Z}_{4\mu} \quad \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle p, v\rangle = \mathfrak{e} + \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \mathcal{Z}_{\nu\mu}$, we obtain :

$$\frac{\partial \langle p, v\rangle}{\partial(\partial_\nu A_\mu)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\nu A_\mu)} \big(\mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \partial_\nu A_\mu\big) = \Pi^{A_\mu \nu}$$

On the other side, since $\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$:

$$\frac{\partial L(q, v)}{\partial(\partial_\nu A_\mu)} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\nu A_\mu)} \big(\eta^{\mu\lambda} \eta^{\nu\sigma} \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{F}_{\lambda\sigma}\big) = -\frac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu\lambda} \eta^{\nu\sigma} \mathbf{F}_{\lambda\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\nu A_\mu)} \big(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu\big)$$

The expression of the multimomenta is given by (2.7).

$$\Pi^{A_\mu \nu} = \eta^{\mu\lambda} \eta^{\nu\sigma} \mathbf{F}_{\lambda\sigma} = \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} \tag{2.7}$$

The equivalence (2.5) is now (2.8) :

$$(q, v) \boxdot (q, p) \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} = \eta^{\mu\lambda} \eta^{\nu\sigma} \mathbf{F}_{\lambda\sigma} \tag{2.8}$$

The Legendre transformation is degenerated. We cannot find a unique correspondence between the multivelocities v and the multimomenta p. Given a $v \in T\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{3}} T^\star(T^\star \mathcal{X})$ the equation (2.5) has a solution $p \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{DDW}}$ if and only if $p \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{Deg}}$ with :

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{Deg}} = \Big\{ (x, A, \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \eta^{\mu\lambda} \eta^{\nu\sigma} \mathbf{F}_{\lambda\sigma} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \;\; / \;\; (x, A) \in T^\star \mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{e} \in \mathbb{R} \Big\} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{DDW}}. \tag{2.9}$$

Notice that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{Deg}} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{DDW}}$ is a vector sub-bundle of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{DDW}}$. The degenerate feature is related to the contraint $\Pi^{A_\nu \mu} = \mathbf{F}^{\nu\mu} = -\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}$. The Legendre transform is recover if we impose the compatibility conditions : $\Pi^{A_\nu\mu} + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu} = 0$. It is an example of a **Dirac primary constraint set** [11]. Therefore, we restrict ourselves to work with the submanifold :

---

[3] Notice that $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_1 = \partial_1 \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} = (-1)^{1-1} \mathrm{d}x^2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^3 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^4 = \mathrm{d}x^2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^3 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^4$ as well as $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2 = -\mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^3 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^4$, also $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_3 = \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^4$ and finally $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_4 = -\mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^3$.

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}} = \Big\{(x, A, p) \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}} \;\;/\;\; \Pi^{A_\nu\mu} + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu} = 0 \text{ with } \Pi^{A_\nu\mu} = \mathbf{F}^{\nu\mu}\Big\} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}}. \quad (2.10)$$

In the Maxwell case, the Dirac set are compatibility conditions that allows us to recover a Legendre transform. The DeDonder-Weyl theory setting is concerned rather with the Legendre correspondence. We introduce two different spaces. The first is the DeDonder-Weyl submanifold $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}}$ on which we consider the canonical Cartan-Poincaré 4-form (2.4) :

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{DDW}}_{(q,p)} := \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \sum_\mu \sum_\nu \Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu, \qquad \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu.$$

The second is $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}$, defined by (2.10) (with the imposed constraints $\Pi^{A_\nu\mu} + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu} = 0)^4$.

2.2 Hamilton-Maxwell equation in the DeDonder-Weyl framework

We compute the Hamiltonian function of the Maxwell theory in the **(DDW)** case :

$$\mathcal{H}^{\deg}(q, p) = \langle p, v\rangle - L(q, v) = \langle p, v\rangle + \frac{1}{4}\Big(\eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}_{\lambda\sigma}\Big)$$

Making use of relation (2.6) we find :

$$\mathcal{H}^{\deg}(q, p) = \mathfrak{e} + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathcal{Z}_{\nu\mu} + \frac{1}{4}\Big(\eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}_{\lambda\sigma}\Big) = \mathfrak{e} + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\partial_\nu A_\mu + \frac{1}{4}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} = \mathfrak{e} - \frac{1}{4}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}$$

Then, the Hamiltonian function (2.11) is given by :

$$\mathcal{H}^{\deg}(q, p) = \mathfrak{e} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \Pi^{A_\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathbf{F}_{\lambda\sigma} = \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} \quad (2.11)$$

In order to obtain the generalized Hamilton equations $X \lrcorner\, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = (-1)^n \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}$, we need to compute $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{\deg}(q, p)$, the differential of the Hamiltonian function. Since we work with a degenerate Legendre transform a naive use of the general method leads to incorrect equations of motion. We have: $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{\deg}(q, p) = \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\mathrm{d}(\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}) = \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}$ which describes the right side of the Hamilton equations (2.12).

$$X \lrcorner\, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = (-1)^n \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H} \quad (2.12)$$

Let us denote $\forall 1 \leq \alpha \leq 4$:

$$X_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + \Theta_{\alpha\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \Upsilon_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_\alpha^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} \quad (2.13)$$

Then we consider a n-vector field $X = X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4 \in \Lambda^4 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let X be a $(n-1)$-vector field and let $\big\{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_i\big\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$ be a set of n 1-forms. We have :

$$X \lrcorner\, (\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq n} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_i) = X \lrcorner\, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_n = \sum_j (-1)^{j+1}\big(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{j-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_n\big)(X)\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_j$$

---

[4] The important point concerns the restriction related to those constraints on the allowed vector fields on the multisymplectic space. In such a context, the vector fields on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}$ must be written with the term $\Upsilon_\alpha^{A_\mu\nu}\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\nu\mu}}\big)$ rather than with the term $\Upsilon_\alpha^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}}$ in the expression (2.13) of $X \in \Lambda^4 T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}$.

Thanks to lemma (2.1), the left side of the Hamilton equations (2.12) is written :

$$\begin{aligned} X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} &= X \lrcorner \big(\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big) \\ &= \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(X)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - (\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho)(X)\mathrm{d}x^\rho + (\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu)(X)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \\ &\quad -(\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu)(X)\mathrm{d}A_\mu + (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu})(X)\mathrm{d}x^\rho \end{aligned}$$

So that we obtain :

$$X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - \Upsilon_\rho \mathrm{d}x^\rho + \Theta_{\nu\mu}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} - \Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu + \big(\Upsilon_\rho^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_{\nu\mu} - \Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_{\rho\mu}\big)\mathrm{d}x^\rho \qquad (2.14)$$

The decomposition on the different forms $\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}$, $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}$, $\mathrm{d}A_\mu$ and $\mathrm{d}x^\rho$ gives :

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} -\Theta_{\nu\mu} &= -\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma} \\ -\Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu} &= 0 \end{aligned}\right. \qquad \text{and} \qquad -\Upsilon_\rho + \big(\Upsilon_\rho^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_{\nu\mu} - \Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_{\rho\mu}\big) = 0$$

that is equivalent to :

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} \partial_\nu A_\nu &= \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma} \\ \partial_\nu \Pi^{A_\mu\nu} &= 0 \end{aligned}\right. \qquad \text{and} \quad -\partial_\rho\mathfrak{e} + \big((\partial_\rho\Pi^{A_\mu\nu})(\partial_\nu A_\mu) - (\partial_\nu\Pi^{A_\mu\nu})(\partial_\rho A_\mu)\big) = 0$$

The second line of the previous system gives the half of the Maxwell equations. Notice that the Legendre degenerate transform implies $\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} = \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}$ so that $\partial_\nu\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0$. However we can not recover the full set of Maxwell's equations since

$$\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \neq \partial_\mu A_\nu .$$

We are *not* recovering the usual Euler-Lagrange equations precisely because we work on the degenerate space. Now we now work on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}$. The constraint $\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} + \Pi^{A_\nu\mu} = 0$ selects the authorized directions for the vector fields and the ones we are not allowed to described. In this context, the vector field we shall use to make the contraction with the multisymplectic form is given by (2.15). We denote $\forall 1 \leq \alpha \leq 4$:

$$X_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + \Theta_{\alpha\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \Upsilon_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_\alpha^{A_\mu\nu}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\Pi^{A_\nu\mu}}\Big) \qquad (2.15)$$

The Hamilton equations (2.12) becomes : $X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = (-1)^n \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}$.

$$\begin{aligned} X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} &= X \lrcorner \big(\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big) \\ &= \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(X)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - (\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho)(X)\mathrm{d}x^\rho + (\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu)(X)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \\ &\quad -(\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu)(X)\mathrm{d}A_\mu + (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu})(X)\mathrm{d}x^\rho \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} &= \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - \Upsilon_\rho\mathrm{d}x^\rho + (\Theta_{\nu\mu} - \Theta_{\mu\nu})\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} - (\Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu} - \Upsilon_\nu^{A_\nu\mu})\mathrm{d}A_\mu \\ &\quad + \Big(\big(\Upsilon_\rho^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_{\nu\mu} - \Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_{\rho\mu}\big) - \big(\Upsilon_\rho^{A_\nu\mu}\Theta_{\mu\nu} - \Upsilon_\mu^{A_\nu\mu}\Theta_{\rho\nu}\big)\Big)\mathrm{d}x^\rho \end{aligned}$$

The decompositions along $\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}$ and $\mathrm{d}A_\mu$, gives :

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} (\Theta_{\nu\mu} - \Theta_{\mu\nu}) &= -\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma} \\ -(\Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu} - \Upsilon_\nu^{A_\nu\mu}) &= 0 \end{aligned}\right. \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \left\{\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu &= \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \\ \partial_\nu\big(\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} - \Pi^{A_\nu\mu}\big) &= 0 \end{aligned}\right. \qquad (2.16)$$

Hence, the second line of equation (2.16) gives Maxwell's equations :

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu\big(\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} - \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\big) = \partial_\nu\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0. \qquad (2.17)$$

Remark. We give detailed calculation in the next section (4.4), with the example of the two dimensional case for the expression $X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}$ where respectively $X \in \forall X, \overline{X} \in \Lambda^n T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}$ and $X \in \forall X, \overline{X} \in \Lambda^n T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}}$.

2.3 Maxwell theory as an n-phase space

We refer to the work of J. Kijowski [33] for the treatment of Maxwell's theory in the setting of a n-phase space. Due to the abelian feature of the Maxwell gauge theory, this treatment is essentially the same that the one exposed in the previous section. the notion of a n-phase space, inspired by J. Kijowski and W. Szczyrba [36], and developed further by F. Hélein [21] [22].

Definition 2.3.1. *A n-multiphase space (or simply an n-phase space) is a triple* $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ *where* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ *is a smooth manifold,* $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ *is a closed (n + 1)-form and* $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ *is an everywhere non-vanishing n-form.*

For a n-phase space $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$, a Hamiltonian n-curve is pictured as an oriented n-submanifold which satisfies :

$$\forall m \in \mathbf{\Gamma}, \forall X \in \Lambda^n T_m\mathbf{\Gamma} \quad X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}_m = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \forall m \in \mathbf{\Gamma}, \exists X \in \Lambda^n T_m\mathbf{\Gamma} \quad X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\beta}_m \neq 0.$$

The last condition is an independence condition. We can canonically construct n-phase space data by means of the hypersurface of a multisymplectic manifold. We recall that a premultisymplectic n-form is closed but may be degenerate. In the general picture of a n phase space we express *dynamics* on a *level set* of $\mathcal{H}$.[5] We recover the dynamical equations in the pre-multisymplectic case (2.18) - see F. Hélein [20] [21] [22].

$$\forall \Xi \in C^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, T_m\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}), \qquad (\Xi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega})|_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}|_{\Gamma} \neq 0. \tag{2.18}$$

The canonical pre-multisymplectic form is given by :

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{pre-multi Maxwell}}_{(q,p)} := \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{Maxwell}}_{(q,p)}\Big|_{\mathcal{H}=0} = \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\Big|_{\mathcal{H}=0} \tag{2.19}$$

We have $\mathcal{H}(q,p) = \mathfrak{e} - 1/4\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}$ with $\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathbf{F}_{\lambda\sigma} = \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}$. Hence, imposing the Hamiltonian constraint $\mathcal{H} = 0$ we are led to consider $\mathfrak{e} = 1/4\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma} = -H(x^\mu, A_\nu, \Pi^{A_\mu\nu})$. Hence, the pre-multisymplectic canonical forms $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{pre-multi}}_{(q,p)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{pre-multi}}_{(q,p)}$ are respectively written :

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{pre-multi}}_{(q,p)} = \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = \frac{1}{4}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Pi_{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{pre-multi}}_{(q,p)} = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{pre-multi}}_{(q,p)} = \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu.$$

We denote, to simplify the notations : $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{pre-multi}}_{(q,p)} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\circ}_{(q,p)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{pre-multi}}_{(q,p)} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\circ}_{(q,p)}$. Therefore, we consider the theory on the *pre-multisymplectic* Maxwell space (2.20) :

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}_{\text{Maxwell}} = \Big\{(x, A, p) \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}} \;\;/\;\; \Pi^{A_\nu\mu} + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu} = 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{e} = \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\Big\} \tag{2.20}$$

We observe the following inclusion of spaces : $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}_{\text{Maxwell}} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}}$. The generalized Hamilton equations are given with the calculation of $X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\circ}$:

$$\begin{aligned} X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\circ} &= X \lrcorner (1/2\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}) + X \lrcorner (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu) \\ &= 1/2\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(X)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} - (1/2\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho)(X)\mathrm{d}x^\rho \\ &\quad +(\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu)(X)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} - (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu)(X)\mathrm{d}A_\mu + (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu})(X)\mathrm{d}x^\rho \end{aligned}$$

---

[5] We can construct canonically a pre-n-multisymplectic manifold $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}|_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}}, \boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\eta} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}|_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}})$. Here the $\boldsymbol{\Omega}|_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}} = \mathcal{H}^{-1}(0) := \{(q,p) \in \boldsymbol{\Omega}|_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}} |\ \mathcal{H}(q,p) = 0\}$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is a vector field such that $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = 1$. In this case we observe the connection between relativistic dynamical systems and the treatment of the *Hamiltonian constraint.*

So that :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\circ} & = & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - \Upsilon_{\rho}\mathrm{d}x^{\rho} + (\Theta_{\nu\mu} - \Theta_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_{\rho\sigma}})\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}} - (\Upsilon_{\nu}^{A_{\mu\nu}} - \Upsilon_{\nu}^{A_{\nu\mu}})\mathrm{d}A_{\mu} \\ & & +\Big(\big(\Upsilon_{\rho}^{A_{\mu\nu}}\Theta_{\nu\mu} - \Upsilon_{\nu}^{A_{\mu\nu}}\Theta_{\rho\mu}\big) - \big(\Upsilon_{\rho}^{A_{\nu\mu}}\Theta_{\mu\nu} - \Upsilon_{\mu}^{A_{\nu\mu}}\Theta_{\rho\nu}\big) - \eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_{\rho\sigma}}\Upsilon_{\rho}^{A_{\mu\nu}}\Big)\mathrm{d}x^{\rho} \end{array}$$

Once again, the decompositions along $\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}}$ and $\mathrm{d}A_{\mu}$ gives :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} (\Theta_{\nu\mu} - \Theta_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_{\rho\sigma}}) & = & 0 \\ -(\Upsilon_{\nu}^{A_{\mu\nu}} - \Upsilon_{\nu}^{A_{\nu\mu}}) & = & 0 \end{array} \right. \tag{2.21}$$

We recover (2.16) and then the same conclusions.

3 Algebraic Observables and observable functionals

We being this section with the definition (3.0.2) of algebraic observables $(n-1)$-forms and the related set $\mathfrak{sp}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ of infinitesimal symplectomorphisms of the multisymplectic manifold $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$.

Definition 3.0.2. Let $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$ be an n-multisymplectic manifold. A $(n-1)$-form $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is called an algebraic observable $(n-1)$-form if and only if there exists $\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ such that $\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega} + \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0$.

We denote $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ the set of all algebraic observable $(n-1)$-forms. This reflects the *symmetry* point of view. It is the natural analogue to the question of the Poisson bracket for classical mechanics. Then, $\forall \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$, we define the Poisson bracket (3.1) :

$$\big\{\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}\big\} = \Xi_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \wedge \Xi_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega} = -\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \Xi_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}. \tag{3.1}$$

where, $\big\{\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varrho}\big\} \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ and the bracket (3.1) satisfy the antisymmetry property and Jacobi structure modulo an exact term $\forall \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$:

$$\big\{\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}\big\} + \big\{\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}\big\} = 0 \qquad \big\{\{\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}\}\boldsymbol{\eta}\big\} + \big\{\{\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\eta}\}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\big\} + \big\{\{\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}\}\boldsymbol{\rho}\big\} = \mathrm{d}(\xi_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \wedge \xi_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \wedge \xi_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}).$$

Since we have defined an *infinitesimal symplectomorphism* of $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$ to be a vector field $\Xi \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}\boldsymbol{\Omega} = 0$, using the Cartan formula, we obtain : $\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \mathrm{d}(\Xi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}) + \Xi \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Omega} = 0$. Now, since the multisymplectic $(n+1)$-form is closed $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Omega} = 0$, this relation is equivalent to $\mathrm{d}(\Xi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}) = 0$. We are looking for vector fields $\Xi \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ such that $\mathrm{d}(\Xi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}) = 0$. We denote by $\mathfrak{sp}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ the set of infinitesimal symplectomorphisms of the multisymplectic manifold $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$:

$$\mathfrak{sp}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}) = \Big\{ \Xi \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}) \ / \ \mathrm{d}(\Xi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}) = 0 \Big\}. \tag{3.2}$$

3.1 Some algebraic observable $(n-1)$-forms

We are interested in the algebraic observable $(n-1)$-forms and their related infinitesimal symplectomorphisms on the multisymplectic manifold $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{Maxwell}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}})$. First we take some simple examples and we enter in the larger setting step by step. We find two types of algebraic observable $(n-1)$-forms : the (generalized) position $(n-1)$-forms and the (generalized) momenta observable $(n-1)$-forms. Let us begin with the following algebraic observable $(n-1)$-forms :

$\mathbf{P}^\mu = \mathrm{d}x^\mu \wedge \boldsymbol{\pi}$, $\mathbf{P}^\mu_\phi = \phi(x)\mathrm{d}x^\mu \wedge \boldsymbol{\pi}$, $\mathbf{Q}_{\mu\nu} = A \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathbf{Q}^\psi_{\mu\nu} = \psi(x) A \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\mu\nu}$. We denote the Faraday $(n-2)$-form [23] [29] [30] by :

$$\boldsymbol{\pi} = \frac{1}{2}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mu,\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \lrcorner \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \lrcorner\, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$$

and we denote the potential 1-form $A = A_\mu \mathrm{d}x^\mu$. The couple of variables $(A, \boldsymbol{\pi})$ depicts the canonical variables for the Maxwell theory [23] [24] [25] [26] [29] [30]. Notice that the Faraday $(n-2)$-form is also written : $\star\mathrm{d}A = \eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\nu\sigma}(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\lambda\sigma}$. First, let us focus on $\mathbf{P}^\mu = \mathrm{d}x^\mu \wedge \boldsymbol{\pi}$. We have :

$$\mathbf{P}^\rho = \mathrm{d}x^\rho \wedge \boldsymbol{\pi} = \mathrm{d}x^\rho \wedge \big(\frac{1}{2}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\mu\nu}\big) = \frac{1}{2}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\big(\delta^\rho_\mu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \delta^\rho_\nu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\mu\big) = \frac{1}{2}\big(\Pi^{A_\rho\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \Pi^{A_\mu\rho}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\mu\big)$$

Using the constraint $\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} = -\Pi^{A_\nu\mu}$, we obtain : $\mathbf{P}^\mu = \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$. Now we compute the exterior differential $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}^\mu = \mathrm{d}\big(\mathrm{d}x^\rho \wedge \boldsymbol{\pi}\big) = \mathrm{d}\big(\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big) = \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$: If we consider $\Xi(\mathbf{P}^\mu) = \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu}$ we have $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}^\mu = -\Xi(\mathbf{P}^\mu) \lrcorner\, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}$ as shown by the following straightforward calculation :

$$\Xi(\mathbf{P}^\mu) \lrcorner\, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} \lrcorner \big(\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big) = -\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$$

We prefer to consider $\mathbf{P}_\phi = \phi_\mu(x)\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$. The exterior derivative $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_\phi$ is given by :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_\phi & = & \mathrm{d}\big(\phi_\mu(x)\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\big) \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = \big(\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\dfrac{\partial \phi_\mu}{\partial x^\alpha}(x)\mathrm{d}x^\alpha + \phi_\mu(x)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\big) \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\ & = & \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\dfrac{\partial \phi_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \phi_\mu(x)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \end{array}$$

The related infinitesimal symplectomorphism is denoted by $\Xi(\mathbf{P}_\phi)$:

$$\Xi(\mathbf{P}_\phi) = \phi_\mu(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} - \big(\frac{\partial \phi_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}(x)\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\big)\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}}$$

Let us compute the contraction $\Xi(\mathbf{P}_\phi) \lrcorner\, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Xi(\mathbf{P}_\phi) \lrcorner\, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} & = & \big(\phi_\mu(x)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} - \big(\dfrac{\partial \phi_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}(x)\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\big)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}}\big) \lrcorner \big(\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big) \\ & = & -\big(\dfrac{\partial \phi_\mu(x)}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\big)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} - \phi_\mu(x)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = -\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_\phi \end{array}$$

Now we focus on some algebraic position $(n-1)$-forms : $\mathbf{Q}^\psi = \frac{1}{2}\psi^{\mu\nu}(x) A \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\mu\nu}$ with $\psi^{\mu\nu}(x)$ a real function which is antisymmetric in the indices μ, ν.

$$\mathbf{Q}^\psi = \frac{1}{2}\psi^{\mu\nu}(x) A_\rho \mathrm{d}x^\rho \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\psi^{\mu\nu}(x) A_\rho\big(\delta^\rho_\mu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \delta^\rho_\nu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\mu\big) = \frac{1}{2}\psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\big(A_\mu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - A_\nu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\mu\big)$$

Since $\psi^{\mu\nu} = -\psi^{\nu\mu}$ then $\mathbf{Q}^\psi = \psi^{\mu\nu}(x) A_\mu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$. We compute $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Q}^\psi$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{Q}^\psi & = & = \mathrm{d}\big(\psi^{\mu\nu}(x) A_\mu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big) A_\mu \dfrac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\sigma}(x)\mathrm{d}x^\sigma \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\ & = & A_\mu \dfrac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu}(x)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \end{array}$$

The related infinitesimal symplectomorphisms are denoted $\Xi(\mathbf{Q}^\psi)$ and are given by :

$$\Xi(\mathbf{Q}^\psi) = -\big((A_\mu \frac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu})\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}}\big)$$

Let us compute $\Xi(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}) \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}}$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Xi(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}) \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}} & = & -\big((A_{\mu}\dfrac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}})\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}}\big) \lrcorner \big(\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu}\big) \\ & = & -\big(A_{\mu}\dfrac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}(x)\big)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} - \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\mathrm{d}A_{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu} = -\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Q}^{\psi} \end{array}$$

We summarize the results relating the algebraic observables forms $\mathbf{P}_{\phi}, \mathbf{Q}^{\psi}$ and their related infinitesimal symplectomorphisms $\Xi(\mathbf{P}_{\phi}), \Xi(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi})$:

$$\left| \begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{P}_{\phi} & = & \phi_{\mu}(x)\Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu} \\ \mathbf{Q}^{\psi} & = & \dfrac{1}{2}\psi^{\mu\nu}(x)A \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\mu\nu} \end{array} \right. \qquad \left| \begin{array}{rcl} \Xi(\mathbf{P}_{\phi}) & = & \phi_{\mu}(x)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu}} - \big(\dfrac{\partial \phi_{\mu}(x)}{\partial x^{\nu}}\Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}\big)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} \\ \Xi(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}) & = & -\big(A_{\mu}\dfrac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\big)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}} \end{array} \right. \tag{3.3}$$

Let notice that if we work in the pre-multisymplectic case $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\circ}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\circ})$ we have :

$$\left| \begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{P}^{\circ}_{\phi} & = & \Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu} \\ \mathbf{Q}^{\psi}_{\circ} & = & \dfrac{1}{2}\psi^{\mu\nu}(x)A \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\mu\nu} \end{array} \right. \qquad \left| \begin{array}{rcl} \Xi(\mathbf{P}^{\circ}_{\phi}) & = & \phi_{\mu}(x)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu}} \\ \Xi(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}_{\circ}) & = & -\psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}} \end{array} \right. \tag{3.4}$$

We need a more embracing view to better take under consideration the conditions on the functions $\phi_{\mu}(x)$ and $\psi^{\mu\nu}(x)$ and more general choice of such functions. In doing so we provide a deeper description of the infinitesimal symplectomorphisms $\Xi(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi})$, $\Xi(\mathbf{P}_{\phi})$, $\Xi(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}_{\circ})$ and $\Xi(\mathbf{P}^{\circ}_{\phi})$. It is the subject of the following sections (3.3) and (3.5). Before going to that step, we give in the next section (3.2) the Poisson bracket structure in this simple case. The objects of interest are $\big\{\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}, \mathbf{Q}^{\tilde{\psi}}\big\}$, $\big\{\mathbf{P}_{\phi}, \mathbf{P}_{\phi^{\bullet}}\big\}$ and $\big\{\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}, \mathbf{P}_{\phi}\big\}$.

3.2 Poisson Bracket for algebraic $(n-1)$-forms

First, following the *symmetry-algebraic* standpoint :

Proposition 3.1. Let $\phi_{\mu}(x), \tilde{\phi}_{\mu}(x)$ and $\psi^{\mu\nu}(x), \tilde{\psi}^{\mu\nu}(x)$ smooth functions with $\psi^{\mu\nu}(x) = -\psi^{\nu\mu}(x)$ and $\tilde{\psi}^{\mu\nu}(x) = -\tilde{\psi}^{\nu\mu}(x)$. for $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{Maxwell}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{DDW})$ the set of canonical Poisson bracket is given by :

$$\big\{\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}, \mathbf{Q}^{\tilde{\psi}}\big\} \;=\; \big\{\mathbf{P}_{\phi}, \mathbf{P}_{\tilde{\phi}}\big\} = 0, \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \big\{\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}, \mathbf{P}_{\phi}\big\} = -\psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\phi_{\mu}(x)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu}.$$

This corresponds to the mathematical setting of the traditional Poisson bracket for algebraic $(n-1)$-forms : $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}) \times \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}) \to \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$. Let us consider two algebraic position observable $(n-1)$-forms $\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}$ and $\mathbf{Q}^{\tilde{\psi}}$ given by (3.3) :

$$\mathbf{Q}^{\psi} = \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)A_{\mu}(x)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbf{Q}^{\tilde{\psi}} = \tilde{\psi}^{\mu\nu}(x)A_{\mu}(x)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu}.$$

We compute the *internal* bracket :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \big\{\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}, \mathbf{Q}^{\tilde{\psi}}\big\} & = & \Xi(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}) \lrcorner \Xi(\mathbf{Q}^{\tilde{\psi}}) \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}} \\ & = & -\Xi(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}) \lrcorner \Big((A_{\mu}\dfrac{\partial \tilde{\psi}^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}})\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \tilde{\psi}^{\mu\nu}(x)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}}\Big) \lrcorner \big(\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu}\big) \\ & = & \big((A_{\mu}\dfrac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}})\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}}\big) \lrcorner \big((A_{\mu}\dfrac{\partial \tilde{\psi}^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}})\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \tilde{\psi}^{\mu\nu}(x)\mathrm{d}A_{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu}\big) = 0 \end{array}$$

Now we compute $\big\{\mathbf{P}_\phi, \mathbf{P}_{\phi^\bullet}\big\}$ where the algebraic $(n-1)$-forms $\mathbf{P}_\phi$ and the related infinitesimal symplectomorphisms $\Xi(\mathbf{P}_\phi)$ are given by (3.3). Hence we have the internal bracket :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \big\{\mathbf{P}_\phi, \mathbf{P}_{\tilde{\phi}}\big\} & = & \Xi(\mathbf{P}_\phi) \lrcorner \, \Xi(\mathbf{P}_{\tilde{\phi}}) \lrcorner \, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = -\Xi(\mathbf{P}_\phi) \lrcorner \, \big(\tilde{\phi}_\mu(x)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} - (\dfrac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_\mu(x)}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu})\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}}\big) \lrcorner \, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} \\ & = & -\Xi(\mathbf{P}_\phi) \lrcorner \, \big(- \tilde{\phi}_\mu(x)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - (\dfrac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_\mu(x)}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu})\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}\big) = 0 \end{array}$$

Finally we compute the last internal bracket $\big\{\mathbf{Q}^\psi, \mathbf{P}_\phi\big\}$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \big\{\mathbf{Q}^\psi, \mathbf{P}_\phi\big\} & = & -\Xi(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi^\circ}) \lrcorner \, \big(- \phi_\mu(x)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - (\dfrac{\partial \phi_\mu(x)}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu})\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}\big) \\ & = & -\big((A_\mu\dfrac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu})\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}}\big) \lrcorner \, \big(- \phi_\mu(x)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - (\dfrac{\partial \phi_\mu(x)}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu})\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}\big) \\ & = & -\psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\phi_\mu(x)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \end{array}$$

Finally,

$$\big\{\mathbf{Q}^\psi, \mathbf{P}_\phi\big\} = \Xi(\mathbf{Q}^\psi) \lrcorner \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_\phi = -\big((A_\mu\frac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu})\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}}\big) \lrcorner \, \big(\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial \phi_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \phi_\mu(x)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big)$$

So that $\big\{\mathbf{Q}^\psi, \mathbf{P}_\phi\big\} = -\psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\phi_\mu(x)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$. We summarize our results and recover the proposition (3.1) :

$$\big\{\mathbf{Q}^{\psi_\circ}, \mathbf{Q}^{\psi_\bullet}\big\} \;=\; \big\{\mathbf{P}_\phi, \mathbf{P}_{\phi^\bullet}\big\} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \big\{\mathbf{Q}^\psi, \mathbf{P}_\phi\big\} = -\psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\phi_\mu(x)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$$

3.3 All algebraic $(n-1)$-forms

In this section we describe the set of all algebraic $(n-1)$-forms and their related infinitesimal symplectomorphisms $\Xi \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}, T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}})$. First we introduce the notation. We consider $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}$ and we denote :

$$\zeta = X^\nu(x, A)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \Theta_\mu(x, A)\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} \tag{3.5}$$

with $X^\nu : \mathfrak{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\Theta_\mu : \mathfrak{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth fonctions on $\mathfrak{Z}$. Hence, we denote the decomposition of $\Xi_{\text{DDW}} \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}}, T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}})$ as :

$$\Xi_{\text{DDW}} = \boldsymbol{X}^\nu(q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu(q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}(q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}(q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} \tag{3.6}$$

We also denote vector fields $\Xi = \Xi_{\text{Maxwell}} \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}, T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}})$ as :

$$\Xi_{\text{DDW}} = \boldsymbol{X}^\nu(q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu(q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}(q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}(q,p)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\nu\mu}}\Big) \tag{3.7}$$

The objects $\boldsymbol{X}^\nu(q,p), \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu(q,p), \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}(q,p)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}(q,p)$ are smooth functions on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}} \subset \Lambda^n T^\star(T^\star\mathcal{X})$, with values in $\mathbb{R}$. Now we evaluate the expression $\Xi \lrcorner \, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}$:

$$\Xi \lrcorner \, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} - \boldsymbol{X}^\nu\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + \boldsymbol{X}^\rho\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}$$

We rise relations from the definition of a symplectomorphism $\mathrm{d}(\Xi \lrcorner \, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}) = 0$. We make the following calculation :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{d}(\Xi \lrcorner \, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}) & = & \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} - \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{X}^\nu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\ & & +\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{X}^\rho \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu} \end{array}$$

Then let us write the expression under process as a sum $\mathrm{d}(\Xi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}) = \sum_i \boldsymbol{\iota}_i$ with each terms $\boldsymbol{\iota}_i$ given by :

$$\left|\begin{array}{lcl}
\boldsymbol{\iota}_1 & = & \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \\
\boldsymbol{\iota}_2 & = & -\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{X}^\nu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\
\boldsymbol{\iota}_3 & = & \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\
\boldsymbol{\iota}_4 & = & -\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\
\boldsymbol{\iota}_5 & = & \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{X}^\rho \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}
\end{array}\right.$$

Since $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} = \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial x^\alpha}\mathrm{d}x^\alpha + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial\mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}$, the first term $\boldsymbol{\iota}_1 = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$ is written :

$$\boldsymbol{\iota}_1 = \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial\mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover, since $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{X}^\rho = \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial x^\alpha}\mathrm{d}x^\alpha + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial\mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}$, the term $\boldsymbol{\iota}_2 = -\mathrm{d}\mathbf{X}^\nu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$ is written :

$$\boldsymbol{\iota}_2 \;=\; -\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\nu}{\partial x^\alpha}\mathrm{d}x^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\nu}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\nu}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \tag{3.9}$$

whereas the term $\boldsymbol{\iota}_5 = \mathrm{d}\mathbf{X}^\rho \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}$ is written :

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\boldsymbol{\iota}_5 & = & \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial x^\alpha}\mathrm{d}x^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu} \\
& & +\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial\mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha} \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}
\end{array} \tag{3.10}$$

thanks to $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\alpha}\mathrm{d}x^\alpha + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial\mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}$ we expand the term $\boldsymbol{\iota}_3 = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\boldsymbol{\iota}_3 & = & -(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\nu\mu}}{\partial x^\nu})\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + (\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial A_\beta} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\nu\mu}}{\partial A_\beta})\mathrm{d}A_\beta \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\
& & +(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial\mathfrak{e}} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\nu\mu}}{\partial\mathfrak{e}})\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + (\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\nu\mu}}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}})\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu
\end{array} \tag{3.11}$$

and finally $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu = \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial x^\alpha}\mathrm{d}x^\alpha + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial\mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}$ give us the last remaining term $\boldsymbol{\iota}_4 = -\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\boldsymbol{\iota}_4 & = & \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial\mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\
& & -\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha} \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu
\end{array} \tag{3.12}$$

The decomposition of the terms (3.8)-(3.12) on the different $(n+1)$-forms involves $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$, $\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$, $\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$, $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$, $\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$, $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}$, $\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha} \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$. More precisely we now describe precisely the different terms. First the decomposition involves the following terms :

$$
\begin{array}{|lll}
\mathbf{j}_1 & \big[\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big] & | \quad -\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_2 & \big[\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}\big] & | \quad \dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu} \\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_3 & \big[\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}\big] & | \quad (\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\nu}{\partial x^\nu}+\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial\mathfrak{e}})\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_4 & \big[\mathrm{d}\Pi\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}\big] & | \quad \dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}+\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_5 & \big[\mathrm{d}A\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}\big] & | \quad \dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}-(\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu}-\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\nu\mu}}{\partial x^\nu})\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_6 & \big[\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}A\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big] & | \quad (\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial\mathfrak{e}}-\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\nu\mu}}{\partial\mathfrak{e}})\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu-\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_7 & \big[\mathrm{d}A\wedge\mathrm{d}A\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big] & | \quad (\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial A_\beta}-\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\nu\mu}}{\partial A_\beta})\mathrm{d}A_\beta\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_8 & \big[\mathrm{d}A\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big] & | \quad \dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial x^\alpha}\mathrm{d}x^\alpha\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}-\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\
 & & \quad\;\; +\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_9 & \big[\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big] & | \quad -\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial\mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_{10} & \big[\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big] & | \quad -\dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_{11} & \big[\mathrm{d}A\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi\wedge\mathrm{d}A\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}\big] & | \quad \dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu} \\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_{12} & \big[\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi\wedge\mathrm{d}A\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}\big] & | \quad \dfrac{\partial\boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial\mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}
\end{array}
\tag{3.13}
$$

The decomposition of $\mathrm{d}(\Xi \,\lrcorner\, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}})$ gives us information about the dependence of the involved functions. Hence from (3.13)-$\mathbf{j}_1$-$\mathbf{j}_2$, we conclude that $\boldsymbol{X}^\rho$ and $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu$ are independent of variables $\Pi^{A_\beta\alpha}$. Then, from the terms (3.13)-$\mathbf{j}_9$-$\mathbf{j}_{10}$ and (3.13)-$\mathbf{j}_2$ we observe that $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu$ are independent of the variable $\mathfrak{e}$. From (3.13)-$\mathbf{j}_{11}$ we find that $\mathbf{X}^\rho$ is independent of the variables A_β. From (3.13)-$\mathbf{j}_{12}$ we find again that $\mathbf{X}^\rho$ is independent of the variable $\mathfrak{e}$. Due to decompositions (3.13)-$\mathbf{j}_1$-$\mathbf{j}_2$-$\mathbf{j}_9$-$\mathbf{j}_{10}$-$\mathbf{j}_{12}$ we have : $\boldsymbol{X}^\rho = \boldsymbol{X}^\rho(x, A)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu = \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu(x, A)$. From (3.13)-$\mathbf{j}_{11}$, we observe $\boldsymbol{X}^\rho = \boldsymbol{X}^\rho(x)$, so that, due to (3.13)-$\mathbf{j}_6$-$\mathbf{j}_7$ we conclude that : $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}(x, \Pi)$. We don't have any extra information on $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}(x, A, \mathfrak{e}, \Pi)$. The functions $\boldsymbol{X}^\nu, \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu, \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}, \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}$ are smooth fonctions on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{Weyl}} \subset \Lambda^n T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}$, with values in $\mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following coordinate dependance :

$$
\boldsymbol{X}^\nu = \boldsymbol{X}^\nu(x) \quad , \quad \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu = \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu(x, A) \quad , \quad \boldsymbol{\Upsilon} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}(x, A, \mathfrak{e}, \Pi) \quad , \quad \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}(x, \Pi) \tag{3.14}
$$

We consider the further condition $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}(q,p) = -\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\nu\mu}(q,p)$ so that we are left with equations (3.13)-$\mathbf{j}_3$-$\mathbf{j}_4$-$\mathbf{j}_5$-$\mathbf{j}_6$:

$$\left|\begin{array}{lcl} \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{X}^\nu}{\partial x^\nu} + \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} & = & 0 \\[2ex] \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial x^\nu} + \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} & = & 0 \end{array}\right. \qquad \left|\begin{array}{lcl} \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial A_\mu} - \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} & = & 0 \\[2ex] \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} - \dfrac{\partial \mathbf{X}^\nu}{\partial A_\mu} & = & 0 \end{array}\right. \tag{3.15}$$

together with the set of equations involving more than two terms, namely those witch arise from (3.13)-$\mathbf{j}_8$. We have the following proposition :

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $\Xi \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ *then* Ξ *satisfies* $\mathrm{d}(\Xi \,\lrcorner\, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}}) = 0$ *if and only if* Ξ *is written* $\Xi = \overline{\zeta} + \chi$ *with*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\zeta} \;=\;& X^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \Theta_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} - \Big(\mathfrak{e}(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\nu}) + \frac{\partial \Theta_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} \\ & -\Big(\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\delta^\mu_\rho\big([(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\sigma}) - \delta^\nu_\sigma(\frac{\partial X^\lambda}{\partial x^\lambda})] + (\frac{\partial \Theta_\sigma}{\partial A_\nu})\big) - \mathfrak{e}(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial A_\mu})\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

and $\chi = \Upsilon\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon^{A_\mu\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\alpha}}$ *with* $\Upsilon : \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}} \to \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\Upsilon^{A_\mu\alpha} : \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}} \to \mathbb{R}$ *smooth functions on* $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}$ *such that :*

$$\frac{\partial \Upsilon}{\partial A_\mu} - \frac{\partial \Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = 0 \tag{3.17}$$

The following proposition (3.3) is a result due to F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher [25] [26] and it describes the more general search for all algebraic observable $(n-1)$-forms. Any infinitesimal symplectomorphism $\Xi \in \mathfrak{sp}_\circ(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ can be written under the form $\Xi = \chi + \bar{\zeta}$.

Proposition 3.3. *If* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ *is an open subset of* $\Lambda^n T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}$, *then the set of all infinitesimal symplectomorphisms* Ξ *on* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ *are of the form* $\Xi = \chi + \overline{\zeta}$, *where*

$$\chi := \sum_{\beta_1<\cdots<\beta_n} \chi_{\beta_1\cdots\beta_n}(q)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\beta_1\cdots\beta_n}} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \overline{\zeta} := \sum_\alpha \zeta^\alpha(q)\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} - \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{\partial \zeta^\alpha}{\partial q^\beta}(q)\boldsymbol{\Pi}^\beta_\alpha, \tag{3.18}$$

with,
[1] the coefficients $\chi_{\beta_1\cdots\beta_n}$ are such that $\mathrm{d}(\chi \,\lrcorner\, \boldsymbol{\Omega}) = 0$.
[2] $\zeta := \sum_\alpha \zeta^\alpha(q)\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha}$ is an arbitrary vector field on $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}$.
[3] $\boldsymbol{\Pi}^\beta_\alpha := \sum_{\beta_1<\cdots<\beta_n}\sum_\mu \delta^\beta_{\beta_\mu} p_{\beta_1\cdots\beta_{\mu-1}\alpha\beta_{\mu+1}\cdots\beta_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\beta_1\cdots\beta_n}}$.

We decompose the vector field $\Xi \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ with general coordinates :

$$\Xi = \Xi^\alpha(q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha_1<\ldots<\alpha_n} \Xi_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_n}(q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_n}}$$

Now we adapt our notations for Maxwell, namely we denote and also the only component on multimomenta area as

$$\Xi^\alpha(q,p) = \Big\{\mathbf{X}^\nu(q,p); \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu(q,p)\Big\} \qquad\qquad \Xi_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_n}(q,p) = \Big\{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}(q,p); \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}(q,p)\Big\} \tag{3.19}$$

We denote :

$$\chi = \Xi - \overline{\zeta} = \boldsymbol{X}^\nu\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} - \overline{\zeta}$$

We consider the expression (3.16) so that we obtain a expression for $\chi = \Xi - \bar{\zeta}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi \;=\;& \underbrace{\big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} - \big(\mathfrak{e}(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\nu}) + \frac{\partial \Theta_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}\big)\big)}_{\chi^{\mathfrak{e}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} \\
&+\underbrace{\Big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu} - \Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\delta^\mu_\rho\Big[\big[(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\sigma}) - \delta^\nu_\sigma(\frac{\partial X^\lambda}{\partial x^\lambda})\big] + (\frac{\partial \Theta_\sigma}{\partial A_\nu})\Big] - \mathfrak{e}(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial A_\mu})\Big)}_{\chi^\Pi}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}}
\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

As announced in the proposition (3.3), we have coefficients of χ such that $\mathrm{d}(\chi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}) = 0$. Since $\chi = \chi^{\mathfrak{e}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathfrak{e}} + \chi^\Pi\frac{\partial}{\partial\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}}$, the interior product of χ with $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}$ writes simply :

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} &= \big(\chi^{\mathfrak{e}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \chi^\Pi\frac{\partial}{\partial\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}}\big) \lrcorner \big(\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big) \\
&= \chi^{\mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \big(\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}(\chi^\Pi\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}})\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big) = \chi^{\mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \chi^\Pi \mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu
\end{aligned}$$

Now we compute $\mathrm{d}(\chi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}) = \mathrm{d}\chi^{\mathfrak{e}}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\chi^\Pi\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(\chi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}) &= \mathrm{d}\big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} - (\mathfrak{e}\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\nu} + \frac{\partial\Theta_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu})\big)\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \\
&+\mathrm{d}\Big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu} - \Big(\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\delta^\mu_\rho\big(\big[(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\sigma}) - \delta^\nu_\sigma(\frac{\partial X^\lambda}{\partial x^\lambda})\big] + (\frac{\partial\Theta_\sigma}{\partial A_\nu})\big] - \mathfrak{e}(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial A_\mu})\big)\Big)\Big)\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to the expression of the exterior derivative $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}$ and $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}$, we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(\chi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}) &= \big(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial A_\mu} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu}\big)\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + \big(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial\mathfrak{e}} - \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\nu}\big)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \\
&+\big(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} - \frac{\partial\Theta_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\big)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \big(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial A_\beta}\big)\mathrm{d}A_\beta\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\
&+\big(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial\mathfrak{e}} - \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial A_\mu}\big)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\
&+\Big(\big(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}}\big) - \delta^\mu_\rho\big(\big[(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\sigma}) - \delta^\nu_\sigma(\frac{\partial X^\lambda}{\partial x^\lambda})\big] - (\frac{\partial\Theta_\nu}{\partial A_\sigma})\big)\Big)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu
\end{aligned}$$

Now we are interested in terms in which $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}$ is involved : the first three terms in the last equation are concerned. Let notice that, if we denote $q = \{x, A\}$ then, $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}(q, \mathfrak{e}, \Pi)$ and the first two terms in the last equation give :

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial\mathfrak{e}}(q,\mathfrak{e},\Pi) - \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\nu}(q) &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}{\partial\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}}(q,\mathfrak{e},\Pi) - \frac{\partial\Theta_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}(q) &= 0
\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}$$

Hence, it exists $\Upsilon(q) = \Upsilon(x, A)$ So that :

$$\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}(q,\mathfrak{e},\Pi) = \Upsilon(q) + (\frac{\partial\Theta_\mu}{\partial x^\nu})\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} + \mathfrak{e}(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\nu})$$

On the other side, $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}(q,p) = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}(x,\Pi)$ therefore, the interesting information is contained in the set of equations :

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial\mathfrak{e}}(x,\Pi) - (\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial A_\mu})(q) &= 0 \\
\Big[\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial\Pi^{A_\mu\sigma}}(q,\mathfrak{e},\Pi) - \delta^\mu_\rho\big(\big[(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\sigma}) - \delta^\nu_\sigma(\frac{\partial X^\lambda}{\partial x^\lambda})\big] - (\frac{\partial\Theta_\nu}{\partial A_\sigma})\big)\Big]\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\sigma}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu &= 0
\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

Hence, it exists $\Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}(q) = \Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}(x,A)$ So that :

$$\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu\nu}(q,p) = \Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}(x,A) - \Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\delta^\mu_\rho\Big\{\Big[(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\sigma}) - \delta^\nu_\sigma(\frac{\partial X^\lambda}{\partial x^\lambda})\Big] - (\frac{\partial \Theta_\nu}{\partial A_\sigma})\Big\} + \mathfrak{e}(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial A_\mu})$$

The set of infinitesimal symplectomorphisms $\mathfrak{sp}_\circ(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}})$ of $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}})$ is described by vector fields $\Xi = \Xi\big|_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}} = \overline{\zeta} + \chi$ with $\overline{\zeta}$ described by (3.16) and $\chi = \Upsilon\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon^{A_\mu\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Pi^{\omega_\mu\alpha}}$. Here, $X^\nu, \Theta_\mu, \Upsilon, \Upsilon^{A_\mu\alpha}$ are defined on $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}$ and not anymore on the full multisymplectic manifold $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}$.

Proposition 3.4. *If we assume that* $dx^\mu(\Xi) = 0$ *- we throw away the* X^μ *which correspond to parts of the stress-energy-tensor - the proposition* (3.2) *gives :*

$$\Xi = \big(\Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu} - \Pi^{A_\mu\sigma}(\frac{\partial\Theta_\sigma}{\partial A_\nu})\big)\frac{\partial}{\partial\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} + \big(\Upsilon - \frac{\partial\Theta_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\big)\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathfrak{e}} + \Theta_\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu}$$

$\Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}$, Υ and Θ_μ are smooth arbitrary functions of (x,A) with $\Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}(q) = -\Upsilon^{A_\nu\mu}(q)$, they satisfy the condition :

$$\frac{\partial\Upsilon}{\partial A_\mu} - \frac{\partial\Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = 0$$

Proposition 3.5. *Let* $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathit{Maxwell}}, \Lambda^{n-1}T^\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathit{Maxwell}})$. *The* $(n-1)$*-form* $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ *is an algebraic observable if and only if* $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ *is written* $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}_X + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_A + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_\chi$ *where*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_X & = & \mathfrak{e}X^\rho \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho - \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}X^\rho \mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu} \\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}_A & = & \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_\mu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \end{array}\right. \tag{3.23}$$

where $X^\mu, \Theta_\mu : \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}} \to \mathbb{R}$ *are arbitrary smooth function on* $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\chi$ *is a* $(n-1)$*-form such that*

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\chi = \Upsilon \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \tag{3.24}$$

with Υ *and* $\Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}$ *such that* $\Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu} = -\Upsilon^{A_\nu\mu}$. *The function* Υ *and* $\Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}$ *satisfy*

$$\frac{\partial\Upsilon}{\partial A_\mu} - \frac{\partial\Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = 0 \tag{3.25}$$

We notice that $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_X + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_A$ are the so-called generalized algebraic momenta $(n-1)$-forms. Recall that an arbitrary vector field on $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}$ is written (3.5), $\zeta := \sum_\alpha \zeta^\alpha(q)\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} = X^\nu(x,A)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \Theta_\mu(x,A)\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu}$. Let us denote $\mathbf{P}_\zeta = \zeta \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\theta}$ so that

$$\mathbf{P}_\zeta = \zeta\lrcorner\big(\mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big) = \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(\zeta) + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\big((\zeta\lrcorner \mathrm{d}A_\mu)\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge(\zeta\lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu)\big)$$

Since, $\zeta\lrcorner \mathrm{d}A_\mu = \Theta_\mu$ and $\zeta\lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = (X^\rho\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho})\lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = X^\rho \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}$ Then we obtain :

$$\mathbf{P}_\zeta = \mathfrak{e}X^\rho \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_\mu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}X^\rho \mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}_X + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_A$$

$\mathbf{P}_\zeta$ are the *generalized momenta* $(n-1)$-form. We have $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_\zeta = -\overline{\zeta}\lrcorner\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}$. The canonical symplectomorphism associated to $\mathbf{P}_\zeta$ is denoted $\Xi(\mathbf{P}_\zeta) = \bar{\zeta}$. We evaluate the exterior derivative $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_\zeta = \mathrm{d}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_X + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_A]$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_\zeta & = & \mathrm{d}\big(\mathfrak{e}\boldsymbol{X}^\nu + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu\big)\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \mathrm{d}\big(\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{X}^\rho\big)\wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu} \\ & = & \underbrace{\boldsymbol{X}^\nu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_1} + \underbrace{\mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{X}^\nu\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_2} \underbrace{+\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_3} \underbrace{+\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_4} \\ & & -\underbrace{\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{X}^\rho \mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_5} - \underbrace{\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{X}^\rho \mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_6} \end{array}$$

Now we expand the objects $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{X}^\rho$, $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu$ so that :

$$\boldsymbol{\iota}_2 = \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{X}^\nu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = \mathfrak{e}\big(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{X}^\nu}{\partial x^\alpha}\mathrm{d}x^\alpha + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{X}^\nu}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{X}^\nu}{\partial \mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{X}^\nu}{\partial \Pi^{A_\beta \alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta \alpha}\big) \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$$

$$\boldsymbol{\iota}_3 = \Pi^{A_\mu \nu}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = \Pi^{A_\mu \nu}\big(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial x^\alpha}\mathrm{d}x^\alpha + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial \mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial \Pi^{A_\beta \alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta \alpha}\big) \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_\zeta \;=\; & \underbrace{\boldsymbol{X}^\nu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_1} + \underbrace{\mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{X}^\nu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_2} \underbrace{+\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_4} - \underbrace{\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}\boldsymbol{X}^\rho \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_5} \\
& + \underbrace{\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial x^\alpha})\mathrm{d}x^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_7} \underbrace{+\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial A_\beta})\mathrm{d}A_\beta \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_8} \underbrace{+\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial \mathfrak{e}})\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_9} \\
& + \underbrace{\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial \Pi^{A_\beta \alpha}})\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta \alpha} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_{10}} - \underbrace{\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial x^\alpha}\mathrm{d}x^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_{11}} - \underbrace{\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial A_\beta}\mathrm{d}A_\beta \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_{12}} \\
& - \underbrace{\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial \mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_{13}} - \underbrace{\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial \Pi^{A_\beta \alpha}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\beta \alpha} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_{14}}
\end{aligned}$$

Since, $\boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{X}(x)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu = \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu(x, A)$ - see (3.14), we obtain vanishing contributions from the terms $\boldsymbol{\iota}_9, \boldsymbol{\iota}_{10}, \boldsymbol{\iota}_{12}, \boldsymbol{\iota}_{13}$ and $\boldsymbol{\iota}_{14}$. Therefore :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_\zeta \;=\; & \underbrace{\boldsymbol{X}^\nu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_1} + \underbrace{\mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{X}^\nu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_2} \underbrace{+\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_4} - \underbrace{\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}\boldsymbol{X}^\rho \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_5} \\
& + \underbrace{\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial x^\alpha})\mathrm{d}x^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_7} \underbrace{+\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu}{\partial A_\beta})\mathrm{d}A_\beta \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_8} - \underbrace{\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{X}^\rho}{\partial x^\alpha})\mathrm{d}x^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}}_{\boldsymbol{\iota}_{11}}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the general expression for a canonical symplectomorphism is :

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\zeta} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}} \;=\; & \bar{\zeta} \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \bar{\zeta} \lrcorner \left[\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\right] \\
=\; & -\big(\mathfrak{e}(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\nu}) + \frac{\partial \Theta_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}\big)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \underbrace{-\boldsymbol{X}^\nu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{-\boldsymbol{\iota}_1} \\
& +\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}(\bar{\zeta})\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \mathrm{d}A_\mu(\bar{\zeta})\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + \mathrm{d}x^\rho(\bar{\zeta})\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu} \\
=\; & \underbrace{-\mathfrak{e}(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{X}^\nu}{\partial x^\nu})\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}_{-\boldsymbol{\iota}_2} \underbrace{-\frac{\partial \Theta_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}_{-\boldsymbol{\iota}_7} \underbrace{-\boldsymbol{X}^\nu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu}_{-\boldsymbol{\iota}_1} +\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu}(\bar{\zeta})\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \\
& -\mathrm{d}A_\mu(\bar{\zeta})\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \underbrace{+\boldsymbol{X}^\rho \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}}_{-\boldsymbol{\iota}_5}
\end{aligned}$$

Since, $\mathrm{d}A_\mu(\bar{\zeta}) = \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu$ then we compute :

$$-\mathrm{d}A_\mu(\bar{\zeta})\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = -\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\mu \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = -\boldsymbol{\iota}_4$$

Let us denote, $[\mathbf{I}] = \boldsymbol{\iota}_1 + \boldsymbol{\iota}_2 + \boldsymbol{\iota}_4 + \boldsymbol{\iota}_5 + \boldsymbol{\iota}_7$. We remark that $\bar{\zeta} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = -[\mathbf{I}] + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}(\bar{\zeta})\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$ let also notice that $\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}(\bar{\zeta}) = \bar{\zeta}^{\Pi}$ with $\overline{\zeta} = \boldsymbol{X}^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \boldsymbol{\Theta}_\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\rho} + \bar{\zeta}^{\mathfrak{e}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \bar{\zeta}^{\Pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu \sigma}}$, we are left with the term $\bar{\zeta}^{\Pi}$:

$$\bar{\zeta}^{\Pi} = \Pi^{A_\mu\sigma}\Big[[(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\sigma}) - \delta^\nu_\sigma(\frac{\partial X^\lambda}{\partial x^\lambda})] + (\frac{\partial \Theta_\sigma}{\partial A_\nu})\Big] - \mathfrak{e}(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial A_\mu})$$

so that : $\bar{\zeta} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = -[\mathbf{I}] + \Big[\bar{\zeta}^{\Pi}\Big]^{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$. Finally we shall denote the remaining terms $[\mathbf{II}] = \boldsymbol{\iota}_8 + \boldsymbol{\iota}_{11}$, hence we can write the equality $\mathrm{d}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_X + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_A] = [\mathbf{I}] + [\mathbf{II}]$. Therefore in order to prove the equality $\bar{\zeta} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = -\mathrm{d}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_X + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_A]$, we only need to prove that $\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}(\bar{\zeta})\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = -[\mathbf{II}]$. Since $\mathrm{d}x^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu} = \delta^\alpha_\rho \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \delta^\alpha_\nu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho$

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\iota}_{11} &= -\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}^\rho}{\partial x^\alpha}\mathrm{d}x^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu} = \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}^\rho}{\partial x^\alpha}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \Big[\delta^\alpha_\rho \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \delta^\alpha_\nu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho\Big] \\
&= \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}^\rho}{\partial x^\rho}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial X^\rho}{\partial x^\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho = \Pi^{A_\mu\sigma}\Big[(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\sigma}) - \delta^\nu_\sigma(\frac{\partial X^\lambda}{\partial x^\lambda})\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

and also, on the same vein,

$$\boldsymbol{\iota}_8 = \Pi^{A_\mu\sigma}(\frac{\partial \Theta_\nu}{\partial A_\sigma}) - \mathfrak{e}(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial A_\mu})$$

so that we found the wanted result.

3.4 Dynamical observable $(n-1)$-forms

Proposition 3.6. *Let* $\Xi \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ *then* Ξ *satisfies* $\mathrm{d}(\Xi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}})$ *and* $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}(\Xi) = 0$ *if and only if* Ξ *is written* $\Xi = \Xi_X + \Xi_A$ *with*

$$\Xi_X = X^\mu \partial_\mu \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\theta} \tag{3.26}$$

a vector field on the Minkowski space-time $\mathcal{X}$ *- a generator of the action of the Poincaré group - and*

$$\Xi_A = \Theta_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} - \Big(\frac{\partial \Theta_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} \tag{3.27}$$

Proposition 3.7. *Let* $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}, \Lambda^{n-1}T^\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}})$. *The* $(n-1)$*-form* $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ *is a dynamical observable if and only if* $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ *is written* $\boldsymbol{\rho} = \boldsymbol{\rho}_X + \boldsymbol{\rho}_A$ *where*

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\rho}_X &= \mathfrak{e}X^\rho \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho - \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}X^\rho \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu} \\
\boldsymbol{\rho}_A &= \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_\mu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + \Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}A_\mu \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

$X^\mu, \Theta_\mu, \Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu} : \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{z}} \to \mathbb{R}$ *are arbitrary smooth function such that* $\Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu} = -\Upsilon^{A_\nu\mu}$ *with* $\dfrac{\partial \Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = 0$.

Since $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{\text{Maxwell}}(q,p) = \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}(q,p) = \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}$ we consider $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}(\Xi)$ as a polynomial expression depending on the variables $(\mathfrak{e}, \Pi^{A_\mu\nu})$. We have :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}(\Xi) &= \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}(\chi + \overline{\zeta}) \\
&= \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\big(\Upsilon\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}}\big) - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\big(\Upsilon^{A_\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\alpha\beta}}\big) - \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\Big(\big(\mathfrak{e}(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\nu}) + \frac{\partial \Theta_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\big)\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}}\Big) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Big(\Big(\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}\delta^\mu_\rho\big([(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial x^\sigma}) - \delta^\nu_\sigma(\frac{\partial X^\lambda}{\partial x^\lambda})] + (\frac{\partial \Theta_\sigma}{\partial A_\nu})\big) - \mathfrak{e}(\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial A_\mu})\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

So that we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}(\Xi) &= \Upsilon + \big[\mathfrak{e}\big]\big[-(\frac{\partial X^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}})\big] + \big[\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}}\big]\big[-\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Upsilon^{A_{\rho\sigma}} - \frac{\partial \Theta_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\big] + \big[\mathfrak{e}\Pi^{A_{\lambda\kappa}}\big]\big[\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\lambda}\eta_{\nu\kappa}(\frac{\partial X^{\nu}}{\partial A_{\mu}})\big] \\ &\quad + \big[\Pi^{A_{\lambda\kappa}}\Pi^{A_{\rho\sigma}}\big]\big[-\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\lambda}\eta_{\nu\kappa}\delta^{\mu}_{\rho}\big([(\frac{\partial X^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\sigma}}) - \delta^{\nu}_{\sigma}(\frac{\partial X^{\lambda}}{\partial x^{\lambda}})] + (\frac{\partial \Theta_{\sigma}}{\partial A_{\nu}})\big)\big] \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Thanks to (3.29) we have the following relations $\Upsilon = 0$ and

$$\left| \begin{array}{llcl} \big[\mathfrak{e}\big] & 0 & = & \big[-(\frac{\partial X^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}})\big] \\ \big[\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}}\big] & 0 & = & \big[-\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Upsilon^{A_{\rho\sigma}} - \frac{\partial \Theta_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\big] \\ \big[\mathfrak{e}\Pi^{A_{\lambda\kappa}}\big] & 0 & = & \big[\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\lambda}\eta_{\nu\kappa}(\frac{\partial X^{\nu}}{\partial A_{\mu}})\big] \\ \big[\Pi^{A_{\lambda\kappa}}\Pi^{A_{\rho\sigma}}\big] & 0 & = & \big[-\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\lambda}\eta_{\nu\kappa}\delta^{\mu}_{\rho}\big([(\frac{\partial X^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\sigma}}) - \delta^{\nu}_{\sigma}(\frac{\partial X^{\lambda}}{\partial x^{\lambda}})] + (\frac{\partial \Theta_{\sigma}}{\partial A_{\nu}})\big)\big] \end{array}\right. \tag{3.30}$$

The relation (3.30) - $\big[\mathfrak{e}\Pi^{A_{\lambda\kappa}}\big]$ leads to $X^{\nu}(x, A) = X^{\nu}(x)$. From (3.30) - $\big[\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}}\big]$ we obtain the following relation :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} (1/2)\eta_{\rho\mu}\eta_{\sigma\nu}\Upsilon^{A_{\rho\sigma}} & = & -\partial_{\nu}\Theta_{\mu} \\ -(1/2)\eta_{\sigma\nu}\eta_{\rho\mu}\Upsilon^{A_{\sigma\rho}} & = & \partial_{\mu}\Theta_{\nu} \end{array}\right. \tag{3.31}$$

If we sum the last two equations we obtain :

$$\eta_{\rho\mu}\eta_{\sigma\nu}(1/2)(\Upsilon^{A_{\rho\sigma}} - \Upsilon^{A_{\sigma\rho}}) = \eta_{\rho\mu}\eta_{\sigma\nu}\Upsilon^{A_{\rho\sigma}} = \partial_{\mu}\Theta_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\Theta_{\mu}$$

Thanks to (3.30) - , we obtain

$$\overline{\zeta} \;=\; X^{\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}} + \Theta_{\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu}} - \Big(\frac{\partial \Theta_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}}$$

and $\chi = \Upsilon^{A_{\mu\alpha}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\mu\alpha}}}$ with $\Upsilon : \mathfrak{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\Upsilon^{A_{\mu\alpha}} = \partial_{\mu}\Theta_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\Theta_{\mu} : \mathfrak{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ smooth functions on $\mathfrak{Z}$ such that :

$$\frac{\partial \Upsilon^{A_{\mu\nu}}}{\partial x^{\nu}} = 0 \tag{3.32}$$

Hence $\Xi \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ such that $d(\Xi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{DDW})$ and $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}(\Xi) = 0$ if and only if Ξ is written as announced in proposition (3.6) :

$$\Xi = \Xi_X + \Xi_A = X^{\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} + \Theta_{\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu}} - \Big(\frac{\partial \Theta_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + [\partial_{\mu}\Theta_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\Theta_{\mu}]\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}}}$$

3.5 Algebraic observable $(n-1)$-forms in the pre-multisymplectic case

We enter into some details, considering the pre-multisymplectic case. For the **(DDW)** pure theory without constraint type, and forgetting the decomposition on the space-time variable - so that we forget the stress-energy tensor part - we would focus on the following infinitesimal symplectomorphisms, $\Xi^{\circ} \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}_{\text{DDW}}, T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}_{\text{DDW}})$ as :

$$\Xi^{\circ}_{\text{DDW}} = \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}(q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu}} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}(q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}}} \tag{3.33}$$

Notice that due to the Dirac primary constraint set, we must consider the following object $\Xi^{\circ} \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}_{\text{Maxwell}}, T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}_{\text{Maxwell}})$ which is given by the interplay of some forbidden directions :

$$\Xi^{\circ} = \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}(q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu}} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}(q,p)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\nu\mu}}}\Big). \tag{3.34}$$

$\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}(q,p)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}(q,p)$ are smooth functions on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}_{\text{Maxwell}} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}} \subset \Lambda^{n}T^{\star}(T^{\star}\mathcal{X})$, with values in $\mathbb{R}$. We evaluate the expression $\Xi^{\circ} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\circ}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi^{\circ} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\circ} &= \Xi^{\circ} \lrcorner \,(\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_{\rho\sigma}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}) + \Xi^{\circ} \lrcorner \,(\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu})\\
&= \big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}} - \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\nu\mu}}\big)\mathrm{d}A_{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu} - \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_{\rho\sigma}}\big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}} - \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\nu\mu}}\big)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}
\end{aligned}$$

Now using the definition of the symplectomorphisms $\mathrm{d}(\Xi^{\circ} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\circ}) = 0$, we make the following calculation :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(\Xi^{\circ} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\circ}) &= \mathrm{d}\big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}} - \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\nu\mu}}\big) \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu} - \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu}\\
&\quad +1/2\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_{\rho\sigma}}\mathrm{d}\big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}} - \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\nu\mu}}\big) \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + 1/2\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}} - \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\nu\mu}}\big)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\rho\sigma}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the decomposition of $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}$ and $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}$:

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\mathrm{d}x^{\alpha} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}}{\partial A_{\beta}}\mathrm{d}A_{\beta} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\beta\alpha}}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\beta\alpha}}\ , \quad \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\mathrm{d}x^{\alpha} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}}{\partial A_{\beta}}\mathrm{d}A_{\beta} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\beta\alpha}}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\beta\alpha}}$$

The different decompositions of $(n+1)$-forms are written :

$$\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{j}_1 & [\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\beta\alpha}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu}] & | \quad -\dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\beta\alpha}}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\beta\alpha}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu}\\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_2 & [\mathrm{d}A \wedge \mathrm{d}A \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu}] & | \quad \big(\dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}}{\partial A_{\beta}} - \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\nu\mu}}}{\partial A_{\beta}}\big)\mathrm{d}A_{\beta} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu}\\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_3 & [\mathrm{d}A \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}] & | \quad \dfrac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_{\rho\sigma}}\big(\dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}}{\partial A_{\beta}} - \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\nu\mu}}}{\partial A_{\beta}}\big)\mathrm{d}A_{\beta} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \big(\dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\nu\mu}}}{\partial x^{\nu}} - \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\big)\mathrm{d}A_{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}\\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_4 & [\mathrm{d}\Pi \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}] & | \quad \dfrac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_{\rho\sigma}}\big(\dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\beta\alpha}}} - \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\nu\mu}}}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\beta\alpha}}}\big)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\beta\alpha}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}\\
 & & \quad\;\; +\dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \dfrac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}} - \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\nu\mu}}\big)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\rho\sigma}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}\\[2ex]
\mathbf{j}_5 & [\mathrm{d}A \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu}] & | \quad -\dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}}{\partial A_{\beta}}\mathrm{d}A_{\beta} \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\mu\nu}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu} + \big(\dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\beta\alpha}}} - \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\nu\mu}}}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\beta\alpha}}}\big)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_{\beta\alpha}} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu}
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.35}$$

The mathematical requirement on the infinitesimal symplectomorphism $\mathrm{d}(\Xi^{\circ} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\circ}) = 0$ allows us to precise the condition on the functions $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}$. The equation (3.35)-$\mathbf{j}_1$ gives us that $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}$ is independent of momenta, $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mu}(x, A)$. The equation (3.35)-$\mathbf{j}_2$ gives $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}(x, \Pi)$. Since we have equation (3.35)-$\mathbf{j}_3$ we obtain the following condition :

$$\partial_{\nu}\big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\nu\mu}} - \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_{\mu\nu}}\big) = 0 \tag{3.36}$$

We recover from relations that emerge from (3.35)-$\mathbf{j}_1$-$\mathbf{j}_5$ the results of J. Kijowski [34] and J. Kijowski and W. Szczyrba [36].

3.6 Observable functionals

First, we recall the general setting for describing the kinematical and dynamical observable functionals. Then we construct the dynamical observable functional for Maxwell theory.

Kinematical observable functionals. The important objects for the needs of physics are observable functionals. This provides a bridge with the classical or quantum observables of field theory. We describe a multisymplectic manifold $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$ together with an Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$. We denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{H}}$ the set of Hamiltonian n-curves. This picture is the generalization of an Hamiltonian system[6] $(\mathcal{M}, \Omega, \mathcal{H})$ to the n-dimensional case where the dynamical data are $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}, \mathcal{H})$. Before giving the definition of an observable functional, we introduce the notion of *slice*. The quantities of physical interest are functionals on the set of Hamiltonian n-curves $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{H}}$. We construct such observable functionals by integration of an algebraic observable $(n-1)$-form over a submanifold $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \subset \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ of codimension 1 of a Hamiltonian n-curve $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. Here we recover the picture of observable functionals in the classical (quantum) field theory as smeared integrals over a spacelike hypersurface.

Definition 3.6.1. *A slice of codimension* 1 *is a submanifold* $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ *such that* $T_m\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}/T_m\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ *is smoothly oriented with respect to m and, such that for any* $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ *is transverse to* $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$.

This definition allows us to give an orientation on $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cap \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. If $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is a slice of codimension 1 and $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is a $(n-1)$-form on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$, namely $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \Lambda^{n-1}T^\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$, we define the concept of functional $\mathsf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} := \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \boldsymbol{\rho}$. This object is described as $\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \boldsymbol{\rho} : \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the set of Hamiltonian n-curves by means of :

$$\mathsf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} := \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \boldsymbol{\rho} : \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mapsto \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\cap\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} \boldsymbol{\rho} \tag{3.37}$$

We can integrate the $(n-1)$-form $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ on $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cap \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. To reach the object of interest, we pass from those functionals to observable functionals whose form $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is an algebraic observable.

Definition 3.6.2. *Let* $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ *be a slice of codimension* 1 *and let be* $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ *an algebraic observable* $(n-1)$*-form. An observable functional* $\mathsf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} = \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ *defined on the set of n-dimensional submanifolds* $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{H}}$ *is given by the map :*

$$\mathsf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} = \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \boldsymbol{\varphi} : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{H}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma} & \mapsto & \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) = \displaystyle\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\cap\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \end{array} \right. \tag{3.38}$$

Then for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathfrak{P}_\circ^{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ the Poisson bracket - which coincides with the standard bracket for field theory - between two observable functionals $\int_\Sigma \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ and $\int_\Sigma \boldsymbol{\eta}$ is defined such that $\forall\, \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{H}}$ we have (3.39).

$$\left\{ \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \boldsymbol{\eta} \right\} (\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) := \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\cap\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} \{\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\eta}\} \tag{3.39}$$

This Poisson bracket satisfies the Jacobi identity. Let us consider $\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathfrak{P}_\circ^{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$. From previous considerations, we know that

$$\big\{\{\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}\}, \boldsymbol{\eta}\big\} + \big\{\{\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\eta}\}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}\big\} + \big\{\{\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}\}, \boldsymbol{\rho}\big\} = \mathrm{d}(\xi_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \wedge \xi_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \wedge \xi_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \lrcorner\, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$$

---

[6] An Hamiltonian system $(\mathcal{M}, \Omega, \mathcal{H})$ is the data of a symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \Omega)$, together with a smooth Hamiltonian function $\mathcal{H}$

which gives by antisymmetry :

$$\big\{\boldsymbol{\varphi},\{\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{\eta}\}\big\}+\big\{\boldsymbol{\eta},\{\boldsymbol{\varphi},\boldsymbol{\rho}\}\big\}+\big\{\boldsymbol{\rho},\{\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\varphi}\}\big\}=-\mathrm{d}(\xi_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\wedge\xi_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\wedge\xi_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}\mathbin{\lrcorner}\boldsymbol{\Omega}).$$

Therefore, restricting ourselves to the study of functional observables along Hamiltonian n-curves $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ such that $\partial\boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\emptyset$ we have the Jacobi identity :

$$\left\{\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\boldsymbol{\varphi},\left\{\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\boldsymbol{\varrho},\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\boldsymbol{\eta}\right\}\right\}+\left\{\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\boldsymbol{\eta},\left\{\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\boldsymbol{\varphi},\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\boldsymbol{\varrho}\right\}\right\}+\left\{\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\boldsymbol{\varrho},\left\{\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\boldsymbol{\eta},\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right\}\right\}=0.$$

Dynamical observable functionals. The question of dynamical observable functionals hold the key to a *fully covariant theory.* In the perspective of a covariant theory, we would like to define a bracket over two different slices $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\circ}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}$. The bracket defined previously in (3.39) depends on the choice of the given slice $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Given, $\boldsymbol{\varphi},\boldsymbol{\eta}\in\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ we define the following bracket :

$$\left\{\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\circ}}\boldsymbol{\varphi},\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}}\boldsymbol{\eta}\right\}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}):=\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\circ}\cap\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}\{\boldsymbol{\varphi},\boldsymbol{\eta}\}\tag{3.40}$$

Therefore, we are interested in dynamical observable functionals. It is precisely for *dynamical observables* that we can construct a fully covariant bracket (3.40). We consider an algebraic observable $(n-1)$-form $\boldsymbol{\varphi}\in\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ via its related infinitesimal symplectomorphism $\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\in C^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}},T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ which is the unique vector field such that $\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathbin{\lrcorner}\boldsymbol{\Omega}=-\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\varphi}$. The algebraic observable $(n-1)$-form becomes a dynamical observable if we have the additional condition :

$$\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\mathbin{\lrcorner}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}=\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}(\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}})=0.\tag{3.41}$$

This condition reflects a homological feature : if $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is a Hamiltonian n-curve, then this functional $\mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ depends only on the homology class of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ [25] [26]. More precisely, following F. Hélein [20] [21] we show that this result follows from :

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\boldsymbol{\rho}\in\Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}},\Lambda^{n-1}T^{\star}\mathcal{M})$ be a dynamical $(n-1)$-form. Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\circ}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}$ be two slices such that there exists an open subset $\mathcal{D}\subset\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ which verifies $\partial\mathcal{D}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\circ}-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}$. We have the following equality : $\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\circ}}\boldsymbol{\rho}=\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}}\boldsymbol{\rho}$.*

Time slice and Minkowski space. A slice of codimension 1 is thought to be as a slice of time : an hypersurface of type $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\circ}=\big\{t=\tau_{\circ}\big\}$ where $\tau_{\circ}$ is a constant. In such a context, we consider the spacetime manifold $\mathcal{X}_{\text{Mink}}=\mathcal{X}$ to be the flat Minkowski space. In this case we denote $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ endowed with a constant metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, given in the canonical basis by the matrix $\mathrm{diag}(1,-1,-1,-1)$. We denote the coordinates on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}}$ by $(x^{\mu},A_{\mu},\mathfrak{e},\Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu})=(t,x^{1},x^{2},x^{3},A_{\mu},\mathfrak{e},\Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu})$. For any $\tau_{\circ}\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\circ}:=\big\{(x^{\mu},A_{\mu},\mathfrak{e},\Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu})\in\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{DDW}}\ /\ t=\tau_{\circ}\big\}\tag{3.42}$$

are slices of codimension 1. The slices $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\circ}$ are oriented by the following condition :

$$\partial_{\circ}\mathbin{\lrcorner}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}\big|_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\circ}}>0\tag{3.43}$$

Notice that in such a setting we also denote $x=(t,\boldsymbol{x})$ where $\boldsymbol{x}=(x^{\mu})_{1\leq\mu\leq n-1}$ and $t=x^{\circ}$.

Dynamical observable functionals for the Maxwell theory. We follow the method developed by D.R. Harrivel [19]. Let $A:T^{\star}\mathcal{X}\to\mathbb{R}$ a smooth application and let $\mathsf{h}\in\mathbb{R}$. We associate to (A,h) the application $\varsigma_{A,\mathsf{h}}:\mathcal{X}\to\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ defined $\forall x\in\mathcal{X}$ by :

$$\varsigma_{A,\mathsf{h}}(x):=\big(x,A(x),\mathcal{E}_{A,\mathsf{h}}(x)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}+\Pi(x,A(x),\mathrm{d}A(x))\big)\cong\big(x,A(x),\mathcal{E}_{A,\mathsf{h}}(x),\Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}(x)\big)\in\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$$

where $\Pi(x, A(x), \mathrm{d}A(x)) = \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu(x, A(x), \mathrm{d}A(x))$ and the function $\mathcal{E}_{A,\mathsf{h}} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by :

$$\mathcal{E}_{A,\mathsf{h}}(x) : \mathsf{h} - \mathcal{H}(x, A(x), 0, \Pi(x, A(x), \mathrm{d}A(x))) = \mathsf{h} + \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Pi^{A_\rho\sigma}$$

The graph of the application $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}_{A,\mathsf{h}}(x)$ is written $\mathbf{G}[\boldsymbol{\varsigma}_{A,\mathsf{h}}] = \big(x, A(x), \mathcal{E}_{A,\mathsf{h}}(x)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \Pi(x, A(x), \mathrm{d}A(x))\big)$ Then we consider $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{A,\mathsf{h}} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ the image of the application $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}_{A,\mathsf{h}}$. Thanks to the following expression

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}|_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{A,\mathsf{h}}} = \big(\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial x^\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\nu + \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial A_\mu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu + \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\mathfrak{e}}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} + \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\big)|_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{A,\mathsf{h}}}$$

and the application of the Hamilton equations, we observe that $\mathcal{H}|_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{A,\mathsf{h}}}$ is constant and equal to h on the graph of an Hamiltonian function. Notice that on the graph of an Hamiltonian function we have for any A and a map $x \mapsto p(x)$ such that $(x, A(x), \mathrm{d}A(x))\boxdot(x, A(x), p(x))$ the following relations :

$$\left| \begin{array}{lcl} \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}(x) & = & \dfrac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\nu A_\mu)}(x, A(x), \mathrm{d}A(x)) \\ \mathfrak{e}(x) & = & \mathsf{h} \ + L(x, A(x), \mathrm{d}A(x)) - \displaystyle\sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1}\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}(x) \end{array} \right. \tag{3.44}$$

equivalently :

$$\left| \begin{array}{lcl} \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}(x) & = & \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}(x) \\ \mathfrak{e}(x) & = & \mathsf{h} \ + \dfrac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}(x)\mathbf{F}^{\rho\sigma}(x) \end{array} \right. \tag{3.45}$$

Now we consider a slice $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ of codimension 1. We can describe, following [19], slice of type $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \big(\boldsymbol{\varkappa} \circ \pi^{\mathcal{X}}\big)^{-1}(0)$, where $\pi^{\mathcal{X}}$ is the natural projection $\pi^{\mathcal{X}} : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and where $\boldsymbol{\varkappa} : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function without any critical point, such that $\boldsymbol{\varkappa}^{-1}(0) \neq 0$.

Thanks to proposition (3.7), the dynamical observable $(n-1)$-forms are described by $\boldsymbol{\rho} = \boldsymbol{\rho}_X + \boldsymbol{\rho}_A$ where $\boldsymbol{\rho}_X$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}_A$ are described by relations (3.28). Since $\boldsymbol{\rho}_X = \mathfrak{e}X^\rho\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho - \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}X^\rho\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}$

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\cap\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{A,h}}\boldsymbol{\rho}_X = \int_{\pi^{\mathcal{X}}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})}\big(\mathsf{h} \ + \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}(x)\mathbf{F}^{\rho\sigma}(x)\big)X^\lambda\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\lambda - \underbrace{\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}X^\rho\frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x^\alpha}\mathrm{d}x^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}}_{[\mathbf{I}]}$$

Since

$$\begin{array}{lcl} [\mathbf{I}] & = & \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}X^\rho\dfrac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x^\alpha}(\delta^\alpha_\rho\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \delta^\alpha_\nu\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho) = \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}X^\rho\dfrac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x^\rho}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}X^\rho\dfrac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho \\ & = & \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}X^\rho\dfrac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x^\rho}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + \mathbf{F}^{\mu\rho}X^\nu\dfrac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x^\rho}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = \big(\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}X^\rho + \mathbf{F}^{\mu\rho}X^\nu\big)\dfrac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x^\rho}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \end{array}$$

we obtain :

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\cap\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{A,h}}\boldsymbol{\rho}_X = \int_{\pi^{\mathcal{X}}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})}\Big[\mathsf{h} \ + \Big(\frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\alpha}\eta_{\nu\beta}\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}(x)\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}(x) + \mathbf{F}^{\mu\rho}\Big)X^\lambda\frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x^\rho} - \mathbf{F}^{\mu\lambda}X^\rho\frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x^\rho}\Big]\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\lambda \tag{3.46}$$

On the other side $\boldsymbol{\rho}_A = \big(\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_\mu + \Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}A_\mu\big)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$, so that :

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\cap\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{A,h}}\boldsymbol{\rho}_A = \int_{\pi^{\mathcal{X}}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})}(\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_\mu + \Upsilon^{A_\mu\nu}A_\mu)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = \int_{\pi^{\mathcal{X}}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})}\Big[\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}\Theta_\mu + (\partial_\mu\Theta_\nu - \partial_\nu\Theta_\mu)A_\mu\Big]\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu \tag{3.47}$$

Now we focus on the second observable functional (3.47). We proceed to the integration on a time slice $\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ$, with $\mathsf{h} = 0$ so that we consider the functional $\int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ \cap \mathbf{\Gamma}_{A,0}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_A$:

$$\int_{\mathbf{\Sigma} \cap \mathbf{\Gamma}_{A,0}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_A = \int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_{t=\tau_\circ}} \left(\mathbf{F}^{\mu\circ}\Theta_\mu + \Upsilon^{A_\mu\circ}A_\mu\right)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ \tag{3.48}$$

As noticed by J. Kijowski [34] and J. Kijowski and W. Szczyrba [36] if we consider $\Theta_i\big|_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} = -\delta_i^k\cdot\boldsymbol{\varsigma}$ and $\Upsilon^{A_0 i}\big|_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} = 0$. With these conditions, the observable functional (3.48) is now denoted $\mathbf{D}^k(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ and is the k-th component of the electric field E^k smeared with the test function $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}$.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{D}^k(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) & = & \displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} \left(\mathbf{F}^{i\circ}(-\delta_i^k\cdot\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) - \Upsilon^{A_\circ i}A_i\right)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ = \int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} \mathbf{F}^{k\circ}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varsigma}\ \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ \\ & = & \displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} \mathsf{E}^k\cdot\boldsymbol{\varsigma}\ \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ \end{array} \tag{3.49}$$

On the other side, if we consider the conditions $\Theta_i\big|_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} = 0$ and $\Upsilon^{A_0 i}\big|_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} = -\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_j\boldsymbol{\varsigma}$ the observable functional (3.48) is now denoted $\mathbf{B}^k(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ and is the k-th component of the magnetic field B^k smeared with the test function $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}$.

$$\mathbf{B}^k(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) = \int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} \left(\mathbf{F}^{i\circ}(-\delta_i^k\cdot\boldsymbol{\varsigma}) - \Upsilon^{A_\circ i}A_i\right)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{kij}\int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} \mathbf{F}_{ij}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varsigma}\ \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ \tag{3.50}$$

The objects $\mathbf{E}^k(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ and $\mathbf{B}^k(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ are observable-valued distributions $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ) \to \mathbf{E}^k(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}), \mathbf{B}^k(\boldsymbol{\varsigma})$ [34] [36]. From (3.49) and (3.50) we obtain the following Poisson brackets :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \left\{\mathsf{E}^k(\varsigma_1), \mathsf{E}^i(\varsigma_2)\right\} & = & \left\{\mathsf{B}^k(\varsigma_1), \mathsf{B}^i(\varsigma_2)\right\} = 0 \\ \left\{\mathsf{B}^k(\varsigma_1), \mathsf{E}^i(\varsigma_2)\right\} & = & -\epsilon^{kij}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} (\partial_j\varsigma_1)\varsigma_2\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ \end{array} \tag{3.51}$$

So that we observe a set of equal-time Poisson bracket , using observable valued distribution $\mathsf{E}^k(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\mathsf{B}^k(\boldsymbol{x})$, see J. Kijowski and W. Szczyrba [34] [36] :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \left\{\mathsf{E}^k(\boldsymbol{x}), \mathsf{E}^i(\boldsymbol{x})\right\} & = & 0 \\ \left\{\mathsf{B}^k(\boldsymbol{x}), \mathsf{B}^i(\boldsymbol{y})\right\} & = & 0 \\ \left\{\mathsf{B}^k(\boldsymbol{x}), \mathsf{E}^i(\boldsymbol{y})\right\} & = & -\epsilon^{kij}\partial_j\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}) \end{array} \tag{3.52}$$

We next examine the relation with the stress energy tensor $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu\nu}$, see relations (3.54). First let us focus on some preparatory work in order to grasp the relation with the energy density component of $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu\nu}$. Let us denote :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{P}_{\partial_\circ} & = & \partial_\circ \lrcorner\, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathrm{DDW}} = \partial_t \lrcorner\, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathrm{DDW}} \\ \boldsymbol{\eta}_\circ & = & -\partial_\circ \lrcorner \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathrm{DDW}} - \mathcal{H}(q,p)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}\right) \end{array} \tag{3.53}$$

Lemma 3.1. We denote $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} = \mathrm{d}x^\circ \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{n-1}$, $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\mu = \partial_\mu \lrcorner\, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$ and $\Pi = \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$. We have the following relation :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial_\circ \lrcorner\, \Pi & = & \displaystyle\sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1}\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \Big(\partial_\nu \lrcorner\, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ\Big) \\ & = & \displaystyle\sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1}\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu+1} \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{n-1} \end{array}$$

$\ulcorner$ **Proof**. Since, $\partial_\circ \lrcorner \mathrm{d}A_\mu = 0$ and $\partial_\circ \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = \partial_\circ \lrcorner \partial_\nu \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} = (\partial_\circ \wedge \partial_\nu) \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} = -\partial_\nu \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ$. We have :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\circ \lrcorner \Pi &= \partial_\circ \lrcorner \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu = \Pi^{A_\mu \nu}\big((\partial_\circ \lrcorner \mathrm{d}A_\mu) \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu - \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge (\partial_\circ \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu)\big) \\ &= -\Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge (\partial_\circ \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu) = \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge (\partial_\nu \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ) \end{aligned}$$

Now $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ = \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{n-1}$ so that we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\circ \lrcorner \Pi &= \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge (\partial_\nu \lrcorner (\mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{n-1})) \\ &= \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge (-1)^{\nu-1} (\mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{n-1} \quad \lrcorner \end{aligned}$$

From lemma (3.1), we have the expression of $\mathbf{P}_{\partial_\circ}$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}_\circ$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\partial_\circ} &= \partial_\circ \lrcorner \big(\mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big) = \partial_\circ \lrcorner \big(\mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \Pi\big) \\ &= \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ + \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu+1} \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

and also :

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\eta}_\circ &= \mathcal{H}(q,p)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ - \partial_\circ \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{DDW}} = \mathcal{H}(q,p)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ - \mathbf{P}_{\partial_\circ} \\ &= \mathcal{H}(q,p)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ - \Big(\mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ + \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu+1} \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{n-1}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

We consider the Hamiltonian curve $\mathbf{\Gamma} := \big\{(x^\nu, A_\mu(x), \mathfrak{e}(x), \Pi^{A_\mu \nu}(x))\big\} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}$ and the instantaneous slices $\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ = \mathbf{\Gamma} \cap \big\{x^\circ = t\big\}$, so that :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} \boldsymbol{\eta}_\circ &= \int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} \mathcal{H}(q,p)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ - \int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} \Big(\mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ + \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu+1} \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{n-1}\Big) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} \mathcal{H}(q,p)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ - \int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_\circ} \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ + \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Pi^{A_\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \Big(\partial_\nu \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ\Big) \end{aligned}$$

Stress energy tensor. We recall that the canonical stress energy tensor $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu\nu}$ and the symmetric stress-energy tensor $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mu\nu}$ for the electromagnetic field are described by :

$$\left| \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{\mu\nu} &= -\big(\mathbf{F}_{\mu\lambda}\partial_\nu A^\lambda - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}\big) \\ \overline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mu\nu} &= \overline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mu\nu} + \mathbf{F}^{\mu\lambda}\partial_\lambda A^\nu = -\big(\mathbf{F}^{\mu\lambda}\mathbf{F}^\nu{}_\lambda - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}\big) \end{aligned} \right. \tag{3.54}$$

The symmetric stress-energy tensor $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu\nu}$ is obtained by adding a term $\partial_\lambda \boldsymbol{\kappa}^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ with $\boldsymbol{\kappa}^{\mu\nu\lambda} = -\boldsymbol{\kappa}^{\nu\mu\lambda}$.

$$\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_{\mu\nu} = \mathfrak{S}_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\lambda \boldsymbol{\kappa}^{\mu\nu\lambda} \tag{3.55}$$

The relation (3.55) is known as the Belinfante-Rosenfeld formula [3] [41]. The canonical stress energy tensor $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu\nu}$ associated to $A : T^\star\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is written :

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mu\nu} = \delta^\alpha_\beta L(x, A(x), \mathrm{d}A(x)) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_{\lambda\alpha}}(x, A(x), \mathrm{d}A(x))\frac{\partial A_\lambda}{\partial x^\beta}(x) \tag{3.56}$$

Hence, $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu\nu} = \delta^\alpha_\beta(-1/4\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}) - \eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\alpha\sigma}\mathbf{F}_{\rho\sigma}\dfrac{\partial A_\lambda}{\partial x^\beta} = \delta^\alpha_\beta(-1/4\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}) - \big(\mathbf{F}^{\lambda\alpha}\dfrac{\partial A_\lambda}{\partial x^\beta}\big)$ so that we find back (3.54). For example we notice the term $\mathfrak{S}^\circ_\circ = (-1/4\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}) - \big(\mathbf{F}^{\lambda\circ}\dfrac{\partial A_\lambda}{\partial x^\circ}\big)$. We describe, see [23] the Hamiltonian counterpart of the stress-energy tensor described as the Hamiltonian tensor :

$$\mathfrak{H}(q,p) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\mathfrak{H}^\alpha_\beta(q,p)\partial_\alpha\otimes \mathrm{d}x^\beta \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{H}^\alpha_\beta(q,p) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_{\mu\alpha}}(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p))\mathcal{V}_{\mu\beta} - \delta^\alpha_\beta L(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p)) \tag{3.57}$$

Notice that if $(x, A(x), \mathrm{d}A(x), \mathbf{h}) \boxdot (q,p)$ then $\mathfrak{H}^\alpha_\beta(q,p) = -\mathfrak{S}^\alpha_\beta(x)$ so that :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{H}^\mu_\nu(q,p) &= \delta^\mu_\nu\mathcal{H}(q,p) - \Big\langle p, \mathcal{Z}_1(q,p)\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathcal{Z}_{\mu-1}(q,p)\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}\wedge\mathcal{Z}_{\mu+1}(q,p)\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathcal{Z}_n(q,p)\Big\rangle \\
&= \delta^\mu_\nu\mathcal{H}(q,p) - \frac{\partial\langle p,z\rangle}{\partial z^\nu_\mu}\Big|_{z=\mathcal{Z}(q,p)}
\end{aligned}$$

First, we are interested in the component $\mathfrak{H}^\circ_\circ(x,A)$:

$$\mathfrak{H}^\circ_\circ(x,A) = \mathcal{H}(q,p) - \langle p, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\circ}\wedge z_1\wedge\cdots\wedge z_{n-1}\rangle \tag{3.58}$$

Let us evaluate : $\langle p, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\circ}\wedge z_1\wedge\cdots\wedge z_{n-1}\rangle = \langle p, \underset{\circ}{\mathcal{Z}}\rangle$ with $\underset{\circ}{\mathcal{Z}} = \partial_\circ\wedge z_1\wedge\cdots\wedge z_{n-1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle p, \underset{\circ}{\mathcal{Z}}\rangle &= \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathrm{DDW}}_p(\underset{\circ}{\mathcal{Z}}) = \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(\underset{\circ}{\mathcal{Z}}) + \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu(\underset{\circ}{\mathcal{Z}}) \\
&\quad \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(\underset{\circ}{\mathcal{Z}}) + \Pi^{A_\mu\circ}\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ(\underset{\circ}{\mathcal{Z}}) + \Pi^{A_\mu 1}\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_1(\underset{\circ}{\mathcal{Z}}) + \Pi^{A_\mu 2}\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2(\underset{\circ}{\mathcal{Z}}) \\
&\quad +\Pi^{A_\mu 3}\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_3(\underset{\circ}{\mathcal{Z}}) \\
&= \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(\partial_\circ\wedge\partial_2\wedge\partial_3\wedge\partial_4) + \Pi^{A_\mu 1}\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_1(\mathcal{Z}_{1\mu}\partial_1\wedge\partial_3\wedge\partial_4\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu}) \\
&\quad +\Pi^{A_\mu 2}\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2(-\mathcal{Z}_{2\mu}\partial_1\wedge\partial_2\wedge\partial_4\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu}) \\
&\quad +\Pi^{A_\mu 3}\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_3(\mathcal{Z}_{3\mu}\partial_1\wedge\partial_2\wedge\partial_3\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu})
\end{aligned}$$

we finally find : $\langle p, \underset{\circ}{\mathcal{Z}}\rangle \;=\; \mathfrak{e} + \Pi^{A_\mu 1}\mathcal{Z}_{2\mu} + \Pi^{A_\mu 2}\mathcal{Z}_{3\mu} + \Pi^{A_\mu 3}\mathcal{Z}_{4\mu} = \mathfrak{e} + \displaystyle\sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}\Pi^{A_\mu\alpha}\partial_\alpha A_\mu$ Then, the expression $\mathfrak{H}^\circ_\circ(x,A)$ given by (3.58) is written :

$$\mathfrak{H}^\circ_\circ(x,A) = \mathcal{H}(q,p) - \big(\mathfrak{e} + \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}\Pi^{A_\mu\alpha}\partial_\alpha A_\mu\big)$$

and we finally notice that if we integrate the corresponding $(n-1)$-forms on a slice of time defined by (3.42) :

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\circ}\mathfrak{H}^\circ_\circ\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\circ = \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\circ}\boldsymbol{\eta}_\circ \tag{3.59}$$

Notice that we also recover the other component of the stress energy tensor (3.57) via the study of the following terms :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{H}^i_\circ(x,A) &= -\langle p, z_\circ\wedge z_1\wedge\cdots\wedge z_{i-1}\wedge\partial_\circ\wedge z_{i+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge z_{n-1}\rangle \\
\mathfrak{H}^\circ_i(x,A) &= -\langle p, \partial_i\wedge z_1\wedge\cdots\wedge z_{n-1}\rangle \\
\mathfrak{H}^i_j(x,A) &= \delta^i_j\mathcal{H}(q,p) - \langle p, z_\circ\wedge z_1\wedge\cdots\wedge z_{i-1}\wedge\partial_j\wedge z_{i+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge z_{n-1}\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that some related work on the Noether theorem for covariant field theory is found in [15] [16].

4 Dynamical equations and canonical variables

4.1 Graded structures and Grassman variables

In this section, we heuristically illustrate the tension between the *graded structure* and the *copolarization* process. One of the fundamental interest for field theory is the search for the good Poisson structure. The copolarization process and the modern classification concerning the distinction between *algebraic observable forms* (**AOF**) and *observable forms* (**OF**) appear in the work of F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher [25] [26]. This work emerge from the question of graded structure and their non-uniqueness feature when face to $(p-1)$-forms of various degree. Before give some aspect of copolarization process in (4.4), we emphasize this search by means of some remark on Graded structure, Grassman variables and *superforms* tool found in [23].

Graded structures. They appear in the traditional **(DDW)** setting as the algebraic structures related to algebraic forms of arbitrary degree. With this aim in view, we emphasize two main group of references. The first is found in the work of I.K. Kanatchikov [29] [30] [31] where very interesting ideas on the graded setting, in particular in connection with dynamical evolution for forms of lower degrees are developed. The second concerns the closely related work of M. Forger, C. Paufler and H. Römer [12] [13] [14]. The equation under consideration is $\overset{r}{X}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega} = \mathrm{d}\overset{n-r}{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ so we say that the Hamiltonian multivector field $\overset{r}{X}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ is associated with the Hamiltonian form $\overset{n-r}{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$. Neither $\overset{r}{X}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ nor $\overset{n-r}{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ are uniquely defined. Equivalently, the kernel of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ on multivector fields is non-trivial. This simple fact reflects a non unique correspondence between Hamiltonian multivector fields and Hamiltonian forms [12] [13].

In the context of (**MG**), we concentrate on the example of I.K. Kanatchicov bracket with main focus on graded antisymmetric bracket $\{\overset{r}{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}, \overset{s}{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}\} = -(-1)^{(n-r-1)(n-s-1)}\{\overset{s}{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}, \overset{r}{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\}$ (recall that we denote $r = n-p$ and $s = n-q$) :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{H}^{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{ham}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}) \times \mathfrak{H}^{\mathrm{s}}_{\mathrm{ham}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}) & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{H}^{r+s-n+1}_{\mathrm{ham}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}) \\ (\overset{r}{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}, \overset{s}{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}) & \mapsto & \{\overset{r}{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}, \overset{s}{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}\} = (-1)^{n-r} \overset{p}{X}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \lrcorner \overset{q}{X}_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega} \end{array}$$

Notice that, as told before, have a fundamental ambiguity in the search for Poisson structure for forms of arbitrary degree p and q - for arbitrary Hamiltonian forms. To be more precise, the ambiguity lays in the choice of the *objects* themselves, namely *in* the choice of the Hamiltonian multivectors fields $\overset{p}{X}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ and $\overset{q}{X}_{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}$. Consider the pairing of forms and vector fields via the study of the equation : $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = -\Xi_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}$. Therefore, for any arbitrary degree, the topological duality is of uncertainty feature expressed by the use of the map :

$$\mathfrak{U}^p_\circ : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^p T_m \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} & \rightarrow & \Lambda^{n+1-p} T^\star_m \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} = \Lambda^{r+1} T^\star_m \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} \\ \Xi & \mapsto & \mathfrak{U}^p_\circ(\Xi) = \Xi \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega} \end{array} \right.$$

So that, the Poisson bracket $\{\overset{r}{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}, \overset{s}{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}\}$ is built on two non-uniquely defined prerequisites.

Grassman variables. They appear in the BRST and BV formalisms with the use of ghosts and anti-ghosts. Here we feel the connection to the *conceptual* setting of the supersymmetric area - where additional virtual *matter* degree of freedom is find with the notion of ghost - and open the supersymmetric landscape [10] Once again we observe, from the mathematical perspective the graded scenery and the Gerstenhaber algebra [18]. In the multisymplectic landscape, we notice the use of Grassman-odd variables in the work of F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher [23] or in the one of S. Hrabak [27] [28].

Before discuss the copolarization process, we notice the early attempt of F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher concerning the internal, the external and the $^{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{p}$-bracket - see [23]. These considerations are connected to the expression of the dynamics. Notice that we delimitate two directions in connection with this. The first is the relation between the dynamical equations and the external bracket $\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\}$ [23] - see the clear relation with the dynamical evolutions equation given by I.K. Kanatchicov [29] [30]. The second is in [23] the introduction of Grassman extra variables - which makes connection with the work of S. Hrabak [27] [28]. We write dynamical equations under the form:

$$\mathbf{d}A = \{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, A\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\pi} = \{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \boldsymbol{\pi}\}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathbf{d}$ is the differential along a graph Γ of a solution of the Hamilton equations. This is related to the definition of a Poisson bracket between $\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \Lambda^n T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ and $(p-1)$-forms, with $1 \leq p \leq n-1$. We adopt here the terminology developed in [23] where we find the following different brackets : the *external* $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets, the *internal* $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets and the $^{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{p}$-bracket.

(i) **Internal $\mathfrak{p}$-bracket**. If $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\kappa} \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ with $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ the set of all algebraic observable $(n-1)$-forms, we define the *internal* $\mathfrak{p}$-bracket on $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$:

$$\{\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}\} = \Xi(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) \lrcorner \Xi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega} \tag{4.2}$$

The internal bracket is basically defined on algebraic $(n-1)$-forms.

(ii) **External $\mathfrak{p}$-bracket**. Now we extend the previous definition to the case where $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \Lambda^p T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$, with $1 \leq p \leq n$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ we obtain the *external* $\mathfrak{p}$-bracket :

$$\begin{array}{ccl} \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \Lambda^p T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}) \times \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}) & \rightarrow & \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \Lambda^p T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}) \\ (\varphi, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) & \mapsto & \big\{\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \varphi\big\} = -\Xi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\varphi \end{array}$$

Notice that $\{\varphi, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\} = -\{\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \varphi\} = \Xi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\varphi$. The interesting case for dynamical evolution is when, $\varphi = \mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$. Then we notice that for any $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}$ we have the following relation $\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\} = -\Xi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$.

(iii) **$^{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{p}$-bracket**. We are first interested by the method developed in [23] for the construction of a bracket between $(p-1)$ forms for p of arbitrary degree $(1 \leq p \leq n)$. F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher introduced anticommuting Grassman variables $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \cdots \boldsymbol{\tau}_n$ that behave under change of coordinates like $\partial_1 \cdots \partial_n$. In this case, an arbitrary form $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \Lambda^p T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ depends on the set of variables[7] $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_\alpha, x^\alpha, A_\mu, \mathfrak{e}, \Pi^{A_\mu \alpha})$. In the work [23] Grassman variables $\boldsymbol{\tau}_\alpha$ is related to the notion of *superform*. For any $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{P}^{p-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$ such that for all $1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n-p} \leq n$ we have : $\mathrm{d}x^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\alpha_{n-p}} \wedge \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$. We define in this case the superform $^{\mathfrak{s}}\boldsymbol{\lambda} =^{\mathfrak{s}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \sum_{\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_{n-p}} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha_1} \cdots \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha_{n-p}} \mathrm{d}x^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\alpha_{n-p}} \wedge \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. We define also a $^{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{p}$-bracket for $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \Lambda^n T^\star \mathcal{M})$

$$\big\{\boldsymbol{\varphi},^{\mathfrak{s}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}\big\}_{\mathfrak{s}} = -\Xi(^{\mathfrak{s}}\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = -\sum_{\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_{n-p}} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha_1} \cdots \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha_{n-p}} \Xi(\mathrm{d}x^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\alpha_{n-p}} \wedge \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\varphi}$$

---

[7] For a more detailed presentation of these Grassmannian variables $\boldsymbol{\tau}_\alpha$ - and an intrinsic geometrical picture - see [23].

Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be an admissible form [23], and let $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ be a n-dimensional submanifold of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ which is a graph over $\mathcal{X}$, then for any oriented $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^p \subset \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ with $\dim(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^p) = p$ we have :

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^p} \left\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y},\ {}^{\mathfrak{s}}\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right\}_{\mathfrak{s}} = \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^p} \left\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\right\} \tag{4.3}$$

However, we do not insist on this notions of the ${}^{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{p}$-bracket since for deeper purpose concerning the treatment of the dynamics, we will choose for adequate bracket a slightly different object[8]. The philosophy which underlines the ${}^{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{p}$-bracket is strongly connected to the one found in the work of S. Hrabak [27] [28] about the multisymplectic formulation of the classical BRST symmetry for first order field theories.[9]

4.2 Dynamical equations

In this subsection we recover the dynamical equations with two methods. First with the tool of superforms and the ${}^{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{p}$-bracket and also with external brackets : $\left\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \mathbf{P}_\phi\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \mathbf{Q}^\psi\right\}$.

Superform, Grassman variables and dynamical equations. In the context of Maxwell theory, we refer to [23] for detailed calculation. The 1-form A and the Faraday $(n-2)$-form $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ lead via the use of the *superform* ${}^{\mathfrak{s}}A$ and ${}^{\mathfrak{s}}\boldsymbol{\pi}$ to the following dynamical equations :

$$\text{(i)} \quad \mathbf{d}A = \left\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, A\right\} \qquad\qquad \text{(ii)} \quad \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\pi} = \left\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \boldsymbol{\pi}\right\}$$

$$\text{(i)} \quad \mathbf{d}A = \sum_{\alpha<\beta} \eta_{\alpha\mu}\eta_{\beta\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\beta \qquad\qquad \text{(ii)} \quad \mathbf{d}\pi = \mathbf{J}^\alpha \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\alpha$$

Canonical bracket is described via the computation of the ${}^{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{p}$-bracket $\left\{{}^{\mathfrak{s}}\boldsymbol{\pi}, {}^{\mathfrak{s}}A\right\}_{\mathfrak{s}}$. The important point is that the additional Grassman variables are only a tool, as in the setting of ghost and anti-ghost, and disappear at the end of the calculation.

External bracket and dynamical equations. We also recover the dynamical equations using the following external brackets : $\left\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \mathbf{P}_\phi\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \mathbf{Q}^\psi\right\}$. Let us compute the bracket $\left\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \mathbf{P}_\phi\right\}$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \mathbf{P}_\phi\right\} &= -\Xi(\mathbf{P}_\phi) \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} = -\Big[\phi_\mu(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} - \Big(\frac{\partial \phi_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}(x)\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}}\Big] \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \\
&= \Big[-\phi_\mu(x)\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial A_\mu} + \frac{\partial \phi_\mu(x)}{\partial x^\nu}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\Big]\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}
\end{aligned}$$

So, along the graph of a solution we have : $\left\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \mathbf{P}_\phi\right\}\big|_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} = \big[-\phi_\mu \mathbf{J}^\mu + \partial_\nu\phi_\mu \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}\big]\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$. On the other side, since $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{P}_\phi) = \big[\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}\dfrac{\partial\phi_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \phi_\mu(x)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big]$, we obtain :

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{P}_\phi)\big|_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} = \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial\phi_\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \phi_\mu(x)\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}}{\mathrm{d}x^\nu}(x)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} = \big[\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\phi_\mu + \phi_\mu\partial_\nu\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}\big]\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$$

---

[8] The construction based on *copolarization* of the multisymplectic manifold allows us to define observable forms of any degree collectively. Then in the next section we find good bracket described by F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher without this *superform artifact.*

[9] Here lay the connection with the conceptual setting of huge domain of modern investigation of mathematical physics. This concerns the *ghosts* and the *anti-ghosts* in the BRST formalism developed by C. Becchi, A. Rouet, R. Stora, I.V. Tyutin [2] [44] and the related BV setting of I.A. Batalin and G.A. Vilkovisky [1].

So that we finally observe the first set of dynamical evolution equations, along a graph of generalized Hamilton equations :

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{P}_{\phi})\big|_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} = \big\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \mathbf{P}_{\phi}\big\}\big|_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \partial_{\nu}\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{J}^{\mu}. \tag{4.4}$$

Now we are intersted in the second bracket :

$$\begin{aligned} \big\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \mathbf{Q}^{\psi}\big\} &= -\Xi(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}) \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} = -\big[\big(A_{\mu}\frac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}(x)\big)\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}}\big] \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \\ &= \big[\big(A_{\mu}\frac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\big) + \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}}\big]\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}. \end{aligned}$$

So that along a graph $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ of a solution of the Hamilton equations we find the following relation : $\big\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \mathbf{Q}^{\psi}\big\}\big|_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} = \big[\big(A_{\mu}\frac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\big) + \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}}\big]\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$. Whereas the expression of $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi})$ is written :

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi}) = \big[A_{\mu}\frac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}(x)\big]\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\mathrm{d}A_{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\nu} = \big[A_{\mu}\frac{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}(x) + \psi^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}\big]\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$$

So that we finally observe :

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi})\big|_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} = \big\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \mathbf{Q}^{\psi}\big\}\big|_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} \tag{4.5}$$

The dynamical evolution is encapsulated by the relations (4.4) and (4.5) :

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{Q}^{\psi})\big|_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} = \big\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \mathbf{Q}^{\psi}\big\}\big|_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} \\ \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{P}_{\phi})\big|_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} = \big\{\mathcal{H}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}, \mathbf{P}_{\phi}\big\}\big|_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} \end{cases}$$

4.3 Copolarization and observables $(p-1)$-forms

Copolarization. The copolarization process correspond to the collective definition of observable forms and emerges from **Relativity Principle** and **dynamics**. For details we refer to F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher [24] [25] [26]. Here we set out some key features of the notion of *copolarization*. In particular we give the general definition :

Definition 4.3.1. *Let* $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ *be a multisymplectic manifold. A copolarization on* $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ *is a smooth vector sub-bundle* $\mathbf{P}^{*}_{\bullet}T^{\star}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} \subset \Lambda^{*}T^{\star}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ *which satisfies :*

[1] $\mathbf{P}^{*}_{\bullet}T^{\star}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} = \bigoplus_{1\leq i\leq n}\mathbf{P}^{i}_{\bullet}T^{\star}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$
[2] *Locally, for any* $m \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$*,* $\big(\mathbf{P}^{*}_{\bullet}T^{\star}_{m}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, +, \wedge\big)$ *is a subalgebra of* $\big(\Lambda^{n}T^{\star}_{m}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, +, \wedge\big)$
[3] $\forall m \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \forall \phi \in \Lambda^{n}T^{\star}_{m}\mathcal{M}, \phi \in \mathbf{P}^{n}_{\bullet}T^{\star}_{m}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ *if and only if* $\forall X, \tilde{X} \in \mathcal{O}_{m},\ X \lrcorner \mathbf{\Omega} = \tilde{X} \lrcorner \mathbf{\Omega} \Rightarrow \phi(X) = \phi(\tilde{X})$.

We say that a multisymplectic manifold $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ is equipped with the copolarization $\mathbf{P}^{*}_{\bullet}T^{\star}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$. The notion of copolarization intrinsically defines for any $1 \leq p \leq n$ the set $\mathfrak{P}^{p-1}_{\bullet}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$, namely the set of observable $(p-1)$-forms $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ by $\forall m \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{m} \in \mathbf{P}^{p}_{\bullet}T^{\star}_{m}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$. We refer to [23] [24] [25] [26] for the construction of the *standard copolarization*. The copolarization is the natural geometrical setting to describe the canonical forms for field theory based on potential 1-form canonical variables.

In the case of Maxwell theory, the two canonical forms are the potential 1-form $A = A_{\mu}dx^{\mu}$ and its canonical form is the so-called Faraday 2-form (in the 4 dimensional case)

$$\boldsymbol{\pi} = 1/2\Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\mu\nu} = 1/2\sum_{\mu,\nu}\Pi^{A_{\mu}\nu}\partial_{\mu} \lrcorner \partial_{\nu} \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}$$

In a more general perspective - for gravity[10] or non-abelian Yang-Mills theories - canonical forms are described by a couple $(\omega, \boldsymbol{\varpi})$. The general setting allows us to construct a well-defined Poisson bracket between observable functionals related to the canonical forms $(\omega, \boldsymbol{\varpi})$:

$$\Big\{ \int_{\Sigma\cap\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\varkappa}} \boldsymbol{\varpi}, \int_{\Sigma\cap\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\varsigma}} \omega \Big\}(\Gamma) = \sum_{m\in\Sigma\cap\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\varkappa}\cap\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\varsigma}\cap\Gamma} \mathfrak{S}(m) \tag{4.6}$$

We give more details later about the bracket (4.9) and the related geometrical objects $\Sigma \cap \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\varkappa}$, $\Sigma \cap \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\varsigma}$ and $\Sigma \cap \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\varkappa} \cap \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\varsigma} \cap \Gamma$, as well as the counting object $\mathfrak{S}(m)$. Notice that the study of $(p-1)$-forms involves analogous definitions for *slices* in this case. We have the following :

Definition 4.3.2. *A slice of codimension $(n-p+1)$ is a submanifold $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ of codimension $(n-p+1)$ such that $T_m\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}/T_m\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is smoothly oriented with regard to m and, such that for any $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is transverse to $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$.*

We refer to [25] [26] for the question of orientation for the intersection $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cap \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. The straightforward analogue of definition (4.3.3) for the case of arbitrary $(p-1)$-forms is :

Definition 4.3.3. *Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ be a slice of codimension $(n-p+1)$ and let $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ be an algebraic observable $(p-1)$-form. An observable functional $\mathsf{F} = \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ defined on the set of n-dimensional submanifolds $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{H}}$ given by the map :*

$$\mathsf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} = \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \boldsymbol{\varphi} : \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{H}} & \longrightarrow \quad \mathbb{R} \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma} & \mapsto \quad \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) = \displaystyle\int_{\Sigma\cap\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

The notion of *copolarization* definitively emerges from the philosophy of (**GR**). This highlights the fact that we can not evaluate $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ along a Hamiltonian n-vector X. If $1 \leq p < n$ then an arbitrary $(p-1)$-form is necessarily of maximum degree $(n-2)$. Indeed, the interesting question resides on the interpretation of the object $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\big|_X$. This lack is supply precisely through the notion of copolarization. We construct a set of n 0-forms $\{\boldsymbol{\rho}_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$. These n 0-forms are found in the copolarization of the multisymplectic manifold under study $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$. These are observables 0-forms : $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n, \boldsymbol{\rho}_i \in \mathfrak{P}^0_{\bullet}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$. Locally we write for $m \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_i \in \mathbf{P}^1_{\bullet m} T^\star_m(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}})$. Hence, we reach the full dynamical duality : the evaluation on a Hamiltonian vector field X of the product: $\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq n} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_i$. This evaluation $\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq n} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_i(X)$ which only depends on $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}_m$ which means that $\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq n} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_i = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \boldsymbol{\rho}_n(X)$ is a copolar form.

In the philosophy of (**GR**) once again, this is fully acceptable since we never measure an observable *per se* but only compare observable quantities between observables. Now we very briefly discuss the $(p-1)$-bracket found in [23] [24] [25] [26] via the table below. We describe an equivalence class of (decomposable) Hamiltonian vector fields $[X]^{\mathcal{H}}$, observing that if $X \sim \tilde{X}$, we have for any $1 \leq p \leq n$ and $\phi \in \mathbf{P}^p_{\bullet} T^\star_m \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$, $X \llcorner \phi \sim \tilde{X} \llcorner \phi$ so that we define the equivalence class $[X] \llcorner \phi = [X \llcorner \phi] \in \mathbf{P}^{n-p}_{\bullet} T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$. We also have the notion of *algebraic copolarization*. This involves the same set of rules but with the replacement of $\mathbf{P}^n_{\bullet} T^\star_m \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ by $\mathbf{P}^n_{\circ} T^\star_m \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathbf{P}^n_{\bullet} T^\star_m \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ and where the point [3] in (4.3.1) is replaced by $[3]_\circ$:

$[3]_\circ$ $\forall m \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}, \forall \phi \in \Lambda^n T^\star_m \mathcal{M}, \phi \in \mathbf{P}^n_{\circ} T^\star_m \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ if and only if $\forall X, \tilde{X} \in \Lambda^n T_m \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$, $X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega} = \tilde{X} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega} \Rightarrow \phi(X) = \phi(\tilde{X})$.

---

[10] see the companion paper on Palatini Gravity [47].

4.4 Copolarization and canonical variables

We recall the result obtained by F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher [23], they give a possible copolarization of $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}})$ for Maxwell theory - with $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi} \wedge \mathrm{d}A$:

$$\left| \begin{array}{lcl} \mathbf{P}^1 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} & = & \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu \leq 3} \mathrm{d}x^\mu \\ \mathbf{P}^2 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} & = & \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq 3} \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_1} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_2} \oplus \mathrm{d}A \\ \mathbf{P}^3 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} & = & \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \mu_3 \leq 3} \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_1} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_2} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_3} \oplus \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu \leq 3} \mathrm{d}x^\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}A \oplus \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi} \\ \mathbf{P}^4 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} & = & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} \oplus \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu \leq 3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \lrcorner\, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{DDW}} \oplus \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq 3} \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_1} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_2} \wedge \mathrm{d}A \oplus \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu \leq 3} \mathrm{d}x^\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi} \end{array} \right. \tag{4.8}$$

The notion of copolarization describe the data for forms of various degrees. We can choose several copolarizations for a given theory. The construction of the previous copolarization (4.8) allows us to construct a well-defined Poisson bracket between observable functionals related to the canonical forms $(A, \boldsymbol{\pi})$:

$$\Big\{ \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cap \boldsymbol{\gamma}_\varkappa} \boldsymbol{\pi}, \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cap \boldsymbol{\gamma}_\varsigma} A \Big\}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) = \sum_{m \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cap \boldsymbol{\gamma}_\varkappa \cap \boldsymbol{\gamma}_\varsigma \cap \boldsymbol{\Gamma}} \mathfrak{S}(m) \tag{4.9}$$

.

In [25] [26], it is emphasized that there is strong reasons to not include $\mathfrak{C}^1 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq \mu \leq 3} \mathrm{d}A_\mu$ in $\mathbf{P}^1 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}}$. However, let us consider this set of 1-forms, denoted $\overline{\mathbf{P}}^1 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}}$

$$\overline{\mathbf{P}}^1 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} = \mathbf{P}^1 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} \oplus \mathfrak{C}^1 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}}$$

Then, we are led to think of the following smooth vector sub-bundle

$$\overline{\mathbf{P}}^* T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \overline{\mathbf{P}}^i T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} \subset \Lambda^* T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}$$

for candidate to describe a copolarization of $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}})$. Here,

$$\overline{\mathbf{P}}^i T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} = \mathbf{P}^i T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} \oplus \mathfrak{C}^i T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} \tag{4.10}$$

with,

$$\left| \begin{array}{lcl} \mathfrak{C}^2 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} & = & \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq 3} \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_2} \oplus \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq 3} \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_2} \\ \mathfrak{C}^3 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} & = & \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \mu_3 \leq 3} \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_1} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_2} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_3} \oplus \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \mu_3 \leq 3} \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_2} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_3} \\ & & \oplus \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \mu_3 \leq 3} \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_2} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_3} \\ \mathfrak{C}^4 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} & = & \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \mu_3 < \mu_4 \leq 4} \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_1} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_2} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_3} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_4} \oplus \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \mu_3 < \mu_4 \leq 4} \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_1} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_2} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_3} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_4} \\ & & \oplus \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \mu_3 \leq 3} \mathrm{d}x^{\mu_1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_2} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_{\mu_3} \oplus \bigoplus\limits_{0 \leq \mu \leq 4} \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi} \end{array} \right.$$

In fact, there are several obstructions for the previous data, namely

$$\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{*}T^{\star}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}} = \bigoplus_{1\leq i\leq 4} \overline{\mathbf{P}}^{i}T^{\star}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} = \overline{\mathbf{P}}^{1}T^{\star}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}\oplus\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{2}T^{\star}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}\oplus\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{3}T^{\star}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}\oplus\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{4}T^{\star}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Maxwell}}$$

in order to describe a good copolarization. We focus, for a given $1 \leq \mu \leq n$ on the following form $\boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu = \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi}$. The exterior derivative $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu$ is written :

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu = \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi}) = \mathrm{d}^2A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi} - \mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi} = -\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\big(\frac{1}{2}\Pi^{A_\rho\nu}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}\big) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\rho\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}$$

We see that the form $\boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu$ is not an **(OF)** $(n-1)$-form : $\boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu \notin \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\bullet}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}})$ so that ρ_μ is not an algebraic observable $(n-1)$-form **(AOF)**, $\boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu \notin \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_{\circ}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}})$. Let us consider two decomposable vector fields $\forall X, \overline{X} \in \Lambda^nT\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}}$. The n-vector $X \in \mathbf{D}^n_m\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} \subset \Lambda^nT_{(q,p)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}$ writes $X = X_1 \wedge ... \wedge X_n$ and $\forall\nu = 1...n$ we have

$$X_\alpha = \frac{\partial(q(x),p(x))}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + \Theta_{\alpha\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \Upsilon_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_\alpha^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}}$$

where $\forall 1 \leq \alpha \leq n$, $\Upsilon_\alpha^{A_\mu\nu} = -\Upsilon_\alpha^{A_\nu\mu}$ We know from (2.14) the expression of $X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}$ and $\overline{X} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} & = & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - \Upsilon_\rho\mathrm{d}x^\rho + \Theta_{\nu\mu}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} - \Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu + \big(\Upsilon_\rho^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_{\nu\mu} - \Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_{\rho\mu}\big)\mathrm{d}x^\rho \\ \overline{X} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} & = & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - \overline{\Upsilon}_\rho\mathrm{d}x^\rho + \overline{\Theta}_{\nu\mu}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\mu\nu} - \overline{\Upsilon}_\nu^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu + \big(\overline{\Upsilon}_\rho^{A_\mu\nu}\overline{\Theta}_{\nu\mu} - \overline{\Upsilon}_\nu^{A_\mu\nu}\overline{\Theta}_{\rho\mu}\big)\mathrm{d}x^\rho \end{array}$$

so that $X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = \overline{X} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}$ gives us the following relations :

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} \Theta_{\nu\mu} & = & \overline{\Theta}_{\nu\mu} \\ \Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu} & = & \overline{\Upsilon}_\nu^{A_\mu\nu} \end{array}\right. \qquad \text{and} \qquad -\Upsilon_\rho+\big(\Upsilon_\rho^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_{\nu\mu}-\Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_{\rho\mu}\big)) = -\overline{\Upsilon}_\rho+\big(\overline{\Upsilon}_\rho^{A_\mu\nu}\overline{\Theta}_{\nu\mu}-\overline{\Upsilon}_\nu^{A_\mu\nu}\overline{\Theta}_{\rho\mu}\big) \tag{4.11}$$

Given the relations (4.11), we want to know if we observe $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu(X) = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu(\overline{X})$ $\forall X, \overline{X} \in \Lambda^nT\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}}$. In the following we treat the example of copolarization for Maxwell theory. The four dimensional case is a straightforward application of the calculation exposed below.

First, we focus on the $2D$-case without the imposition of Dirac constraint set (we work on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DDW}}$) as a more simple illustration. In that case with $X, \overline{X} \in \mathbf{D}^n_m\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DDW}} \subset \Lambda^nT\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DDW}}$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X_1 & = & \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \Theta_{1\mu}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \Upsilon_1\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_1^{A_\mu\nu}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} \\ X_2 & = & \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + \Theta_{2\mu}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} \end{array} \tag{4.12}$$

We denote $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \partial_\mu$, $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} = \partial^{A_\mu}$, $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\mathfrak{e}} = \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}}$, $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} = \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X & = & \big(\partial_1 + \Theta_{1\mu}\partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_1\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_1^{A_\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu}\big) \wedge \big(\partial_2 + \Theta_{2\mu}\partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu}\big) \\ & = & \partial_1 \wedge \big(\partial_2 + \Theta_{2\mu}\partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu}\big) + \Theta_{1\rho}\partial^{A_\rho} \wedge \big(\partial_2 + \Theta_{2\mu}\partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu}\big) \\ & & +\Upsilon_1\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} \wedge \big(\partial_2 + \Theta_{2\mu}\partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu}\big) \\ & & +\Upsilon_1^{A_\rho\sigma}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\rho\sigma} \wedge \big(\partial_2 + \Theta_{2\mu}\partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}}\big) + \Upsilon_1^{A_\rho\sigma}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\rho\sigma} \wedge \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} \end{array} \tag{4.13}$$

Since we work on a subset of DeDonder-Weyl multisymplectic manifold, we only keep trace of the concerned terms :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X & = & \partial_1\wedge\partial_2 + \Theta_{2\mu}\partial_1\wedge\partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2\partial_1\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\partial_1\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} + \Theta_{1\mu}\partial^{A_\mu}\wedge\partial_2 + \Theta_{1\rho}\partial^{A_\rho}\wedge\Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} \\ & & +\Upsilon_1\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}}\wedge\partial_2 + \Upsilon_1^{A_\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\partial_2 + \Upsilon_1^{A_\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\Theta_{2\rho}\partial^{A_\rho} + \text{ terms } \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}}\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\rho\sigma} \end{array}$$

Then we expand the indices :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X & = & \partial_1\wedge\partial_2 + \Theta_{21}\partial_1\wedge\partial^{A_1} + \Theta_{22}\partial_1\wedge\partial^{A_2} + \Theta_{11}\partial^{A_1}\wedge\partial_2 + \Theta_{12}\partial^{A_2}\wedge\partial_2 + \Upsilon_2\partial_1\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_1\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}}\wedge\partial_2 \\ & & +\Upsilon_2^{A_11}\partial_1\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_11} + \Upsilon_2^{A_12}\partial_1\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12} + \Upsilon_2^{A_21}\partial_1\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21} + \Upsilon_2^{A_22}\partial_1\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_22} \\ & & +\Theta_{11}\partial^{A_1}\wedge\big(\Upsilon_2^{A_11}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_11} + \Upsilon_2^{A_12}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12} + \Upsilon_2^{A_21}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21} + \Upsilon_2^{A_22}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_22}\big) \\ & & +\Theta_{12}\partial^{A_2}\wedge\big(\Upsilon_2^{A_11}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_11} + \Upsilon_2^{A_12}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12} + \Upsilon_2^{A_21}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21} + \Upsilon_2^{A_22}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_22}\big) \\ & & +\Upsilon_1^{A_11}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_11}\wedge\partial_2 + \Upsilon_1^{A_12}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12}\wedge\partial_2 + \Upsilon_1^{A_21}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21}\wedge\partial_2 + \Upsilon_1^{A_22}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_22}\wedge\partial_2 \\ & & +\big(\Upsilon_1^{A_11}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_11} + \Upsilon_1^{A_12}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12} + \Upsilon_1^{A_21}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21} + \Upsilon_1^{A_22}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_22}\big)\wedge\Theta_{21}\partial^{A_1} \\ & & +\big(\Upsilon_1^{A_11}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_11} + \Upsilon_1^{A_12}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12} + \Upsilon_1^{A_21}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21} + \Upsilon_1^{A_22}\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_22}\big)\wedge\Theta_{22}\partial^{A_2} \\ & & + \text{ terms involving } \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}}\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu}\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\rho\sigma} \end{array}$$

Therefore we explicitly have the following calculation :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X\lrcorner\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}} & = & X\lrcorner\big(\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}x^1\wedge\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2\nu}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_2\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big) \\ & = & X\lrcorner\big(\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}x^1\wedge\mathrm{d}x^2\big) + X\lrcorner\big(\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_11}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_1 + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_21}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_2\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_1\big) \\ & & +X\lrcorner\big(\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_12}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2 + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_22}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_2\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2\big) \\ & = & X\lrcorner(\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}) + X\lrcorner(\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_11}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}x^2 - \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_12}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}x^1) \\ & & +X\lrcorner(\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_21}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_2\wedge\mathrm{d}x^2 - \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_22}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_2\wedge\mathrm{d}x^1) \end{array}$$

So that :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X\lrcorner\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}} & = & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(X)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - (\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_1)(X)\mathrm{d}x^1 - (\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e}\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2)(X)\mathrm{d}x^2 \\ & & +(\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}x^2)(X)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_11} - (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_11}\wedge\mathrm{d}x^2)(X)\mathrm{d}A_1 + (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_11}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_1)(X)\mathrm{d}x^2 \\ & & -(\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}x^1)(X)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_12} + (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_12}\wedge\mathrm{d}x^1)(X)\mathrm{d}A_1 - (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_12}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_1)(X)\mathrm{d}x^1 \\ & & +(\mathrm{d}A_2\wedge\mathrm{d}x^2)(X)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_21} - (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_21}\wedge\mathrm{d}x^2)(X)\mathrm{d}A_2 + (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_21}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_2)(X)\mathrm{d}x^2 \\ & & -(\mathrm{d}A_2\wedge\mathrm{d}x^1)(X)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_22} + (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_22}\wedge\mathrm{d}x^1)(X)\mathrm{d}A_2 - (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_22}\wedge\mathrm{d}A_2)(X)\mathrm{d}x^1 \\ & = & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - \Upsilon_1\mathrm{d}x^1 - \Upsilon_2\mathrm{d}x^2 + \Theta_{11}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_11} + \Theta_{21}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_12} + \Theta_{12}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_21} + \Theta_{22}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_22} \\ & & -(\Upsilon_1^{A_11} + \Upsilon_2^{A_12})\mathrm{d}A_1 - (\Upsilon_1^{A_21} + \Upsilon_2^{A_22})\mathrm{d}A_2 \\ & & -\big(\Upsilon_1^{A_12}\Theta_{21} + \Upsilon_1^{A_22}\Theta_{22} - \Theta_{11}\Upsilon_2^{A_12} - \Theta_{12}\Upsilon_2^{A_22}\big)\mathrm{d}x^1 \\ & & -\big(\Upsilon_2^{A_11}\Theta_{11} + \Upsilon_2^{A_21}\Theta_{12} - \Upsilon_1^{A_11}\Theta_{21} - \Upsilon_1^{A_21}\Theta_{22}\big)\mathrm{d}x^2 \end{array}$$

Then, the relations (4.11) are written :

$$\left|\begin{array}{rcl} \Theta_{11} & = & \overline{\Theta}_{11} \\ \Theta_{12} & = & \overline{\Theta}_{12} \\ \Theta_{21} & = & \overline{\Theta}_{21} \\ \Theta_{22} & = & \overline{\Theta}_{22} \end{array}\right. \qquad \left|\begin{array}{rcl} \Upsilon_1^{A_11} + \Upsilon_2^{A_12} & = & \overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_11} + \overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_12} \\ \Upsilon_1^{A_21} + \Upsilon_2^{A_22} & = & \overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_21} + \overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_22} \end{array}\right. \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$X\lrcorner\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}}\big|_{\mathrm{d}x^1} = \overline{X}\lrcorner\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}}\big|_{\mathrm{d}x^1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad X\lrcorner\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}}\big|_{\mathrm{d}x^2} = \overline{X}\lrcorner\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}}\big|_{\mathrm{d}x^2} \tag{4.15}$$

with,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X\lrcorner\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}}\big|_{\mathrm{d}x^1} & = & -\Upsilon_1 + \big(\Upsilon_1^{A_12}\Theta_{21} + \Upsilon_1^{A_22}\Theta_{22} - \Theta_{11}\Upsilon_2^{A_12} - \Theta_{12}\Upsilon_2^{A_22}\big) \\ X\lrcorner\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}}\big|_{\mathrm{d}x^2} & = & -\Upsilon_2 + \big(\Upsilon_2^{A_11}\Theta_{11} + \Upsilon_2^{A_21}\Theta_{12} - \Upsilon_1^{A_11}\Theta_{21} - \Upsilon_1^{A_21}\Theta_{22}\big) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{X} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}}\big|_{\mathrm{d}x^1} & = & -\overline{\Upsilon}_1 + \big(\overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_1 2}\overline{\Theta}_{21} + \overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_2 2}\overline{\Theta}_{22} - \overline{\Theta}_{11}\overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_1 2} - \overline{\Theta}_{12}\overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_2 2}\big) \\ \overline{X} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathrm{DDW}}\big|_{\mathrm{d}x^2} & = & -\overline{\Upsilon}_2 + \big(\overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_1 1}\overline{\Theta}_{11} + \overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_2 1}\overline{\Theta}_{12} - \overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_1 1}\overline{\Theta}_{21} - \overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_2 1}\overline{\Theta}_{22}\big) \end{array}$$

We write the previous conditions in matrix notations, we denote

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta} = \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\nu\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_{11} & \Theta_{21} \\ \Theta_{12} & \Theta_{22} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \overline{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} = \overline{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}_{\nu\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Theta}_{11} & \overline{\Theta}_{21} \\ \overline{\Theta}_{12} & \overline{\Theta}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us denote for $1 \leq \mu \leq 2$

$$\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{[A_\mu]} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_\rho^{A_\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_1^{A_\mu 1} & \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu 1} \\ \Upsilon_1^{A_\mu 2} & \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu 2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{[A_\mu]} = \overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}_\rho^{A_\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_\mu 1} & \overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_\mu 1} \\ \overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_\mu 1} & \overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_\mu 2} \end{pmatrix}$$

so that $\Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu} = \overline{\Upsilon}_\nu^{A_\mu\nu}$ is written in matrix notation for $1 \leq \mu \leq 2$:

$$\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{[A_\mu]}) = \sum_\nu \Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu} = \sum_\nu \overline{\Upsilon}_\nu^{A_\mu\nu} = \mathrm{tr}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{[A_\mu]})$$

Hence relations (4.14) are written :

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta} = \overline{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{[A_\mu]}) = \mathrm{tr}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{[A_\mu]}) \tag{4.16}$$

Finally we focus on the last remaining relation (4.15) Let us denote for $1 \leq \mu \leq 2$

$$\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{[\nu]} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_\rho^{A_\mu[\nu]} = \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_1^{A_1\nu} & \Upsilon_2^{A_1\nu} \\ \Upsilon_1^{A_2\nu} & \Upsilon_2^{A_2\nu} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{[\nu]} = \overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}_\rho^{A_\mu[\nu]} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_1\nu} & \overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_1\nu} \\ \overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_2\nu} & \overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_2\nu} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_1^{A_1 1} & \Upsilon_2^{A_1 1} \\ \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1} & \Upsilon_2^{A_2 1} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} -\Theta_{21} & -\Theta_{22} \\ \Theta_{11} & \Theta_{12} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Upsilon_1^{A_1 1}\Theta_{21} + \Upsilon_2^{A_1 1}\Theta_{11} & -\Upsilon_1^{A_1 1}\Theta_{22} + \Upsilon_2^{A_1 1}\Theta_{12} \\ -\Upsilon_1^{A_2 1}\Theta_{21} + \Upsilon_2^{A_2 1}\Theta_{11} & -\Upsilon_1^{A_2 1}\Theta_{22} + \Upsilon_2^{A_2 1}\Theta_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_1^{A_1 2} & \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2} \\ \Upsilon_1^{A_2 2} & \Upsilon_2^{A_2 2} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} -\Theta_{21} & -\Theta_{22} \\ \Theta_{11} & \Theta_{12} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Upsilon_1^{A_1 2}\Theta_{21} + \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2}\Theta_{11} & -\Upsilon_1^{A_1 2}\Theta_{22} + \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2}\Theta_{12} \\ -\Upsilon_1^{A_2 2}\Theta_{21} + \Upsilon_2^{A_2 2}\Theta_{11} & -\Upsilon_1^{A_2 2}\Theta_{22} + \Upsilon_2^{A_2 2}\Theta_{12} \end{pmatrix},$$

the relations (4.15) are written, with $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{[\rho]} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\Upsilon_\rho \end{pmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Theta_{21} & -\Theta_{22} \\ \Theta_{11} & \Theta_{12} \end{pmatrix}$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{tr}\big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{[1]} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{[1]}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\big) & = & \mathrm{tr}\big(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{[1]} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{[1]}\overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\big) \\ \mathrm{tr}\big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{[2]} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{[2]}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\big) & = & \mathrm{tr}\big(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{[2]} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{[2]}\overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\big) \end{array} \tag{4.17}$$

Now, we evaluate $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu(X) = -1/2\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\rho\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}(X) = 1/2\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_\rho\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu}(X)$. We obtain via a straightforward calculation :

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu = 1/2\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1 2} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{12} + 1/2\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2 1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{21} - 1/2\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1 2} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu + 1/2\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2 1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 & = & -1/2\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1 2} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_1 + 1/2\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2 1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_1 \\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_2 & = & -1/2\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1 2} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2 + 1/2\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2 1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2 \end{array} \tag{4.18}$$

Then,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1(X) & = & \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2}\Theta_{11} - \Upsilon_1^{A_1 2}\Theta_{21} - \Upsilon_2^{A_2 1}\Theta_{11} + \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1}\Theta_{21} \\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_2(X) & = & \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2}\Theta_{12} - \Upsilon_1^{A_1 2}\Theta_{22} - \Upsilon_2^{A_2 1}\Theta_{12} + \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1}\Theta_{22} \end{array} \tag{4.19}$$

We clearly now see that the information contained in the comparison of the contraction of two vector fields $X, \overline{X} \in \mathbf{D}^n_m \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DDW}} \subset \Lambda^n T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{DDW}}$ with the multisymplectic form $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}$ - that is $X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = \overline{X} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}$ - equivalent to

$$\left| \begin{array}{rcl} \boldsymbol{\Theta} & = & \overline{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} \\ \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{[A_\mu]}) & = & \operatorname{tr}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{[A_\mu]}) \\ \operatorname{tr}\big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{[1]} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{[1]}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\big) & = & \operatorname{tr}\big(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{[1]} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{[1]}\overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\big) \\ \operatorname{tr}\big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{[2]} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{[2]}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\big) & = & \operatorname{tr}\big(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{[2]} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{[2]}\overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\big), \end{array} \right. \tag{4.20}$$

is not sufficient to conclude that $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1(X) = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1(\overline{X})$ or $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_2(X) = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_2(\overline{X})$. This is just an introductive work. In the case of Maxwell theory, we consider the antisymmetry of multimomenta due to the Dirac primary constraint set. In that case we prefer to consider the following vector fields $X, \overline{X} \in \mathbf{D}^n_m \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} \subset \Lambda^n T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}}$. We denote

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X_1 & = & \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \Theta_{1\mu}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \Upsilon_1 \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_1^{A_\mu\nu}\big(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} - \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\nu\mu}}\big) \\ X_2 & = & \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + \Theta_{2\mu}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2 \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\big(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\mu\nu}} - \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \Pi^{A_\nu\mu}}\big) \end{array} \tag{4.21}$$

so that $X = X_1 \wedge X_2 \in \mathbf{D}^n_m \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} \subset \Lambda^n T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}}$ is written :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X & = & \big(\partial_1 + \Theta_{1\mu}\partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_1\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_1^{A_\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\nu\mu})\big) \wedge \big(\partial_2 + \Theta_{2\mu}\partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\nu\mu})\big) \\ & = & \partial_1 \wedge \big(\partial_2 + \Theta_{2\mu}\partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\nu\mu})\big) \\ & & +\Theta_{1\rho}\partial^{A_\rho} \wedge \big(\partial_2 + \Theta_{2\mu}\partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\nu\mu})\big) \\ & & +\Upsilon_1\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} \wedge \big(\partial_2 + \Theta_{2\mu}\partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\nu\mu})\big) \\ & & +\Upsilon_1^{A_\rho\sigma}\big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\rho\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\sigma\rho}\big) \wedge \big(\partial_2 + \Theta_{2\mu}\partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}}\big) + \Upsilon_1^{A_\rho\sigma}\big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\rho\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\sigma\rho}\big) \wedge \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\nu\mu}\big) \end{array} \tag{4.22}$$

Since we work on a subset of DeDonder-Weyl multisymplectic manifold, we only keep trace of the concerned terms:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X & = & \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 + \Theta_{2\mu}\partial_1 \wedge \partial^{A_\mu} + \Upsilon_2\partial_1 \wedge \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\partial_1 \wedge \big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\nu\mu}\big) \\ & & +\Theta_{1\mu}\partial^{A_\mu} \wedge \partial_2 + \Theta_{1\rho}\partial^{A_\rho} \wedge \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\nu\mu}\big) \\ & & +\Upsilon_1\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} \wedge \partial_2 + \Upsilon_1^{A_\mu\nu}\big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\nu\mu}\big) \wedge \partial_2 + \Upsilon_1^{A_\mu\nu}\big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\nu\mu}\big) \wedge \Theta_{2\rho}\partial^{A_\rho} \\ & & + \text{ terms involving } \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} \wedge \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\rho\sigma} \end{array}$$

Then we expand the indices :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X & = & \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 + \Theta_{21}\partial_1 \wedge \partial^{A_1} + \Theta_{22}\partial_1 \wedge \partial^{A_2} + \Upsilon_2\partial_1 \wedge \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_1\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} \wedge \partial_2 + \Theta_{11}\partial^{A_1} \wedge \partial_2 + \Theta_{12}\partial^{A_2} \wedge \partial_2 \\ & & +\Upsilon_2^{A_12}\partial_1 \wedge \big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21}\big) + \Upsilon_2^{A_21}\partial_1 \wedge \big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12}\big) \\ & & +\big(\Theta_{11}\partial^{A_1} + \Theta_{12}\partial^{A_2}\big) \wedge \Upsilon_2^{A_12}\big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21}\big) + \big(\Theta_{11}\partial^{A_1} + \Theta_{12}\partial^{A_2}\big) \wedge \Upsilon_2^{A_21}\big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12}\big) \\ & & +\Upsilon_1^{A_12}\big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21}\big) \wedge \partial_2 + \Upsilon_1^{A_21}\big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12}\big) \wedge \partial_2 \\ & & +\Upsilon_1^{A_12}\big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21}\big) \wedge \big(\Theta_{21}\partial^{A_1} + \Theta_{22}\partial^{A_2}\big) + \Upsilon_1^{A_21}\big(\boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_21} - \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_12}\big) \wedge \big(\Theta_{21}\partial^{A_1} + \Theta_{22}\partial^{A_2}\big) \\ & & + \text{ terms involving } \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathfrak{e}} \wedge \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \boldsymbol{\partial}_{A_\rho\sigma} \end{array}$$

Therefore we explicitly have the following calculation :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} & = & X \lrcorner \big(\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu\big) \\ & = & X \lrcorner \big(\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2\big) + X \lrcorner \big(\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_11} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_1 + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_21} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_1\big) \\ & & +X \lrcorner \big(\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_12} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2 + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_22} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2\big) \\ & = & X \lrcorner (\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}) + X \lrcorner (-\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_12} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^1 + \mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_21} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2) \end{array}$$

Since, $\Pi^{A_1 1} = \Pi^{A_2 2} = 0$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} & = & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(X)\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - (\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_1)(X)\mathrm{d}x^1 - (\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2)(X)\mathrm{d}x^2 \\ & & -(\mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^1)(X)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1 2} + (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1 2} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^1)(X)\mathrm{d}A_1 - (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1 2} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_1)(X)\mathrm{d}x^1 \\ & & +(\mathrm{d}A_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2)(X)\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2 1} - (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2 1} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2)(X)\mathrm{d}A_2 + (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2 1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2)(X)\mathrm{d}x^2 \\ & = & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - (\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2)(X)\mathrm{d}x^1 + (\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^1)(X)\mathrm{d}x^2 \\ & & +\Theta_{21}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1 2} + (\Upsilon_2^{A_2 1} - \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2})\mathrm{d}A_1 - (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1 2} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_1)(X)\mathrm{d}x^1 \\ & & +\Theta_{12}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2 1} + (\Upsilon_1^{A_1 2} - \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1})\mathrm{d}A_2 + (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2 1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2)(X)\mathrm{d}x^2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} & = & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - \Upsilon_1\mathrm{d}x^1 - \Upsilon_2\mathrm{d}x^2 + \Theta_{21}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1 2} + (\Upsilon_2^{A_2 1} - \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2})\mathrm{d}A_1 - (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1 2} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_1)(X)\mathrm{d}x^1 \\ & & +\Theta_{12}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2 1} + (\Upsilon_1^{A_1 2} - \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1})\mathrm{d}A_2 + (\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2 1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2)(X)\mathrm{d}x^2 \\ & = & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - \Upsilon_1\mathrm{d}x^1 - \Upsilon_2\mathrm{d}x^2 + \Theta_{21}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_1 2} + \Theta_{12}\mathrm{d}\Pi^{A_2 1} \\ & & +(\Upsilon_2^{A_2 1} - \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2})\mathrm{d}A_1 + (\Upsilon_1^{A_1 2} - \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1})\mathrm{d}A_2 \\ & & +\big((\Upsilon_2^{A_1 2}\Theta_{12} - \Upsilon_2^{A_2 1}\Theta_{12}) - (\Theta_{22}\Upsilon_1^{A_1 2} - \Theta_{22}\Upsilon_1^{A_2 1})\big)\mathrm{d}x^2 \\ & & +\big((\Upsilon_1^{A_1 2}\Theta_{21} - \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1}\Theta_{21}) - (\Theta_{11}\Upsilon_2^{A_2 1} - \Theta_{11}\Upsilon_2^{A_1 2})\big)\mathrm{d}x^1 \end{array}$$

Then, the relations $X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}} = \overline{X} \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\text{DDW}}$ are written :

$$\left|\begin{array}{rcl} \Theta_{12} & = & \overline{\Theta}_{12} \\ \Theta_{21} & = & \overline{\Theta}_{21} \end{array}\right. \qquad \left|\begin{array}{rcl} \Upsilon_2^{A_2 1} - \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2} & = & \overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_2 1} - \overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_1 2} \\ \Upsilon_1^{A_1 2} - \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1} & = & \overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_1 2} - \overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_2 1} \end{array}\right. \tag{4.23}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \big((\Upsilon_2^{A_1 2}\Theta_{12} - \Upsilon_2^{A_2 1}\Theta_{12}) - (\Theta_{22}\Upsilon_1^{A_1 2} - \Theta_{22}\Upsilon_1^{A_2 1})\big) & = & \big((\overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_1 2}\overline{\Theta}_{12} - \overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_2 1}\overline{\Theta}_{12}) - (\overline{\Theta}_{22}\overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_1 2} - \overline{\Theta}_{22}\overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_2 1})\big) \\ \big((\Upsilon_1^{A_1 2}\Theta_{21} - \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1}\Theta_{21}) - (\Theta_{11}\Upsilon_2^{A_2 1} - \Theta_{11}\Upsilon_2^{A_1 2})\big) & = & \big((\overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_1 2}\overline{\Theta}_{21} - \overline{\Upsilon}_1^{A_2 1}\overline{\Theta}_{21}) - (\overline{\Theta}_{11}\overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_2 1} - \overline{\Theta}_{11}\overline{\Upsilon}_2^{A_1 2})\big) \end{array} \tag{4.24}$$

Here we set $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{[1]} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\Upsilon_1 \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{[2]} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\Upsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$ since it do not disturb the general result. We also introduce the following matrix notation :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\text{Maxwell}} & = & \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\text{Maxwell}}_{\nu\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Theta_{21} \\ \Theta_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{\text{Maxwell}} & = & \big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu \nu}_{[\rho]}\big)^{\text{Maxwell}} = \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_\rho^{A_1 2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\Upsilon_\rho^{A_2 1} \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}^{\text{Maxwell}} & = & \overline{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}^{\text{Maxwell}}_{\nu\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{\Theta}_{21} \\ \overline{\Theta}_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{\text{Maxwell}} & = & \big(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{A_\mu \nu}_{[\rho]}\big)^{\text{Maxwell}} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Upsilon}_\rho^{A_1 2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\overline{\Upsilon}_\rho^{A_2 1} \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \tag{4.26}$$

so that the relation (4.23) and (4.24) are written :

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\text{Maxwell}} = \overline{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}^{\text{Maxwell}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{tr}\big(\big(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{A_\mu \nu}_{[\rho]}\big)^{\text{Maxwell}}\big) = \mathrm{tr}\big(\big(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}^{A_\mu \nu}_{[\rho]}\big)^{\text{Maxwell}}\big) \tag{4.27}$$

Thanks to (4.18), we have the expression of $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1(X)$ and $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_2(X)$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1(X) & = & \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2}\Theta_{11} - \Upsilon_2^{A_2 1}\Theta_{11} - \Upsilon_1^{A_1 2}\Theta_{21} + \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1}\Theta_{21} + \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2}\Theta_{11} - \Upsilon_2^{A_2 1}\Theta_{11} - \Upsilon_1^{A_1 2}\Theta_{21} + \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1}\Theta_{21} \\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_2(X) & = & \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2}\Theta_{12} - \Upsilon_1^{A_1 2}\Theta_{22} - \Upsilon_2^{A_2 1}\Theta_{12} + \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1}\Theta_{22} + \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2}\Theta_{12} - \Upsilon_1^{A_1 2}\Theta_{22} - \Upsilon_2^{A_2 1}\Theta_{12} + \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1}\Theta_{22} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1(X) & = & 2(\Upsilon_2^{A_1 2} - \Upsilon_2^{A_2 1})\Theta_{11} + 2(\Upsilon_1^{A_2 1} - \Upsilon_1^{A_1 2})\Theta_{21} \\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_2(X) & = & -2(\Upsilon_1^{A_1 2} - \Upsilon_1^{A_2 1})\Theta_{22} - 2(\Upsilon_2^{A_2 1} - \Upsilon_2^{A_1 2})\Theta_{12} \end{array} \tag{4.28}$$

Once again, this is not sufficient to conclude that $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1(X) = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1(\overline{X})$ or $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_2(X) = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_2(\overline{X})$ since we dot not have the information $\Theta_{\mu\nu} = \overline{\Theta}_{\mu\nu}$ for $(\mu, \nu) = (1, 1)$ or $(\mu, \nu) = (2, 2)$

5 Lepage-Dedecker for two dimensional Maxwell theory

5.1 Lepage-Dedecker correspondence

Now we perform a Lepage-Dedecker correspondence for the Maxwell 2D theory. In this section as opposed to the next one (5.4), we work with indices notation, in particular with the tedious but straightforward computation of the Hamiltonian. It is just to emphasize the huge amount of calculations for (**LD**) theories - even in a simple case $n = 2$ for the simple setting of the Maxwell theory. We refer to H.A. Katstrup [32] or F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher [25] [26] for some aspect of the two dimensional Lepage-Dedecker Maxwell theory. First we express the Lagrangian density $L(x, A, \mathrm{d}A) = -\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}_{\lambda\sigma}$ so that :

$$L(A) = -\frac{1}{4}\big(\eta^{1\lambda}\eta^{2\sigma}\mathbf{F}_{12}\mathbf{F}_{\lambda\sigma} + \eta^{2\lambda}\eta^{1\sigma}\mathbf{F}_{21}\mathbf{F}_{\lambda\sigma}\big) = -\frac{1}{4}\big(\eta^{11}\eta^{22}\big(\mathbf{F}_{12}\big)^2 + \eta^{22}\eta^{11}\big(\mathbf{F}_{21}\big)^2\big) = \frac{1}{2}\big(\mathbf{F}_{12}\big)^2$$

then, the Lagrangian is written :

$$L(x, A, \mathrm{d}A) = \frac{1}{2}\big(\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1\big)\big(\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1\big) = \frac{1}{2}\big(\big(\partial_1 A_2\big)^2 + \big(\partial_2 A_1\big)^2\big) - \big(\partial_1 A_2\big)\big(\partial_2 A_1\big)$$

Now we construct a *non degenerate* Legendre transform in the $2D$ case via the following Poincaré-Cartan form[11] (5.1) $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{Lepage-Dedecker}}_{(q,p)} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{[2]\|[2]}_{(q,p)} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{[2]}_{(q,p)}$.

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[2]}_{(q,p)} := \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + \varsigma\mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2 \tag{5.1}$$

and the related Multisymplectic 3 form :

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{[2]}_{(q,p)} := \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + \mathrm{d}\varsigma \wedge \mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2 \tag{5.2}$$

Then, we concentrate on the expression of $\langle p, v\rangle$

$$\langle p, v\rangle = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{[2]}_{(q,p)}(\mathscr{Z}) = \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(\mathscr{Z}) + \pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu(\mathscr{Z}) + \varsigma\mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2(\mathscr{Z}) \tag{5.3}$$

We demonstrate by direct calculation that :

$$\langle p, v\rangle = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{[2]}_{(q,p)}(\mathscr{Z}) = \pi^{A_\mu\nu}\partial_\nu A_\mu + 2\varsigma\big(\mathscr{Z}_{11}\mathscr{Z}_{22} - \mathscr{Z}_{12}\mathscr{Z}_{21}\big)$$

$\ulcorner$ **Proof** Since $\mathscr{Z}_\nu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \mathscr{Z}_{\nu\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu}$, we have : $\mathscr{Z}_1 = \partial_1 + \mathscr{Z}_{1\mu_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_1}}$ and $\mathscr{Z}_2 = \partial_2 + \mathscr{Z}_{2\mu_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_2}}$ so that we compute $\mathscr{Z} = \mathscr{Z}_1 \wedge \mathscr{Z}_2$ Then we write it as :

$$\mathscr{Z} = \sum_{\mu_1<\mu_2} \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_1\mu_2}_{12}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu_1}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu_2}} = \sum_{\mu_1<\mu_2} \begin{vmatrix} \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_1}_1 & \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_1}_2 \\ \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_2}_1 & \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_2}_2 \end{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu_1}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu_2}}$$

$$\mathscr{Z} = \mathscr{Z}^{12}_{12}\partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 + \mathscr{Z}^{1\mu_2}_{12}\partial_1 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_2}} + \mathscr{Z}^{2\mu_2}_{12}\partial_2 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_2}} + \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_1\mu_2}_{12}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_1}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_2}}$$

With, the different terms :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{Z}^{12}_{12} &= 1 & \mathscr{Z}^{2\mu_2}_{12} &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_2}_1 & \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_2}_2 \end{vmatrix} = -\mathscr{Z}^{\mu_2}_1 \\ \mathscr{Z}^{1\mu_2}_{12} &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_2}_1 & \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_2}_2 \end{vmatrix} = \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_2}_2 & \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_1\mu_2}_{12} &= \begin{vmatrix} \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_1}_1 & \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_1}_2 \\ \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_2}_1 & \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_2}_2 \end{vmatrix} = \Big[\mathscr{Z}^{\mu_1}_1\mathscr{Z}^{\mu_2}_2 - \mathscr{Z}^{\mu_2}_1\mathscr{Z}^{\mu_1}_2\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

---

[11] We use here the following notation $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[2]\|[2]}_{(q,p)}$ means we specify the canonical Poincaré-Cartan form for Maxwell theory in the 2 dimensional case and taking into account forms that involves 2 fields. (namely forms of the type $\varsigma\mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2$) Following this logic we write the previous canonical form as $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{DDW}}_{(q,p)} := \boldsymbol{\theta}^{[1]\|[4]}_{(q,p)}$

We make the following calculation :

$$\langle p,v\rangle = \mathfrak{e} + \underbrace{\pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu(\mathcal{Z}^{1\mu_2}_{12}\partial_1\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_2}}+\mathcal{Z}^{2\mu_2}_{12}\partial_2\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_2}})}_{[\mathbf{I}]}+\underbrace{\varsigma\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}A_2(\mathcal{Z}^{\mu_1\mu_2}_{12}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_1}}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_2}})}_{[\mathbf{II}]}$$

The first term in the last equation is given by :

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbf{I}] &= \sum_{\mu,\nu}\pi^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu(\mathcal{Z}) = \pi^{A_1 1}\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_1(\mathcal{Z})+\pi^{A_1 2}\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2(\mathcal{Z})+\pi^{A_2 1}\mathrm{d}A_2\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_1(\mathcal{Z})+\pi^{A_2 2}\mathrm{d}A_2\wedge\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2(\mathcal{Z})\\ &= \pi^{A_1 1}\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}x^2(\mathcal{Z}^{2\mu_2}_{12}\partial_2\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_2}})-\pi^{A_1 2}\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}x^1(\mathcal{Z}^{1\mu_2}_{12}\partial_1\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_2}})+\pi^{A_2 1}\mathrm{d}A_2\wedge\mathrm{d}x^2(\mathcal{Z}^{2\mu_2}_{12}\partial_2\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_2}})\\ &= -\pi^{A_2 2}\mathrm{d}A_2\wedge\mathrm{d}x^1(\mathcal{Z}^{1\mu_2}_{12}\partial_1\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_2}}) = \pi^{A_1 1}\mathcal{Z}_{11}+\pi^{A_1 2}\mathcal{Z}_{21}+\pi^{A_2 1}\mathcal{Z}_{12}+\pi^{A_2 2}\mathcal{Z}_{22} = \pi^{A_\mu\nu}\partial_\nu A_\mu\end{aligned}$$

Whereas the second term is given by :

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbf{II}] &= \varsigma\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}A_2(\mathcal{Z}) = \varsigma\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}A_2(\mathcal{Z}^{\mu_1\mu_2}_{12}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_1}}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_2}}) = \varsigma\mathrm{d}A_1\wedge\mathrm{d}A_2(\Big[\mathcal{Z}^{\mu_1}_1\mathcal{Z}^{\mu_2}_2-\mathcal{Z}^{\mu_2}_1\mathcal{Z}^{\mu_1}_2\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_1}}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu_2}})\\ &= \varsigma\big(\mathcal{Z}_{11}\mathcal{Z}_{22}-\mathcal{Z}_{12}\mathcal{Z}_{21}\big)\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$2\varsigma\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{Z}_{1[\mu}\mathcal{Z}_{2\nu]} = \varsigma\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{Z}_{1\mu}\mathcal{Z}_{2\nu}-\mathcal{Z}_{1\nu}\mathcal{Z}_{2\mu} = \varsigma\big(\mathcal{Z}_{11}\mathcal{Z}_{22}-\mathcal{Z}_{12}\mathcal{Z}_{21}\big)-\varsigma\big(\mathcal{Z}_{12}\mathcal{Z}_{21}-\mathcal{Z}_{11}\mathcal{Z}_{22}\big) = 2\varsigma\big(\mathcal{Z}_{11}\mathcal{Z}_{22}-\mathcal{Z}_{12}\mathcal{Z}_{21}\big)$$

we write the term $[\mathbf{II}] = \varsigma\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{Z}_{1[\mu}\mathcal{Z}_{2\nu]}$ $\rfloor$

Then we have the expression of $\langle p,v\rangle$

$$\langle p,v\rangle = \pi^{A_1 1}\mathcal{Z}_{11}+\pi^{A_1 2}\mathcal{Z}_{21}+\pi^{A_2 1}\mathcal{Z}_{12}+\pi^{A_2 2}\mathcal{Z}_{22}+\varsigma\big(\mathcal{Z}_{11}\mathcal{Z}_{22}-\mathcal{Z}_{12}\mathcal{Z}_{21}\big) \tag{5.5}$$

We can equivalently write in more contracted notation: $\langle p,v\rangle = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{[2]}_{(q,p)}(\mathcal{Z}) = \pi^{A_\mu\nu}\partial_\nu A_\mu + \varsigma\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{Z}_{1[\mu}\mathcal{Z}_{2\nu]}$. With the notation $\mathcal{Z}_{\nu\mu} = \partial_\nu A_\mu$, we write :

$$\langle p,v\rangle = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{[2]}_{(q,p)}(\mathcal{Z}) = \pi^{A_\mu\nu}\partial_\nu A_\mu + \varsigma\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_1 A_{[\mu}\partial_2 A_{\nu]}$$

Let us denote :

$$\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mu\nu} = \boldsymbol{\kappa}^{[2]}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial\langle p,v\rangle}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[2]}_{(q,p)}(\mathcal{Z}) \tag{5.6}$$

we work in coordinate expression so that we use the expression (5.5) :

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[2]}_{(q,p)}(\mathcal{Z}) = \pi^{A_1 1}\partial_1 A_1+\pi^{A_1 2}\partial_2 A_1+\pi^{A_2 1}\partial_1 A_2+\pi^{A_2 2}\partial_2 A_2+\varsigma\big(\partial_1 A_1\partial_2 A_2-\partial_1 A_2\partial_2 A_1\big)$$

Hence, we find the relations (5.7)(i).

$$(\mathfrak{i})\quad\left\{\begin{array}{lcl}\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mu\nu}|_{\mu=1,\nu=1} &=& \pi^{A_1 1}+\varsigma\partial_2 A_2\\ \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mu\nu}|_{\mu=1,\nu=2} &=& \pi^{A_2 1}-\varsigma\partial_2 A_1\\ \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mu\nu}|_{\mu=2,\nu=1} &=& \pi^{A_1 2}-\varsigma\partial_1 A_2\\ \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mu\nu}|_{\mu=2,\nu=2} &=& \pi^{A_2 2}+\varsigma\partial_1 A_1\end{array}\right.\qquad(\mathfrak{ii})\quad\left\{\begin{array}{lcl}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}|_{\mu=1,\nu=1} &=& 0\\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}|_{\mu=1,\nu=2} &=& \partial_1 A_2-\partial_2 A_1\\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}|_{\mu=2,\nu=1} &=& \partial_2 A_1-\partial_1 A_2\\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}|_{\mu=2,\nu=2} &=& 0\end{array}\right. \tag{5.7}$$

On the other side, we denote $\partial L/\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu) = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$. We use the coordinate expression of $L(x,A,\mathrm{d}A)$ and we obtain (5.7)(ii). The condition for the Legendre transform is :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)} = \frac{\partial\langle p,v\rangle}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)}$$

We obtain (5.8)(i) and, choosing to work in the case $\varsigma = 1$, we then obtain the relations (5.8)(ii)

$$(\mathfrak{i})\quad\left\{\begin{array}{ccl}0 &=& \pi^{A_1 1}+\varsigma\partial_2 A_2\\ \partial_1 A_2-\partial_2 A_1 &=& \pi^{A_2 1}-\varsigma\partial_2 A_1\\ \partial_2 A_1-\partial_1 A_2 &=& \pi^{A_1 2}-\varsigma\partial_1 A_2\\ 0 &=& \pi^{A_2 2}+\varsigma\partial_1 A_1\end{array}\right.\quad\overset{\varsigma=1}{\Longrightarrow}\quad(\mathfrak{ii})\quad\left\{\begin{array}{lcl}\pi^{A_1 1} &=& -\partial_2 A_2\\ \pi^{A_2 1} &=& \partial_1 A_2\\ \pi^{A_1 2} &=& \partial_2 A_1\\ \pi^{A_2 2} &=& -\partial_1 A_1\end{array}\right. \tag{5.8}$$

The generalized Legendre correspondence is non degenerate. It is always possible to invert the multimomenta from multivelocities. Now, we give the expression of the Hamiltonian function. From (5.8)(i)

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} \partial_2 A_2 & = & -(\varsigma^{-1})\pi^{A_1 1} \\ \partial_2 A_1 & = & \big(\varsigma(2-\varsigma)\big)^{-1}\big(\pi^{A_1 2} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1}\big) \\ \partial_1 A_2 & = & \big(\varsigma(2-\varsigma)\big)^{-1}\big(\pi^{A_2 1} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_1 2}\big) \\ \partial_1 A_1 & = & -(\varsigma^{-1})\pi^{A_2 2} \end{array}\right. \tag{5.9}$$

$\ulcorner$ **Proof** Let us explicite the second line in (5.9), from the second line in (5.8) we find :

$$\partial_1 A_2 = \pi^{A_2 1} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\partial_2 A_1 \tag{5.10}$$

The third line of (5.8) writes :

$$\partial_2 A_1 - \partial_1 A_2 = \pi^{A_1 2} - \varsigma\partial_1 A_2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \partial_2 A_1 = \pi^{A_1 2} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\partial_1 A_2 \tag{5.11}$$

We insert (5.10) in (5.11) so that :

$$\partial_2 A_1 = \pi^{A_1 2} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\big(\pi^{A_2 1} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\partial_2 A_1\big)$$

$$\partial_2 A_1\big(1 - \big(1-\varsigma\big)^2\big) = \pi^{A_1 2} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \partial_2 A_1\big(2\varsigma - \varsigma^2\big) = \pi^{A_1 2} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1}$$

$\partial_2 A_1 \varsigma\big(2-\varsigma\big) = \pi^{A_1 2} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1}$An analogous process holds also for the other relation. $\lrcorner$

5.2 Calculation of the Hamiltonian

We are interested in the expression of the Hamiltonian :

$$\mathcal{H} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{[2]}_{(q,p)}(\mathcal{Z}) - L \tag{5.12}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[2]}_{(q,p)}(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathbf{k}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{k}_6$ and $-L = \mathbf{k}_7 + \mathbf{k}_8 + \mathbf{k}_9$ with

$$\left|\begin{array}{lcl} \mathbf{k}_1 & = & \pi^{A_1 1}\partial_1 A_1 \\ \mathbf{k}_2 & = & \pi^{A_1 2}\partial_2 A_1 \\ \mathbf{k}_3 & = & \pi^{A_2 1}\partial_1 A_2 \\ \mathbf{k}_4 & = & \pi^{A_2 2}\partial_2 A_2 \\ \mathbf{k}_5 & = & \varsigma\partial_1 A_1\partial_2 A_2 \\ \mathbf{k}_6 & = & -\varsigma\partial_1 A_2\partial_2 A_1 \end{array}\right. \qquad \left|\begin{array}{lcl} \mathbf{k}_7 & = & -1/2\big(\partial_1 A_2\big)^2 \\ \mathbf{k}_8 & = & -1/2\big(\partial_2 A_1\big)^2 \\ \mathbf{k}_9 & = & \big(\partial_1 A_2\big)\big(\partial_2 A_1\big) \end{array}\right.$$

$\ulcorner$ Let us examine each of these terms. We denote by $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} = 1/\varsigma\big(2-\varsigma\big)$. The terms $\mathbf{k}_1$-$\mathbf{k}_4$ correspond to the terms $\langle p, v\rangle = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(\mathrm{DDW})}_{(q,p)}(\mathcal{Z})$

$$\left|\begin{array}{lcl} \mathbf{k}_1 & = & -(\varsigma^{-1})\pi^{A_1 1}\pi^{A_2 2} \\ \mathbf{k}_2 & = & \boldsymbol{\varsigma}\pi^{A_1 2}\big[\pi^{A_1 2} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1}\big] \\ \mathbf{k}_3 & = & \boldsymbol{\varsigma}\pi^{A_2 1}\big[\pi^{A_2 1} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_1 2}\big] \\ \mathbf{k}_4 & = & -(\varsigma^{-1})\pi^{A_2 2}\pi^{A_1 1} \end{array}\right. \tag{5.13}$$

Also the two terms which are related to the Lepage-Dedecker part.

$$\left|\begin{array}{lcl} \mathbf{k}_5 & = & (\varsigma^{-1})\pi^{A_2 2}\pi^{A_1 1} \\ \mathbf{k}_6 & = & -\varsigma\boldsymbol{\varsigma}^2\big[\pi^{A_2 1} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_1 2}\big]\big[\pi^{A_1 2} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1}\big] \end{array}\right. \tag{5.14}$$

And finally, the three terms which come from the Lagrangian density :

$$\left|\begin{array}{lcl} \mathbf{k}_7 & = & -(1/2)\boldsymbol{\varsigma}^2\big[\pi^{A_2 1} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_1 2}\big]\big[\pi^{A_2 1} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_1 2}\big] \\ \mathbf{k}_8 & = & -(1/2)\boldsymbol{\varsigma}^2\big[\pi^{A_1 2} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1}\big]\big[\pi^{A_1 2} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1}\big] \\ \mathbf{k}_9 & = & \boldsymbol{\varsigma}^2\big[\pi^{A_2 1} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_1 2}\big]\big[\pi^{A_1 2} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1}\big] \end{array}\right. \tag{5.15}$$

Let us consider the equations $\mathbf{k}_1$, $\mathbf{k}_4$ and $\mathbf{k}_5$ in (5.13). We denote by $(\mathfrak{i}) = \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_4 + \mathbf{k}_5$ so that

$$(\mathfrak{i}) = -(\varsigma^{-1})\pi^{A_2 2}\pi^{A_1 1} \tag{5.16}$$

We denote $(\mathfrak{ii}) = \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3$ so that

$$(\mathfrak{ii}) = \boldsymbol{\varsigma}\big[\pi^{A_1 2}\pi^{A_1 2} + \pi^{A_1 2}\big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1} + \pi^{A_2 1}\pi^{A_2 1} + \pi^{A_2 1}\big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_1 2}\big] \tag{5.17}$$

It remains the following equations $\mathbf{k}_6$-$\mathbf{k}_9$ We have respectively :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{k}_6 &= \big[-\varsigma\boldsymbol{\varsigma}^2\big]\big[\pi^{A_2 1}\pi^{A_1 2} + \pi^{A_2 1}\big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_1 2}\pi^{A_1 2} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_1 2}\big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1}\big] \\
&= \big[-\varsigma\boldsymbol{\varsigma}^2\big]\big[\big(1+\big(1-\varsigma\big)^2\big)\pi^{A_2 1}\pi^{A_1 2} + \big[\pi^{A_2 1}\big]^2\big(1-\varsigma\big) + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\big[\pi^{A_1 2}\big]^2\big] \\
&= \big[-\varsigma\boldsymbol{\varsigma}^2\big]\big[\big(2(1-\varsigma)+\varsigma^2\big)\pi^{A_2 1}\pi^{A_1 2} + \big[\pi^{A_2 1}\big]^2\big(1-\varsigma\big) + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\big[\pi^{A_1 2}\big]^2\big]
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

The second and the third give

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{k}_7 &= \big[-(1/2)\boldsymbol{\varsigma}^2\big]\big[\big[\pi^{A_2 1}\big]^2 + \big(1-\varsigma\big)^2\big[\pi^{A_1 2}\big]^2 + 2\pi^{A_2 1}\big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_1 2}\big] \\
\mathbf{k}_8 &= \big[-(1/2)\boldsymbol{\varsigma}^2\big]\big[\big[\pi^{A_1 2}\big] + \big(1-\varsigma\big)^2\big[\pi^{A_2 1}\big]^2 + 2\pi^{A_1 2}\big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1}\big]
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Now, we denote $(\mathfrak{iii}) = \mathbf{k}_6 + \mathbf{k}_9$ so that

$$(\mathfrak{iii}) = (1-\varsigma)\boldsymbol{\varsigma}^2\Big[\big(2(1-\varsigma)+\varsigma^2\big)\pi^{A_2 1}\pi^{A_1 2} + \big[\pi^{A_2 1}\big]^2\big(1-\varsigma\big) + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\big[\pi^{A_1 2}\big]^2\Big] \tag{5.20}$$

and finally we denote $(\mathfrak{iv}) = \mathbf{k}_7 + \mathbf{k}_8$, then

$$(\mathfrak{iv}) = -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\varsigma}^2\Big[\big[\pi^{A_2 1}\big]^2 + \big(1-\varsigma\big)^2\big[\pi^{A_1 2}\big]^2 + 2\pi^{A_2 1}\big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_1 2} + \big[\pi^{A_1 2}\big]^2 + \big(1-\varsigma\big)^2\big[\pi^{A_2 1}\big]^2 + 2\pi^{A_1 2}\big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{A_2 1}\Big] \tag{5.21}$$

Finally we compute $(\mathfrak{ii}) + (\mathfrak{iii}) + (\mathfrak{iv})$. We introduce the following notations :

$$\pi^{\circ\circ} = \pi^{A_1 2}\pi^{A_1 2} = \big[\pi^{A_1 2}\big]^2 \qquad \pi^{\circ\bullet} = \pi^{A_1 2}\pi^{A_2 1} \qquad \overline{\pi}^{\circ\bullet} = \pi^{A_1 1}\pi^{A_2 2} \qquad \pi^{\bullet\bullet} = \pi^{A_2 1}\pi^{A_2 1} = \big[\pi^{A_2 1}\big]^2 \tag{5.22}$$

So that the equations (5.17) (5.20) and (5.21) are written (5.23) $(\mathfrak{ii})$-$(\mathfrak{iv})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{ii}) &= \boldsymbol{\varsigma}\big[\pi^{\circ\circ} + 2\big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{\circ\bullet} + \pi^{\bullet\bullet}\big] \\
(\mathfrak{iii}) &= (1-\varsigma)[\boldsymbol{\varsigma}]^2\Big[\big(2(1-\varsigma)+\varsigma^2\big)\pi^{\circ\bullet} + \pi^{\bullet\bullet}\big(1-\varsigma\big) + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{\circ\circ}\Big] \\
(\mathfrak{iv}) &= -1/2[\boldsymbol{\varsigma}]^2\Big[\pi^{\bullet\bullet} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)^2\pi^{\circ\circ} + 2\big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{\circ\bullet} + \pi^{\circ\circ} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)^2\pi^{\bullet\bullet} + 2\big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{\circ\bullet}\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

where we have denoted $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} = \big[\varsigma\big(2-\varsigma\big)\big]^{-1}$ So that (5.23)-$(\mathfrak{ii})$ is written :

$$(\mathfrak{ii}) = [\boldsymbol{\varsigma}]^2\big[\pi^{\circ\circ} + \big(2-2\varsigma\big)\pi^{\circ\bullet} + \pi^{\bullet\bullet}\big]\varsigma\big(2-\varsigma\big) = [\boldsymbol{\varsigma}]^2\big[\pi^{\circ\circ} + 2\pi^{\circ\bullet} - 2\varsigma\pi^{\circ\bullet} + \pi^{\bullet\bullet}\big]\big(2\varsigma-\varsigma^2\big)$$

If we denote $\mathbf{\Phi} = \big(2\varsigma^2\big(2-\varsigma\big)^2\big)^{-1}$, we obtain :

$$(\mathfrak{ii}) = 2\mathbf{\Phi}\Big(2\pi^{\circ\circ}\varsigma - \pi^{\circ\circ}\varsigma^2 + 4\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma - 2\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma^2 - 4\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma^2 + 2\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma^3 + 2\pi^{\bullet\bullet}\varsigma - \pi^{\bullet\bullet}\varsigma^2\Big)$$

The equation (5.23)-$(\mathfrak{iii})$ is written :

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{iii}) &= 2\mathbf{\Phi}(1-\varsigma)\Big[\big(2(1-\varsigma)+\varsigma^2\big)\pi^{\circ\bullet} + \pi^{\bullet\bullet}\big(1-\varsigma\big) + \big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{\circ\circ}\Big] \\
&= 2\mathbf{\Phi}(1-\varsigma)\Big[\big(2\pi^{\circ\bullet} - 2\varsigma\pi^{\circ\bullet} + \varsigma^2\pi^{\circ\bullet} + \pi^{\bullet\bullet} - \varsigma\pi^{\bullet\bullet} + \pi^{\circ\circ} - \varsigma\pi^{\circ\circ}\Big] \\
&= 2\mathbf{\Phi}\Big[2\pi^{\circ\bullet} - 2\varsigma\pi^{\circ\bullet} + \varsigma^2\pi^{\circ\bullet} + \pi^{\bullet\bullet} - \varsigma\pi^{\bullet\bullet} + \pi^{\circ\circ} - \varsigma\pi^{\circ\circ} - 2\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma \\
&\quad +2\varsigma^2\pi^{\circ\bullet} - \varsigma^3\pi^{\circ\bullet} - \varsigma\pi^{\bullet\bullet} + \varsigma^2\pi^{\bullet\bullet} - \varsigma\pi^{\circ\circ} + \varsigma^2\pi^{\circ\circ}\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

and finally (5.23)-$(\mathfrak{iv})$ is written :

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{iv}) &= -\mathbf{\Phi}\Big[\pi^{\bullet\bullet} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)^2\pi^{\bullet\bullet} + \big(1-\varsigma\big)^2\pi^{\circ\circ} + \pi^{\circ\circ} + 4\big(1-\varsigma\big)\pi^{\circ\bullet}\Big] \\
&= -\mathbf{\Phi}\Big[2\pi^{\bullet\bullet} - 2\varsigma\pi^{\bullet\bullet} + \varsigma^2\pi^{\bullet\bullet} + 2\pi^{\circ\circ} - 2\varsigma\pi^{\circ\circ} + \varsigma^2\pi^{\circ\circ} + 4\pi^{\circ\bullet} - 4\varsigma\pi^{\circ\bullet}\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

We now writes $\mathcal{H} = (\mathfrak{i}) + (\mathfrak{ii}) + (\mathfrak{iii}) + (\mathfrak{iv})$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} &= (\mathfrak{i}) + \mathbf{\Phi}\Big[4\pi^{\circ\circ}\varsigma - 2\pi^{\circ\circ}\varsigma^2 + 8\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma - 4\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma^2 - 8\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma^2 + 4\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma^3 + 4\pi^{\bullet\bullet}\varsigma - 2\pi^{\bullet\bullet}\varsigma^2 + 4\pi^{\circ\bullet} - 4\varsigma\pi^{\circ\bullet} + 2\varsigma^2\pi^{\circ\bullet} \\
&\quad +2\pi^{\bullet\bullet} - 2\varsigma\pi^{\bullet\bullet} + 2\pi^{\circ\circ} - 2\varsigma\pi^{\circ\circ} - 4\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma + 4\varsigma^2\pi^{\circ\bullet} - 2\varsigma^3\pi^{\circ\bullet} - 2\varsigma\pi^{\bullet\bullet} + 2\varsigma^2\pi^{\bullet\bullet} - 2\varsigma\pi^{\circ\circ} + 2\varsigma^2\pi^{\circ\circ} \\
&\quad -2\pi^{\bullet\bullet} + 2\varsigma\pi^{\bullet\bullet} - \varsigma^2\pi^{\bullet\bullet} - 2\pi^{\circ\circ} + 2\varsigma\pi^{\circ\circ} - \varsigma^2\pi^{\circ\circ} - 4\pi^{\circ\bullet} + 4\varsigma\pi^{\circ\bullet}\Big] \\
&= \mathbf{\Phi}\Big[2\varsigma\pi^{\circ\circ} - \varsigma^2\pi^{\circ\circ} + 2\pi^{\bullet\bullet}\varsigma - \varsigma^2\pi^{\bullet\bullet} + 2\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma^3 - 6\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma^2 + 4\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma\Big] + (\mathfrak{i}) \\
&= \mathbf{\Phi}\Big[\big(2-\varsigma\big)\pi^{\circ\circ}\varsigma + \big(2-\varsigma\big)\pi^{\bullet\bullet}\varsigma + 2\varsigma^2\big(\varsigma-3\big)\pi^{\circ\bullet} + 4\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma\Big] + (\mathfrak{i}) \\
&= \mathbf{\Phi}\Big[\big(2-\varsigma\big)\varsigma\Big[\pi^{\circ\circ} + \pi^{\bullet\bullet}\Big] + 2\varsigma^2\big(\varsigma-3\big)\pi^{\circ\bullet} + 4\pi^{\circ\bullet}\varsigma\Big] + (\mathfrak{i}) \quad \rfloor
\end{aligned}$$

We finally obtain the expression of the Hamiltonian :

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{\varsigma}\overline{\pi}^{\circ\bullet} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\varsigma\big(2-\varsigma\big)}\Big[\pi^{\circ\circ} + \pi^{\bullet\bullet}\Big] + \frac{\big(\varsigma-3\big)}{\big(2-\varsigma\big)^2}\pi^{\circ\bullet} + \frac{1}{\varsigma\big(2-\varsigma\big)^2}2\pi^{\circ\bullet} \tag{5.24}$$

If we use the transform with $\varsigma = 1$ then (5.24)($\mathfrak{ii}$) gives the following Hamiltonian :

$$\mathcal{H} = -\overline{\pi}^{\circ\bullet} + \frac{1}{2}\big(\pi^{\circ\circ} + \pi^{\bullet\bullet}\big) \tag{5.25}$$

⌜ **Proof**. We compute in coordinate the straightforward calculation :

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathcal{H} & = & \pi^{A_\mu\nu}\partial_\nu A_\mu + \varsigma\big[\mathcal{Z}_{11}\mathcal{Z}_{22} - \mathcal{Z}_{12}\mathcal{Z}_{21}\big] - \frac{1}{2}\big(\partial_1 A_2\big)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\big(\partial_2 A_1\big)^2 + \big(\partial_1 A_2\big)\big(\partial_2 A_1\big) \\
 & = & (\pi^{A_1 2})^2 + (\pi^{A_2 1})^2 - 2\pi^{A_1 1}\pi^{A_2 2} + \pi^{A_1 1}\pi^{A_2 2} - \pi^{A_1 2}\pi^{A_2 1} - \frac{1}{2}\big(\pi^{A_2 1}\big)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\big(\pi^{A_1 2}\big)^2 + \pi^{A_1 2}\pi^{A_2 1} \\
 & = & -\pi^{A_1 1}\pi^{A_2 2} + \frac{1}{2}\big(\pi^{A_2 1}\big)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\big(\pi^{A_1 2}\big)^2 \quad \lrcorner
\end{array}$$

And the Hamiltonian (5.25) agrees with the general case (5.24).

5.3 Equations of movement

Now let us derive the generalized Hamilton equations. The general form of a vector field is given :

$$X_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + \Theta_{\alpha\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \Upsilon_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_\alpha^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi^{A_\mu\nu}} + \Upsilon_\alpha^{A_\mu A_\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \varsigma^{A_\mu A_\nu}}$$

leads us to $X = X_1 \wedge X_2$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
X & = & \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 + \partial_1 \wedge \Theta_{2\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \partial_1 \wedge \Upsilon_2\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \partial_1 \wedge \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi^{A_\mu\nu}} \\
 & & +\Theta_{1\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} \wedge \partial_2 + \Theta_{1\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} \wedge \Theta_{2\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \Theta_{1\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} \wedge \Upsilon_2\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \Theta_{1\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} \wedge \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi^{A_\mu\nu}} \\
 & & +\Upsilon_1\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} \wedge \partial_2 + \Upsilon_1\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} \wedge \Theta_{2\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \Upsilon_1\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} \wedge \Upsilon_2\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} + \Upsilon_1\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} \wedge \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi^{A_\mu\nu}} \\
 & & +\Upsilon_1^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi^{A_\mu\nu}} \wedge \partial_2 + \Upsilon_1^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi^{A_\mu\nu}} \wedge \Theta_{2\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu} + \Upsilon_1^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi^{A_\mu\nu}} \wedge \Upsilon_2\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{e}} \\
 & & +\Upsilon_1^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi^{A_\mu\nu}} \wedge \Upsilon_2^{A_\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi^{A_\mu\nu}}
\end{array}$$

We compute the first part of generalized Hamilton equations, namely $X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{[2]}$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{[2]} & = & X \lrcorner \Big(\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu + \mathrm{d}\varsigma \wedge \mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2\Big) \\
 & = & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - (\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\mu)(X)\mathrm{d}x^\mu + (\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu)(X)\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu\nu} - (\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu)(X)\mathrm{d}A_\mu \\
 & & +(\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu})\mathrm{d}x^\rho + (\mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_2)(X)\mathrm{d}\varsigma \\
 & & -(\mathrm{d}\varsigma \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2)(X)\mathrm{d}A_1 + (\mathrm{d}\varsigma \wedge \mathrm{d}A_1)\mathrm{d}A_2
\end{array}$$

And, in this case, since $\mathrm{d}\varsigma = 0$ the multisymplectic form is written $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{[2]}\big|_{\varsigma=1} = \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu$. So that $X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{[2]}\big|_{\varsigma=1}$ is given by :

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
X \lrcorner \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{[2]}\big|_{\varsigma=1} & = & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - (\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\mu)(X)\mathrm{d}x^\mu + (\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu)(X)\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu\nu} - (\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\nu)(X)\mathrm{d}A_\mu \\
 & & +(\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_{\rho\nu})\mathrm{d}x^\rho \\
 & = & \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{e} - \Upsilon_\rho \mathrm{d}x^\rho + \Theta_{\nu\mu}\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu\nu} - \Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}A_\mu + \big(\Upsilon_\rho^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_{\nu\mu} - \Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu}\Theta_{\rho\mu}\big)\mathrm{d}x^\rho
\end{array}$$

we only keep the interesting part on the decompositions along $\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu\nu}$ and $\mathrm{d}A_\mu$ -

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta_{\nu\mu}\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu\nu} & = & \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H} \\ -\Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu} & = & 0 \end{array}\right. \qquad \left\{\begin{array}{lccc} (\mathfrak{i}) & \Theta_{1\mu}\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu 1} + \Theta_{2\mu}\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_\mu 2} & = & \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H} \\ (\mathfrak{ii}) & -\Upsilon_\nu^{A_\mu\nu} & = & 0 \end{array}\right. \tag{5.26}$$

With $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}\big|_{\varsigma=1} = -\overline{\pi}^{\circ\bullet} + 1/2\big(\pi^{\circ\circ} + \pi^{\bullet\bullet}\big) = -\pi^{A_11}\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_22} - \pi^{A_22}\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_11} + \pi^{A_21}\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_21} + \pi^{A_12}\mathrm{d}\pi^{A_12}$. Finally we obtain from (5.26)($\mathfrak{i}$) the Legendre transform given by :

$$\partial_1 A_1 = -\pi^{A_22} \qquad \partial_2 A_2 = -\pi^{A_11} \qquad \partial_1 A_2 = \pi^{A_21} \qquad \partial_2 A_1 = \pi^{A_12} \tag{5.27}$$

Whereas from (5.26)($\mathfrak{ii}$) we obtain the Maxwell equations :

$$\partial_\mu \pi^{A_\nu\mu} = 0 \tag{5.28}$$

5.4 Grassmanian viewpoint and pseudofibers

Enlarged pseudofibers - Pseudofibers. We introduce the following fundamental objects : the *enlarged pseudofiber* and the *pseudofiber*. Following [24] [25] [26] the enlarged pseudofiber is defined to be :

$$\mathbf{P}_q(z) = \Big\{ p \in \Lambda^n T_q^\star \mathfrak{Z} \ / \ \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}}{\partial z}(q,z,p) = 0 \Big\} \tag{5.29}$$

The enlarged pseudofiber is understood as the space of n-forms $\mathbf{P}_q(z) \subset \Lambda^n T_q^\star \mathfrak{Z}$ such that the generalized Legendre correspondence is satisfied : $(q,z) \boxdot (q,p)$. We refer to [23] [24] [25] for further details. The key point is that $\mathbf{P}_q(z)$ is an affine subspace of $\Lambda^n T_q^\star \mathfrak{Z}$ with $\dim(\mathbf{P}_q(z)) = \frac{(n+k)!}{n!k!} - nk$. Finally, for a given $(q,z) \in \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}\mathfrak{Z}$, we can find *at the same time* an element $p \in \mathbf{P}_q(z)$ and choose the value of $\mathcal{H}(q,p)$. Therefore, we find the definition of the *pseudofiber* to be the space defined by (5.30) :

$$\mathbf{P}_q^h(z) = \Big\{ p \in \mathbf{P}_q(z) \ / \ \mathcal{H}(q,p) = h \Big\}. \tag{5.30}$$

Notice that $\dim(\mathbf{P}_q^h(z)) = \dim(\mathbf{P}_q(z)) - 1$ and that $\mathbf{P}_q(z)$ and $\mathbf{P}_q^h(z)$ are affine subspaces parallel to $[T_z\mathbf{D}_q^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}\mathfrak{Z}]^\perp$ and $[T_z\mathbf{D}_q^n\mathfrak{Z}]^\perp$ where the spaces $[T_z\mathbf{D}_q^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}\mathfrak{Z}]^\perp, [T_z\mathbf{D}_q^n\mathfrak{Z}]^\perp \subset \Lambda^n T_q^\star \mathfrak{Z}$ are respectively defined by (5.31) :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [T_z\mathbf{D}_q^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}\mathfrak{Z}]^\perp & = & \Big\{ p \in \Lambda^n T_q^\star \mathfrak{Z} \ / \ \forall \xi \in T_z\mathbf{D}_q^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}\mathfrak{Z} \ , \ p(\xi) = 0 \Big\} \\ [T_z\mathbf{D}_q^n\mathfrak{Z}]^\perp & = & \Big\{ p \in \Lambda^n T_q^\star \mathfrak{Z} \ / \ \forall \xi \in T_z\mathbf{D}_q^n\mathfrak{Z} \ , \ p(\xi) = 0 \Big\} \end{array} \tag{5.31}$$

In the general case we have the following dimension for the involved spaces : $\mathbf{Gr}^n\mathfrak{Z}$, $\Lambda^n T^\star \mathfrak{Z}$, $\Lambda^n T_q^\star \mathfrak{Z}$, $\mathbf{D}_q^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}\mathfrak{Z}$ and of the enlarged pseudofiber $\mathbf{P}_q(z)$ and the pseudofiber $\mathbf{P}_q^h(z)$.

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \dim[\mathbf{Gr}^n\mathfrak{Z}] = n + k + nk \\ \dim[\Lambda^n T^\star \mathfrak{Z}] = n + k + \dfrac{(n+k)!}{n!k!} \end{array} \right. \qquad \left| \begin{array}{l} \dim[\mathbf{D}_q^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}\mathfrak{Z}] = nk \\ \dim[\Lambda^n T_q^\star \mathfrak{Z}] = \dfrac{(n+k)!}{n!k!} \end{array} \right.$$

as well as :

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \dim(\mathbf{P}_q(z)) = \dfrac{(n+k)!}{n!k!} - nk \\ \dim(\mathbf{P}_q^h(z)) = \dim(\mathbf{P}_q(z)) - 1 \end{array} \right.$$

Grassmanian viewpoint for 2D-Maxwell theory. In this section we follow the method developed by F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher [20] [24] [25] [26] for the general study of variational problem on maps. In the case of Maxwell theory, we understand the problem via the study of the map $A : T^\star\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$. Here the multisymplectic manifold is $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} = \Lambda^2 T^\star(T^\star\mathcal{X}) = \Lambda^2 T^\star\mathfrak{Z}$. We picture a map by its graph $\mathbf{G}$ a 2-dimensional submanifold of $T^\star\mathcal{X}$. Now we consider a point $(x, A) \in \mathbf{G} \subset T^\star\mathcal{X}$. At (x, A), we consider the tangent plane to the graph $\mathbf{G}$, which is described by vectors $X_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + v_{1\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu}$ and $X_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + v_{2\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\mu}$.

The set of local coordinates on $\mathbf{Gr}^2(T^\star\mathcal{X})$ is described by $(x^\mu, A_\nu, v_{\mu\nu})$ whereas the set of local coordinates on $\mathfrak{Z} = T^\star\mathcal{X}$ is $(x^\mu,\ A_\mu)$. A basis $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\Lambda^2 T^\star_{(x,A)}\mathfrak{Z}]$ of the 6-dimensional space $\Lambda^2 T^\star_{(x,A)}\mathfrak{Z}$ is :

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} &= \Big\{\mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2, \mathrm{d}A_\nu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\mu, \mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2\Big\}_{1\le\mu,\nu\le 2}\\ &= \Big\{\mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2, \mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^1, \mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2, \mathrm{d}A_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^1, \mathrm{d}A_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2, \mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2\Big\}\end{aligned}$$

For the Maxwell $2D$ theory we have also the involved dimension for the key spaces of the multisymplectic Grassmanian construction :

$\dim[\mathbf{Gr}^n\mathfrak{Z}] = 8$	$\dim[\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}_q\mathfrak{Z}] = 6$	$\dim(\mathbf{P}_q(z)) = 2$
$\dim[\Lambda^n T^\star\mathfrak{Z}] = 10$	$\dim[\Lambda^n T^\star_q\mathfrak{Z}] = 4$	$\dim(\mathbf{P}^h_q(z)) = 1$

Any form $\mathbf{p} \in \Lambda^2 T^\star_{(x,A)}\mathfrak{Z}$ can be identified with the coordinates $(\mathfrak{e}, \pi^{A_\mu\nu}, \varsigma)$ such that :

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y} + \epsilon_{\rho\nu}\pi^{A_\mu\rho}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\nu + \varsigma\mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2$$

Since, $\epsilon_{\rho\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\nu = \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho$, we observe $\epsilon_{\rho\nu}\pi^{A_\mu\rho}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\nu = -\epsilon_{\rho\nu}\pi^{A_\mu\rho}\mathrm{d}x^\nu \wedge \mathrm{d}A_\mu = \pi^{A_\mu\rho}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}_\rho$. A tangent space is identified with coordinates on the Grassman bundle $\mathbf{t} \cong (v_{\mu\nu}) \in \mathbf{Gr}^2_{(x,A)}\mathfrak{Z}$. We describe the pairing $\langle\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{p}\rangle = \mathbf{p}(X_1, X_2)$. Let notice that $\big\{X_\mu\big\}_{1\le\mu\le 2}$ describes a basis of the tangent space $\mathbf{t}$. We have :

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{p}\rangle &= \mathfrak{e}\mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2(X_1, X_2) + \epsilon_{\rho\nu}\pi^{A_\mu\rho}\mathrm{d}A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\nu(X_1, X_2) + \varsigma\mathrm{d}A_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}A_2(X_1, X_2)\\ &= \mathfrak{e} + \pi^{A_\mu\nu}v_{\mu\nu} + \varsigma(v_{11}v_{22} - v_{12}v_{21})\end{aligned}$$

and we define the function :

$$\mathcal{W}(x, A, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{p}) = \langle\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{p}\rangle - L(x, A, \mathbf{t}) \tag{5.32}$$

Notice that the Lagrangian density $L(x, A, \mathbf{t})$ is identified with a function on $\mathbf{Gr}^2_{(x,A)}\mathfrak{Z}$. When $\mathbf{t}$ is in correspondence with $\mathbf{p}$ - denoted $\mathbf{t} \boxdot \mathbf{p}$ - if and only if

$$\partial\mathcal{W}/\partial\mathbf{t}(x, A, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{p}) = 0 \tag{5.33}$$

Now we are looking for the enlarged pseudofiber $\mathbf{P}_q(z)$ and the pseudofiber $\mathbf{P}^h_q(z)$. With this aim in mind, a parametrization of $\big\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{D}^2_{(x,A)}(T^\star\mathcal{X})\ /\ \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(\mathbf{z}) > 0\big\}$ is described via coordinates $(t, v_{\mu\nu})$ with :

$$\mathbf{z} = t^2\partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 + t\epsilon^{\mu\nu}v_{\mu\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\rho} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + (v_{11}v_{22} - v_{12}v_{21})\frac{\partial}{\partial A_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_2}$$

Elements $\delta\mathbf{z} \in T_z\mathbf{D}^n_q(T^\star\mathcal{X})$ are described by coordinates δt and $\delta v_{\mu\rho}$

$$\delta\mathbf{z} = \delta t\big(2t\partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 + \epsilon^{\mu\nu}v_{\mu\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\rho} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}\big) + \delta v_{\mu\rho}\big(t\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_\rho} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\rho\sigma}v_{\nu\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial A_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_2}\big)$$

We also consider the parametrization $\left\{\mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}} \in \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}_{(x,A)}(T^\star\mathcal{X}) \;/\; \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}(z) = 1\right\}$ in such a context, $\mathbf{z}$ is written :

$$\mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}} = \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 + \epsilon^{\mu\nu} v_{\mu\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\rho} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + (v_{11}v_{22} - v_{12}v_{21}) \frac{\partial}{\partial A_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_2}$$

Now we consider $\delta\mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}} \in T_z\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}_q(T^\star\mathcal{X})$:

$$\delta\mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}} = \delta v_{\mu\rho}\big(\epsilon^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\rho} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\rho\sigma} v_{\nu\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_2}\big)$$

Then, we describe the space $[T_z\mathbf{D}^n_q\mathfrak{Z}]^\perp = \Big\{\mathbf{p} \in \Lambda^n T^\star_q\mathfrak{Z} \;/\; \forall\delta\mathbf{z} \in T_z\mathbf{D}^n_q\mathfrak{Z}\ ,\ \mathbf{p}(\delta\mathbf{z}) = 0\Big\}$, So that : $\mathbf{p} \in \big(T_z\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}_q(\mathfrak{Z})\big)^\perp$ is equivalent to $\forall\delta\mathbf{z} \in T_z\mathbf{D}^n_q(T^\star\mathcal{X})\ ,\ \mathbf{p}(\delta\mathbf{z}) = \langle\delta\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{p}\rangle = 0$ with,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\delta\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{p}\rangle &= \Big\langle \delta t\big(2t\partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 + \epsilon^{\mu\nu} v_{\mu\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\rho} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}\big), \mathbf{p}\Big\rangle \\
&\quad + \Big\langle \delta v_{\mu\rho}\big(t\epsilon^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\rho} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\rho\sigma} v_{\nu\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_2}\big), \mathbf{p}\Big\rangle \\
&= \delta t(2\mathfrak{e} + v_{\mu\nu}\pi^{A_\mu\nu}) + \delta v_{\mu\rho}(\pi^{A_\mu\rho} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\rho\sigma} v_{\nu\sigma}\varsigma)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

On the other side,

$$\big[T_z\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}_q(\mathfrak{Z})\big]^\perp = \big[T_z\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}_q(T^\star\mathcal{X})\big]^\perp = \Big\{\mathbf{p} \in \Lambda^n T^\star_q\mathfrak{Z} \;/\; \forall\delta\mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}} \in T_z\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}_q(T^\star\mathcal{X})\ ,\ \mathbf{p}(\delta\mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{y}}) = 0\Big\}$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\delta\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{p}\rangle &= \Big\langle \delta v_{\mu\rho}\big(t\epsilon^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\rho} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\rho\sigma} v_{\nu\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial A_2}\big), \mathbf{p}\Big\rangle \\
&= \delta v_{\mu\rho}(\pi^{A_\mu\rho} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\rho\sigma} v_{\nu\sigma}\varsigma)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

The Legendre correspondence related to the system (5.8)($\mathfrak{i}$) is characterized by the functional determinant [32] [25] [26] :

$$\mathbf{\Delta} = \left|\ \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma}\ \right| = \left|\ \frac{\partial\pi^{A_\mu\nu}}{\partial\partial_\rho A_\sigma}\ \right|$$

we have therefore the following terms :

$$\left|\begin{array}{lcl}
\partial\pi^{A_1 1}/\partial(\partial_1 A_1) &=& 0 \\
\partial\pi^{A_1 1}/\partial(\partial_1 A_2) &=& 0 \\
\partial\pi^{A_1 1}/\partial(\partial_2 A_1) &=& 0 \\
\partial\pi^{A_1 1}/\partial(\partial_2 A_2) &=& -\varsigma
\end{array}\right. \qquad
\left|\begin{array}{lcl}
\partial\pi^{A_2 1}/\partial(\partial_1 A_1) &=& 0 \\
\partial\pi^{A_2 1}/\partial(\partial_1 A_2) &=& 1 \\
\partial\pi^{A_2 1}/\partial(\partial_2 A_1) &=& -(1-\varsigma) \\
\partial\pi^{A_2 1}/\partial(\partial_2 A_2) &=& 0
\end{array}\right.$$

$$\left|\begin{array}{lcl}
\partial\pi^{A_2 2}/\partial(\partial_1 A_1) &=& -\varsigma \\
\partial\pi^{A_2 2}/\partial(\partial_1 A_2) &=& 0 \\
\partial\pi^{A_2 2}/\partial(\partial_2 A_1) &=& 0 \\
\partial\pi^{A_2 2}/\partial(\partial_2 A_2) &=& 0
\end{array}\right. \qquad
\left|\begin{array}{lcl}
\partial\pi^{A_1 2}/\partial(\partial_1 A_1) &=& 0 \\
\partial\pi^{A_1 2}/\partial(\partial_1 A_2) &=& -(1-\varsigma) \\
\partial\pi^{A_1 2}/\partial(\partial_2 A_1) &=& 1 \\
\partial\pi^{A_1 2}/\partial(\partial_2 A_2) &=& 0
\end{array}\right.$$

Then, we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{\Delta} &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\varsigma \\ 0 & 1 & -(1-\varsigma) & 0 \\ 0 & -(1-\varsigma) & 1 & 0 \\ -\varsigma & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = \varsigma \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & -(1-\varsigma) \\ 0 & -(1-\varsigma) & 1 \\ -\varsigma & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -\varsigma^2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -(1-\varsigma) \\ -(1-\varsigma) & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\
&= -\varsigma^2(1-(1-\varsigma)^2) = \varsigma^2(1-(1+\varsigma^2-2\varsigma)) = -\varsigma^4 + 2\varsigma^3 = (2-\varsigma)\varsigma^3
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta} = \left|\ \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma}\ \right| \neq 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \Big\{ \varsigma \neq 0, 2 \Big\}$$

If we generally denote $\forall q \in \mathfrak{Z}$ the object $\mathcal{P}_q = \bigcup_{z \in \mathbf{D}_q \mathfrak{Z}} \mathbf{P}_q(z)$, we now find for the Maxwell $2D$ theory.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_q \ &= \ \Big\{ (\mathfrak{e}, \pi^{A_\mu \nu}, \varsigma) \in \Lambda^2 T^\star_{(x,A)} \mathfrak{Z} \ / \ \varsigma \neq 0, 2 \Big\} \\ & \quad \cup \Big\{ (\mathfrak{e}, \pi^{A_\mu \nu}, 0) \in \Lambda^2 T^\star_{(x,A)} \mathfrak{Z} \ / \ \pi^{A_1 1} = \pi^{A_2 2} = \pi^{A_1 2} + \pi^{A_2 1} = 0 \Big\} \\ & \quad \cup \Big\{ (\mathfrak{e}, \pi^{A_\mu \nu}, 2) \in \Lambda^2 T^\star_{(x,A)} \mathfrak{Z} \ / \ \pi^{A_1 2} - \pi^{A_2 1} = 0 \Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

6 Conclusion

Summary In this note we have describe the general method developed by F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher in the series of papers [20] [21] [22] [23] [24] [25] [26]. The main focus is on the determination of algebraic observable, dynamical observables and their related observable functionals. We have also detailed some calculation on the (algebraic) copolarization process for the Maxwell theory. The main result is the Poisson bracket (4.9) : $\Big\{ \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cap \boldsymbol{\gamma}_\varkappa} \boldsymbol{\pi}, \int_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cap \boldsymbol{\gamma}_\varsigma} A \Big\}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) = \sum_{m \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cap \boldsymbol{\gamma}_\varkappa \cap \boldsymbol{\gamma}_\varsigma \cap \boldsymbol{\Gamma}} \mathfrak{S}(m)$ between canonical forms $(A, \boldsymbol{\pi})$. A strong result which merges the physical needs and the mathematical construction of copolarization is that we cannot include $\mathfrak{C}^1 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq \mu \leq 3} \mathrm{d}A_\mu$ in $\mathbf{P}^1 T^\star \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{Maxwell}}$ in (algebraic) observable 1-forms.

Perspective. There is obviously two directions for further studies. The first is the issue of quantization whereas the second is a more detailed treatment of higher Lepagean equivalent theories.

Acknowledgments

I am particularly grateful to Frédéric Hélein and Joseph Kouneiher for invaluable discussions about the topic of multisymplectic geometry. Also, for his help and support, I address many thanks to Volodya Rubtsov.

References

[1] **Batalin, I.A., Vilkovisky, G.A.** *Gauge Algebra and Quantization.* Phys. Lett. B 102 (1) 2731. (1981) *Quantization of Gauge Theories with Linearly Dependent Generators.* Phys. Rev. D 28 (10) 2567-2582.(1983)

[2] **Becchi C., Rouet A., Stora R.,** Phys. Lett. B52 (1974) 344. Commun. Math. Phys. 42 (1975) 127. *Renormalization of gauge theories*, Ann. Phys. 98, 2 (1976) pp. 287-321.

[3] **Belinfante F.J.** *On the Spin Angular Momentum of Mesons*, Physica vi (1939) 887-898; *On the Current and the Density of the Electric Charge, the Energy, the Linear Momentum and the Angular Momentum of Arbitrary Fields*, Physica vii (1940) 449-474.

[4] **Carathéodory C.**, *Variationsrechnung und Partielle Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung*, (1935) Teubner, Leipzig (Reprinted by Chelsea, New York, 1982)

[5] **Cartan E.**, *Leçons sur les invariants intégraux,* Hermann, (1922)

[6] **Dedecker P.**, *Calcul des variations, formes différentielles et champs géodésiques*, in Géométrie différentielle, Colloq. Intern. du CNRS LII, (1953)

[7] **Dedecker P.**, *On the generalization of symplectic geometry to multiple integrals in the calculus of variations*, in Differential Geometrical Methods in Mathematical Physics, eds. K. Bleuler and A. Reetz, Lect. Notes Maths. vol. 570, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1977) *Intégrales completes de l'équation aux dérivées partielles de Hamilton-Jacobi d'une intégrale multiple*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris 285 (A) 123-126 (1977)

[8] **DeDonder T.**, *Sur les équations canoniques de Hamilton-Volterra*, Acad. Roy. Belg. Cl. Sci. Meme. (1911)

[9] **DeDonder T.**, *Théorie Invariante du Calcul des Variations*, Nuov. éd. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, (1935)

[10] **Deligne P., Freed D.,** *Classical field theory, in Quantum fields and strings : a course for mathematicians*, Volume 1, P. Deligne, P. Etingof, D.S. Freed, L.C. Jeffrey, D. Kahzdan, J.W. Morgan, D.R. Morrison and E. Witten, (eds), American Mathematical Society, (1999).

[11] **Dirac P.A.M**, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, Belfer Graduate School of Science, New York, (1964) *Lectures on Quantum Field Theory* Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University (1964-1965)

[12] **Forger M., Paufler C. and Römer H.**, *The Poisson bracket for Poisson forms in multisymplectic field theory*, math-ph/0202043. (2002)

[13] **Forger M., Paufler C. and Römer H.**, *A general construction of Poisson brackets on exact multisymplectic manifolds*, Preprint math-ph/0208037. (2002)

[14] **Forger M., Paufler C. and Römer H.**, *A Poisson Bracket on Multisymplectic Phase Space.* Rep. Math. Phys. 48 (2001) 211-218; math-ph/0009037.

[15] **Forger M., Römer H.** *Currents and the energy-momentum tensor in classical field theory : a fresh look at an old problem* (2005)

[16] **Gotay M.J., Marsden J.E.** *Stress-Energy-Momentum Tensors and the Belinfante-Rosenfeld Formula*, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 132, pp. 367-392, AMS, Providence 1992.

[17] **Gawedski K.** , *On the generalization of the canonical formalism in the classical field theory*, Rep. Math. Phys. No 4, Vol. 3 (1972), 307-326.

[18] **Gerstenhaber M.**, *The cohomology structure of an associative ring*, Ann. Math. 78 (1963) 267-288 *Algebraic cohomology and deformation theory*, in Deformation Theory of Algebras and Structures and Applications, eds. M. Hazewinkel and M. Gerstenhaber Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, (1988)

[19] **Harrivel D.R.** *Théorie des champs : approche multisymplectique de la quantification, théorie perturbative et application.* Thesis (2005)

[20] **Hélein F.**, *Hamiltonian formalisms for multidimensional calculus of variations and perturbation theory.* Contemp. Math. 350 (2004), 127-147.

[21] **Hélein F.**, *The use of the covariant phase space on nonlinear fields, in preparation*, and other documents, *private communication*, *unpublished notes.*

[22] **Hélein F.**, *Multisymplectic formalism and the covariant phase space.* in Variational Problems in Differential Geometry, R. Bielawski, K. Houston, M. Speight, eds, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 394, Cambridge University Press, (2012),

[23] **Hélein F., Kouneiher J.**, *Finite dimensional Hamiltonian formalism for gauge and quantum field theories, J. Math. Phys.* **43** (2002), 2306–2347,

[24] **Hélein F., Kouneiher J.**, *Covariant Hamiltonian formalism for the calculus of variations with several variables* arXiv:math-ph/0211046v2 (2002)

[25] **Hélein F., Kouneiher J.**, *Covariant Hamiltonian formalism for the calculus of variations with several variables: Lepage–Dedecker versus De Donder–Weyl*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **8** (2004), 565–601,

[26] **Hélein F., Kouneiher J.**, *The notion of observable in the covariant Hamiltonian formalism for the calculus of variations with several variables.* Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 8 (2004), 735-777

[27] **Hrabak S.**, *On a multisymplectic formulation of the classical BRST symmetry for first order field theories, Part I : Algebraic structure*, arXiv:math-ph/9901012

[28] **Hrabak S.**, *On a multisymplectic formulation of the classical BRST symmetry for first order field theories, Part II : Geometric structure*, arXiv:math-ph/9901013

[29] **Kanatchikov I.V.**, *Canonical structure of classical field theory in the polymomentum phase space*, Rep. Math. Phys. vol. 41, No. 1 (1998)

[30] **Kanatchikov I.V.**, *On the canonical structure of the De Donder-Weyl covariant Hamiltonian formulation of field theory I. Graded Poisson brakets and the equation of motion,* hep-th/9312162

[31] **Kanatchikov I.V.** *On field theoretic generalizations of a Poisson algebra*, preprint arXiv:hep-th/9710069

[32] **Kastrup H.A.**, *Canonical theories of Lagrangian dynamical systems in physics*, Phys. Rep. 101 (1983)

[33] **Kijowski J.**, *A finite dimensional canonical formalism in the classical field theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. 30 (1973), 99-128.

[34] **Kijowski J.**, *Multiphase spaces and gauge in the calculus of variations*, Bull. de l'Acad. Polon. des Sci., SErie sci. Math., Astr. et Phys. XXII (1974)

[35] **Kijowski J., Szczyrba W.**, *Multisymplectic manifolds and the geometrical construction of the Poisson brackets in the classical field theory*, Géométrie Symplectique et Physique Mathématique (J.M. Souriau, ed.), Paris. (1975)

[36] **Kijowski J., Szczyrba W.**, *A canonical structure for classical field theories*, Commun. Math Phys. 46 (1976)

[37] **Kijowski J., Tulczyjew W.M.**, *A symplectic framework for field theories*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1979).

[38] **Lepage T.**, *Sur les champs géodésiques du calcul des variations*, Bull. Acad. Roy. Belg., Cl. Sci. 27 (1936)

[39] **Lepage T.**, *Sur les champs géodésique des intégrales multiples*, Bull. Acad. Roy. Belg., Cl. Sci., 27, 2746. (1941) *Champs stationnaires, champs géodésiques et formes intégrables*, Bull. Acad. Roy. Belg., Cl. Sci., 28 (1942)

[40] **Maxwell J.C.** Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism (Reprinted, New York : Dover) (1954)

[41] **Rosenfeld L.** *Sur le Tenseur d'Impulsion-Energie*, Mém. Acad. Roy. Belg. Sci. 18 (1940) 1-30

[42] **Tulczyjew W.M.**, *Geometry of phase space*, seminar in Warsaw, (1968), unpublished

[43] **Tulczyjew W.M.**, *The graded Lie algebra of multivector fields and the generalized Lie derivative of forms*, Bull. de lAcad. Polon. des Sci., Serie sci. Math., Astr. et Phys. XXII (1974), 937-942.

[44] **Tyutin I.V.**, *Gauge Invariance in Field Theory and Statistical Physics in Operator Formalism*, Lebedev Physics Institute preprint 39 (1975), arXiv:0812.0580

[45] **Vey D.** *Multisymplectic Geometry and the notion of observables* Frontiers of Fundamental Physics (FFP11) Conference Proceedings. AIP. 1446, C. Barbachoux, J. Kouneiher, T. Masson, D. Vey eds, (2012)

[46] **Vey D.** *Multisymplectic Geometry and Field theory : Multisymplectic Gravity*, Thesis, (2012)

[47] **Vey D.** *Multisymplectic Palatini Gravity. I Pure and topological first order gravity* (2013) (to appear)

[48] **Weyl H.**, *Geodesic fields in the calculus of variations*, Ann. Math. (2) 36 (1935) 607-629